In the Matter Of:

IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES

2023-59 HS

HEARING

October 28, 2024



1	NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
2	MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES
3	PUBLIC HEALTH ADMINISTRATION
4	
5	
6	Administrative Dules for Dule Cot "Dodg Arct Forilities"
7	Administrative Rules for Rule Set "Body Art Facilities"
8	MOAHR Rule Division No. 2023-59 HS
9	235 South Grand Avenue-Dempsey Room 1st Floor, Lansing,
10	Michigan
11	Monday, October 28, 2024, 9:00 a.m.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	RECORDED BY - Rachelle Roberts, CER 9585
24	Certified Electronic Recorder Esquire Deposition Solutions
25	Firm Registration Number 8035



1	Appearances:
2	
3	MARY E. BRENNAN, ESQ. (P42838)
4	State Administrative Manager and Regulatory Affairs Officer
5	State of Michigan Department of Health and Human Services
6	235 South Grand Avenue, Floor 2 Children's Services Legal Division
7	Lansing, Michigan 48933 517-242-9634
8	brennanm@michigan.gov
9	Also Present: Kathryn Morehouse, Body Art Licensing Coordinator
10	Steve Schmidt, Macomb County Health Department
11	Jeff Saunders, Owner, Gamma Piercing Tammy Hadley
12	Chelsea Orrico Cheryl Jones
13	CHCLYL GOHES
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
2		PAGE
3	Call to Order and Roll Call	4
4	Public Comment	
5	By Ms. Tammy Hadley	6, 20
6	By Ms. Chelsea Orrico By Ms. Cheryl Jones	14 50
7	Adjournment	131
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1	Via Zoom Video Conference
2	Monday, October 28, 2021 - 9:00 a.m.
3	MS. BRENNAN: On the record, please. Morning.
4	My name is Mary Brennan. I'm the Regulatory Affairs
5	Officer for the Department of Health and Human Services.
6	Today we are on the record for Body Art Facilities
7	Proposed Rules, MOAHR number 2023-59 HS. I'm going to
8	introduce our panel for today, starting with my left.
9	Kathryn, please give your name and your title.
LO	MS. MOREHOUSE: I'm Kathryn Morehouse, and I'm
L1	the Body Art Coordinator for MDHHS.
L2	MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. Steve?
L3	MR. SCHMIDT: Steve Schmidt, Macomb County
L4	Health Department.
L5	MS. BRENNAN: And last but not least, Jeff?
L6	MR. SAUNDERS: Jeff Saunders. I own Gamma
L7	Piercing in Ann Arbor, and I'm on The Association of
L8	Professional Piercers Membership Committee.
L9	MS. BRENNAN: Thank you very much. And for
20	today, our own Rachelle is our transcriptionist, who
21	will be taking down all of the testimony today.
22	Okay. These rules have been worked on since
23	2007. We this is our third public hearing. Third
24	time is the charm and the whole bit. If you were on the
25	work group, I want to thank you for all your dedication,



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

particularly for the last 14 years, trying to get these 1 2 rules promulgated. So let's make today the day.

A little housekeeping: First of all, quest Wi-Fi, if you want to write this down, the user name, gwireless, all one word and in lower case, g-w-i-r-e-l-e-s-s. Password is lower case Z, as in zebra, number eight, I as in igloo, zero, capital C as in Charlie, seven, exclamation point, lower case D as in dog, upper case S as in Sam, N as in Nancy; Z, eight, I, zero, C, seven, exclamation point, D, S, and N.

Okay. Restrooms, out the door to your right, down the hallway. It'll be on your right-hand side. There's a snack shop towards the doors down here, same thing, on your right. It is on-your-honor. There is no one there, so you pick what you want and you will pay at a machine that will take care of your needs.

With regard to today's hearing, if you would like to make a comment, please come up to the podium, state your name and please spell it for our transcriptionist. Please watch the wires. It's a wiry mess today.

If you don't want the formality of making a public comment, but just want to ask a question, you may do that, but I will probably remind you, if you want this for the record to send over the legislature, please



have it recorded. Otherwise, ask any question you would
like from your seats.

After you give your comment, you have the option of staying or you may leave. There was the misconception in the first public hearing that you had to stay for eight hours. You don't. If you want to give a comment and you want to get on with your day, you may leave. Anything that you give will be recorded and sent to the -- excuse me -- legislature.

There will be a 15-minute break at 10:15, lunch from 12:00 to 1:00. One block up is Washington Street. There's a slew of restaurants that you can take advantage of. If you do not wish to make an oral comment or you wanted to make an additional point, you forgot about it, you have until Friday at the end of this week to send to the admin rules email box. That address is m-d-h-h-s, dash adminrules, all one word, a-d-m-i-n-r-u-l-e-s@michigan.gov.

Okay. Any questions before we begin? Very good. Anybody would like to make a comment, please come forward.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Good morning.

MS. BRENNAN: Good morning.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: My name is Tammy Hadley; T-a-m-m-y, H-a-d-l-e-y. I am the owner of a permanent



makeup studio in Springport, Michigan, The Image Barn, actually. I started my business in honor of my mom and her battle with cancer, and I provide free permanent makeup services to cancer patients. I've done this for eight years.

I had no idea that these meetings were happening and that all these rules were changing. I actually found out when I received an email two Fridays ago. Called my local health department inspector to find out, like, directly how they would affect me.

There's two of them that I'm -- two things I'm concerned about. I'm concerned about the use of not being able to use topicals. I've done two weeks of research, haven't slept at night, and I found out what Michigan says about topicals, what the FDA says about topicals. And I've actually -- I have a print-off so it's not just my word.

So in March of 2024 the FDA released a customer release stating that topicals by certain companies were not safe to use in large areas. They don't specify necessarily how large the area is, but it's not in permanent makeup cosmetic areas. It's more in, like, body tattoo areas.

They state that 4 percent by their standard is safe and -- but there are some regulations about that.



They don't want them covered with plastics or any kind of Saran Wraps. They wanted to be on for a shortened period of time, and they have advised customers to make sure that those things happen, because then it's safe.

Michigan allows five percent lidocaine by law, which is one percent more than what the FDA approved.

And I'm just here to ask for some kind of consideration.

Instead of banning topicals at all in the permanent makeup industry, if we could maybe use four percent instead of five percent, if that's what the FDA states is safe.

One of the reasons that this is so important and passionate to me is because I do cancer patients for free, and these women have literally been through the most horrific things in their lives. And to have to come to me and put them through something else, they're not going to do it. I have talked to a lot of people -- you know, I could go to a med spa in work, but I can't. I've talked to two or three of them. They won't let me provide my services for free. I have to charge \$750 for an areola; one. We have two. That's \$1500. They won't let me do it for free.

I just -- it doesn't make any sense to me why we can't use topicals as long as there are some kind of regulations on it. Now, I know that they're not FDA



approved, but needle -- neither are needles, neither are pigments, neither are any tattoo ink. There is nothing in this industry that's really FDA approved. And as sad as that is, it's a fact. We have to be educated, and we have to make sure we're looking into products that come from reputable companies, and not buying a \$3 pigment on Amazon, spending \$70 and buying from a company that has facilities they test in and has strict criteria because we're trusting -- we're trusting them.

So I just -- I want to ask that, number one, instead of just getting rid of topicals at all, maybe we take up the FDA standards of four percent lidocaine, have a sized area that is considered small by FDA, you know, standards, and maybe take out the ability to cover them with some kind of wrap or dressing, which I don't do anyway. I don't know why you would. It increases heat, which makes it more dangerous.

But if we write those into the rules, instead of just saying we can't use them at all, I know that, like, for me, it will greatly -- this will impact my business a lot, and it will impact the wrong kind of people that I think have already been through enough, and we don't want to impact them.

So the other thing that I wanted to talk about today is the fact that I didn't know that these meetings



were happening. And I'm not putting the blame on anybody. I always read my emails, and I always go through everything that I can, but I found out that the work groups were made up of body art facility owners and not necessarily represented by a permanent makeup artist. I don't want to make the rules for what tattoo shops do, even though we are under the same licensing, because I don't know. I feel like that would be wrong for me to make rules that deal with body piercing. I don't want a body piercer to make a rule for me, because we don't do the same thing.

And it would be premature and very irresponsible of me to make rules that represent services that I don't personally know about or provide. But to get everyone together from all those different industries, I think gives a better representation of what we do as a whole.

The other thing that's concerning to me is that if we're listening just to body art facility owners, I know body art facility owners that do not work in this industry. They're business owners. They have opened up a body art facility, gotten the licensing for it, and then they hire people to work there. They have no idea what we do on a daily basis. They wouldn't know because they don't need to. They just pay their fee and renew



Τ	and make sure their shop fits all these standards that
2	that it is, and they're not in there every day
3	working with, you know, clients or doing pro bono work
4	or giving back to the community, or whatever, you know.
5	So, anyway, I just wanted to come here today
6	and ask if, you know, my voice be heard. And I don't
7	know if anyone else agrees with this or not, but the FDA
8	does have regulations for topicals, and if we could
9	maybe adopt those instead of just banning them all
10	together.
11	MS. BRENNAN: Thank you very much.
12	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Thank you.
13	MS. BRENNAN: Any discussion from the panel?
14	MR. SCHMIDT: Can I just ask you one question?
15	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Absolutely.
16	MR. SCHMIDT: You stated that Michigan allows
17	five percent lidocaine
18	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: By law.
19	MR. SCHMIDT: for topical anesthetics, but
20	the FDA is four percent?
21	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: That's correct.
22	MR. SCHMIDT: What law the state of Michigan
23	are you referencing?
24	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I went to the
25	Michiganstate.gov. Do vou want me to find it for vou?



1 MR. SCHMIDT: If you could, because, I mean, 2 all drugs and most type of products for the manufacturer 3 and sale are regulated by FDA. They're not regulated by 4 the state of Michigan. 5 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 6 MR. SCHMIDT: So I'm just curious what you were 7 referencing. 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah, I can find it for you. 9 I do have the one that talks about in March of 2024, 10 what the FDA put out overall. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, that's the FDA warning 12 letter and --13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yes. MR. SCHMIDT: -- public health advisory. Yep, 14 15 absolutely. I know about that. I'm just -- I'm just 16 curious because you said Michigan allowed five percent 17 lidocaine, what you were --18 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. 19 MR. SCHMIDT: -- referencing on that. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I'll find it for you. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. 22 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I can -- well, I can't 23 obviously print it here. I can send it to you. 24 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, that's not a problem. 25 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay.



1 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, you can just come up and 2 tell me whenever you want. 3 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. Do you have any other 4 questions for me? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: That was the big one. 6 mean, the FDA does regulate tattoo inks and pigments, 7 but they're regulated as cosmetics. 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: It's completely different than 10 the drugs --11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But they're not approved. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: -- but they are -- correct. 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: But they are regulated, so --15 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. Oh, for sure. 16 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. Cool. I just wanted to 17 make sure we were --18 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I was just talking about, 19 like, an FDA-approved product. 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Right. Okay. 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: Perfect. 23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Thank you. 24 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you. 25 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. Next? Hi.



1 MS. CHERYL JONES: How much time do we have to 2 speak? 3 MS. BRENNAN: Eight hours, if you want. I'm 4 here -- I'm here for the long run. 5 MS. CHERYL JONES: All right. Is there a way 6 7 MR. SCHMIDT: We're going full filibuster here. 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- to get on the internet or 9 10 MS. BRENNAN: Yes. Let me give you the 11 password. 12 MS. CHERYL JONES: Thank you. Thank you. I'm sure I've written it down. Oh, wonderful. 13 Thank you. 14 MS. BRENNAN: You're a doll. Thank you. Okay. 15 Name, please, and spell. 16 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Hi. My name is Chelsea, 17 C-h-e-l-s-e-a, Orrico, O-r-r-i-c-o. 18 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. Your comment? 19 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Yeah. I am not sure what 20 members of your work group that you have. Can I ask 21 questions up here? 22 MS. BRENNAN: Absolutely. 23 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. Have you worked 24 with anyone with the Society of Permanent Cosmetics? I 25 know you work with APP, so just wondering if you've



1 consulted with them at all. 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Previously, yes. Not anyone 3 that's currently active in the work group. 4 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. Do you have -- you don't have any permanent cosmetic artists on your work 5 6 group at all right now? 7 MR. SCHMIDT: The last one dropped out. 8 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. How long has it 9 been since they've been a part of it? 10 MR. SCHMIDT: Honestly, I'm not sure right now. 11 MS. MOREHOUSE: Yeah; yeah. 12 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. 13 MR. SCHMIDT: It's been a minute. MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Are you looking for people 14 15 to help with that at all? 16 MS. MOREHOUSE: So the work group is no longer 17 so -- because we -- the work group was to create the 18 rules. 19 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Gotcha. 20 MS. MOREHOUSE: Or revise the rules. 21 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. 22 MS. MOREHOUSE: Because currently we have 23 requirements, and how we devised this was we started 24 with the current requirements document and revised it. 25 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Gotcha. I worked in the



6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

body art industry for 10 years. I do body art and I 1 2 also do permanent cosmetics. I do feel like there is a lot of room for more that could be done with the 3 4 permanent cosmetics part of your regulations. I also kind of want to echo a little bit what she said about how much that's going to impact that part of the 7 industry.

I would -- I think a safe estimate would be at least 50 percent of the people that get permanent cosmetics are not going to get it if they can't use any kind of numbing agent, or you're going to have people who are trying to apply it themselves, at home with hemorrhoid cream, anal numbing agents, Bactine, things like that. I don't think the Bactine is really going to do much if they spray it on themselves, but my point is, is that I think you're going to have clients, both in body art and permanent cosmetics, that are applying these things on their own, without any instruction or guidance, and I'm just a little nervous for how that might end up impacting us or falling back the service provider.

MR. SCHMIDT: So there are two additions in the current proposed rule set regarding numbing creams, topical anesthetics. One, specifically, is stating that the artist cannot apply; right? You're not allowed to



1 apply it. 2 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Right. 3 MR. SCHMIDT: The other rule states that you're 4 not allowed to work on a client where it's not applied 5 ___ 6 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: How do I know --7 MR. SCHMIDT: -- talking about the home artist 8 -- or the person applying it at home before they come 9 in. 10 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: But let's say somebody 11 applies it at home, like the client applies it at home. 12 Because I know that people are going to do this, 13 especially for body art. They apply at home and they 14 come in. Sometimes you can tell the difference in the 15 skin, but sometimes you can't. So how do I know that 16 they did it? And then they're also, like -- these are 17 regulations for licensing. So they're not, like, bound 18 to that licensing. 19 MR. SCHMIDT: Correct. 20 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Right. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: We can't hold the general public 22 accountable. 23 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So we just put it in our consent form that they agree that they haven't applied 24

anything, and trust that they're being honest?



25

1 MR. SAUNDERS: That would be my move, yeah. 2 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. I do have another 3 question. Is titanium, as long as it meets the ASTM 4 standard, still allowed for piercing? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Absolutely. 6 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, we -- put a general rule in 8 there for all of any of the products that are ASTM or 9 ISO regulated for implant grade, they're all allowed. 10 We put it as a general statement, so when new standards 11 come out in the future, you're not hold to just the old 12 standards; right? 13 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Gotcha. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: The only ones we added were gold 15 niobium, because they don't have current ASTM or ISO 16 standards. 17 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay. 18 MR. SCHMIDT: So we specifically listed the 19 requirements for those because ASTM or ISO don't exist, 20 but we still want to allow them for initial piercing. 21 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Gotcha. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: Anything else, as long as it 23 meets the ASTM or ISO standard for implant grade, you're 24 allowed to use.

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay.



25

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

ASTM and ISO standards that don't make pretty jewelry.

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Riaht.

MR. SAUNDERS: So you never know when someone might introduce a new -- a new version, because they're like, "Oh, we figured out a way to make jewelry out of this."

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Uh-huh; okay. So if you don't have the work group anymore, what if there are, like, things that the industry thinks should change within the regulations? Would we just email someone or

MS. MOREHOUSE: You can always reach out to bodyart@michigan.gov.

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Uh-huh.

MS. MOREHOUSE: You can also -- because it's the law, you can reach out to your local representatives, state representatives.

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Uh-huh; okay.

MS. MOREHOUSE: Because it takes an act of legislation to change the law.

23 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Got it. Okay. All right. 24 That's it. Thank you.

> MS. BRENNAN: Thank you.



MR. SCHMIDT: 1 Thank you. 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Can I make a comment on 3 something without going back up? MS. BRENNAN: If you'd like to. You don't want 4 5 it recorded? 6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It doesn't matter. 7 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I just want to say that 9 we're sitting here, listening to this about topicals and 10 clients applying them at home, and, yes, we're going to 11 use, you know, maybe more stringent forms to make sure 12 that we're covered, but it's not really about us; right? 13 It's not about making sure we're covered. It's about 14 providing the best quality service to our clients. 15 because we're covered legally, that's not really what it's about for me. So if my client comes in with 16 17 something on, like you said, and we can't -- we -- most 18 of the time we can know, but there are times you don't 19 know. 20 If a -- if an adverse reaction happens, I'm 21 going to be like, "Oh, I'm covered because I got an 22

going to be like, "Oh, I'm covered because I got an attorney and I got this form," but I don't give a crap if I'm covered. I care about the quality of service and what happens to this person that trusted me with the service, and was forced to do something that they



23

24

25

shouldn't have had to do, because we can't just regulate it. That -- that's -- you know, we talk about protecting ourselves, yeah, I think that's great, but I don't -- I do this because it's my passion. I have a really nice daytime job that I left to come to. I do this is as -- this is what I'm passionate about. I don't care about how it affects me legally. I care about how it affects the person sitting across from, you know, the person who's trusting me, the person who spent however long, saving up the money to come in to feel beautiful about because, you know, they lost their eyebrows during chemo and they never came back, or what--- whatever we all feel unbeautiful about.

And, you know, I don't know. I just -- yes, it protects us legally, but it's not really about the legal aspect of it. To me, it's about the fact that we're providing a good service, and if we don't know, we can be protected legally by all the forms and attorneys we have on board, but it's not really protecting them. And they're being put in a position to have to do something and compromise the integrity of a procedure, because we didn't do our job to make sure they had a safe alternative to it.

And I did look up the five percent. So five percent is old -- an old information that I had, because



when -- in March 2024, the FDA came out with the four 1 2 percent, it obviously changed it across the board. So I 3 apologize for that. 4 The FDA has always four percent MR. SCHMIDT: 5 for considering it over-the-counter; right? 6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: Because that's what we're really 8 diving into. Over-the-counter is approved that way by 9 the FDA. It's still a drug product, but it's intended 10 for self-diagnosis and use; right? 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Because directions for use can be 13 made so that any general lay person can understand that. 14 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 15 MR. SCHMIDT: None of these products are 16 approved for tattooing, cosmetic tattooing, painful 17 procedures, or anything like that, meaning anyone's use 18 of them, whether it's the client or yourself, is an 19 off-label use of that product. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. I'm just making sure we're 22 on the same page. 23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: No, I'm -- I understand 24 that.

MR. SCHMIDT: The liability for the artist,



25

talking about that section of the rule, like yourself as an artist applying it. The problem is, it really falls under the definition in Michigan Compiled Laws, the practice of medicine, because what we're doing is either trying to relieve pain that's already happened or prevent future pain; correct?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct.

MR. SCHMIDT: Absolute intended use of these products. The practice of medicine means the diagnosis, treatment, prevention, cure or relieving of a human disease, ailment, defect, complaint or other physical or mental condition. Your use of that product falls under the practice of medicine, which is required that you have a license, like name M.D. or a D.O.; right? Like, you guys are putting yourself at risk by applying this yourself.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But if it's over-the-counter -- if I have a client come in --

MR. SCHMIDT: It's an off-label use over-the-counter. Just because you can buy it doesn't mean you can use it; right? Like I would assume, just because you can buy it at CVS doesn't mean you can use it in those procedures. The risk, because --

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: What about Green Soap?
MR. SCHMIDT: Green Soap is not a drug.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It's listed --2 MS. CHERYL JONES: Is there an SDS sheet for 3 it? 4 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I don't know. 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Well, there should be an SDS 6 sheet for everything. 7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I mean, I don't have it --8 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, Windex --9 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I don't have it handy. 10 MR. SCHMIDT: Right. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I have a FDA, they 12 recommend consumers not use -- they -- they are. Hold 13 That was my lidocaine. Yeah, I mean, there's a 14 sheet on it. It says that the FDA does not approve this 15 for certain services, including what we all use it for, 16 because side effects can be trouble breathing, throat 17 swelling, fever, vomiting and skin blistering, but we 18 all use it. I mean, I'm just saying that just because 19 something isn't -- the isopropyl alcohol --20 MS. CHERYL JONES: And it's approved by the 21 health department; and it's approved by the health 22 department. 23 MR. SCHMIDT: What is? 24 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, Green Soap. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: Well, sure. I mean, Green Soap



1 just Green Soap. It's a skin cleanser. That's 2 regulated completely different than a drug product 3 because of the intended use or outcome of the use of 4 that product. 5 MS. BRENNAN: Can I interrupt right now? This 6 is being recorded. Can you give your name for the --7 Rachelle? 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: My legal name is Cheryl 9 Jones. 10 THE REPORTER: With an S or a C. 11 MS. CHERYL JONES: C-h. 12 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. Go on. I'm sorry to 13 interrupt. 14 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I don't have anything else 15 to say. I just wonder if there is any way we can find a 16 way around it so we're just not compromising the 17 integrity of the people that come in that will use it 18 anyway. 19 MR. SAUNDERS: Sure. One comment that -- with 20 -- with the current state of things, not having on a 21 release form -- let's say tomorrow we're just like, "You 22 know what? Take out all the numbing stuff, you still 23 might want to have that on your release form, because 24 you don't know if people come in having put it on

beforehand anyway. We're assuming --

25

1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It's already on my release 2 form. 3 MR. SAUNDERS: Exactly. So it's not really a 4 big change, but, like, doubling up on something like 5 that could be dangerous. So it just makes sense, not 6 just from -- you know, you were making the point that 7 you want to -- you want to be able to do numbing agents 8 for the client's purposes, and I understand where you're 9 coming from. The other -- but protecting yourself is 10 also protecting the client; right? 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Oh, for sure. 12 MR. SAUNDERS: So, you know, making sure you're not doubling up on things like that, I think is well 13 14 worth your time. 15 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah, it's already on my 16 My -- my thing was just that it's not -- most of 17 us don't go about this just looking at -- obviously, we 18 have to keep the legal aspect in mind and stay up within 19 the parameters of the law, but it's also about, like, 20 our clients and, you know, what's best for them and -and all the way from making sure they're not doubling 21 22 up, to make sure they're comfortable during the 23 procedure if possible. 24 MR. SAUNDERS: Sure. 25 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I mean, is there any way



1 around -- I don't mean around, like, illegally around,
2 but is there any consideration that can be given to any
3 of this?

MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, there is a lot of conversation about this, and the two rules that are in the current proposed rule set really isn't something that was made up specific for body art. It's really a compilation of all the existing legal framework between the state of Michigan, the FDA and what everything means. And it's really just the consolidation and the clarification.

All of these existing legal frameworks exist. They've been around for a long time. There's no proposed change. Talking with the FDA, they have no future plans on ever approving these for use of these procedures because of a whole lot of things as an over-the-counter product. And once you change something from the directions for use -- right? -- like, you have a lot of bad products out there, number one; right?

MR. SCHMIDT: Obviously, the ones that are too strong, the ones that specifically say "For tattooing," those are in violation of FDA standards.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Absolutely.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right.

MR. SCHMIDT: They're not allowed to put that



on there. So what you're using, or what your client is using, is, yeah, it's an anorectal drug product. It's some other type of topical anesthetic for pain, bug bites, whatever it is. None of these are regulated because they're not considered safe for tattooing; right? They've never been proven to be safe for tattooing per the FDA.

So all of these are the two new rules. It's just existing legal framework that, even if we got rid of it, that legal framework still exists.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But the FDA, the sheet that I have, says, "Do not use OTC pain relief products with more than four percent lidocaine on your skin. Do not apply OTC pain relief products heavily over large areas of skin or irritated. Do not wrap a treated area with"

-- I mean, they're pretty specific on what they say they consider safe because, I mean, it's on their sheet.

MR. SCHMIDT: Right.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So what if we use four percent or less? What if we don't use it on a large area of skin? What if we don't wrap it or dress it? Then we're --

MR. SCHMIDT: I'm just letting you know that's still an off-label use. They're talking about products because directions for use are approved in a monograph



by the FDA with specific statements that you're allowed
to use for directions for use.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. But what I'm saying is, if they printed this and said that this is what they're recommending for consumers, and this is in -- on a sheet for permanent cosmetics. This is what they're talking about in this sheet. It's not like any other -- and they've actually listed some companies that have mislabeling, not use those.

MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, there is a bunch of warning letters on --

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah. But I'm -- like, this isn't talking about anything else except permanent cosmetics. It's saying that these -- these are the things that they recommend -- recommend when using their products for permanent cosmetic.

MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, those warning letters, that was for tattooing, permanent cosmetic, the whole kit and caboodle. It was not specifically just to cosmetic tattooing.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. But I'm just saying, I mean, what if we -- what if we were allowed to do that, follow what the FDA recommended?

MR. SCHMIDT: Are you following the directions for use that's on a label on a product?



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: What do you mean? 2 MR. SCHMIDT: Like, if you're applying a 3 topical anesthetic, are you following the directions 4 from use for what it's being used for? 5 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I mean, the directions that 6 I read on my packaging, yes. But can I sit here and 7 tell you that I read the directions that are printed on 8 the packaging -- like, packaging, just like anything 9 I mean, I don't know who printed those and I 10 didn't know -- I don't know what research went into 11 that. I have to trust the company that I'm buying it 12 from. I have to do my research on reputable companies 13 and repeatable products. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, all I can tell you is 15 that there is no product, over-the-counter, whatever, 16 that's approved for use in tattooing, painful 17 procedures, things like that. So if the directions for 18 use are telling you that, that's a new drug or a 19 misbranded product, because it says that. Otherwise 20 you're not following the directions for use on that 21 product, because it's going to say, "For anorectal 22 disorders, or "The temporary relief of pain and itching 23 associated with bug bites, " or any of --24 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: -- those other things. Like,



1 that -- that's the legal hiccup we're in. 2 MR. SAUNDERS: And then the other --3 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I don't understand, because 4 this is addressing that very thing. This -- this --5 this FDA news release is addressing topical use for cosmetic procedures. And they -- it's no -- you're 6 7 right. It's not just permanent making procedures. It's 8 microdermabrasion, laser removal, tattooing, piercing. 9 So it's -- it's talking about all of them, but it's 10 saying, "Some of these products are labeled to contain 11 ingredients such as lidocaine." So basically, people 12 are saying that they have a lower percentage than they 13 actually have. So they're saying, "These are the parameters 14 15 that we say are safe to use." And it -- I mean, it's 16 very, very clear what it says. It says, "Four percent." 17 It says, "Not a large area." It says, "Don't wrap or 18 use a dressing." If I follow these rules, am I breaking 19 the law? 20 MR. SCHMIDT: That would be, like we talked 21 about, the definition of practice of medicine, but 22 especially because, once again, the FDA approves 23 products; right? 24 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: Off-label use, meaning you're



using it for something other than what it's FDA approved for, is also the practice of medicine, and that falls under healthcare providers; right? Like they -- doctors get to do that because they can take a client history. They know their medical conditions. They can actually provide a dosage of grams or milliliters per square meter of skin area on broken or non-intact skin, like, "Oh, those things need a prescription," because it's an off-label use.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. I mean, the bottle that I have does -- does say -- I mean, it does give me the instructions for how to use it. I, actually, don't need to use it for the amount of time that it allows me, up to five minutes. Usually, after two minutes, I'm doing great.

MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. I'm telling you, if that product says, on the box, on the label, that -- to use it before or during the tattoo or cosmetic tattoo procedure, that product is in violation of FDA standards and would be considered a new drug because we changed its directions for use, or, if it's just represented that way on the website, but the bottle is fine, that would be a misbranding violation, per the FDA.

In all of their monographs regarding all of these products, tattooing, painful procedures, cosmetic



tattooing, microblading, whatever we want for out there, none of these have been approved as approved uses for any of these products, per all of the monographs that are in the Code of Federal Registers for the FDA. So it's either a bad product or you're using an approved product in an off-label manner.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I'm saying I don't know that. I don't know that when I read FDA document, telling me these are the companies not to use. I'm not using any of those companies. I'm not using any of the -- the list of companies that they sent out letters to.

MR. SCHMIDT: That's not an all-encompassing list with bad products that are on the market. Those are just the ones that they went to their manufacturing facility, found the violations. And then warning letters are actually the second step of FDA enforcement.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right.

MR. SCHMIDT: FDA enforcement starts with potentially, like, just compliance from the company.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right.

MR. SCHMIDT: So if they don't get that compliance, then it's a formal warning letter. So all of the other things that happen behind the scenes, you don't see on their website. It's not put out --

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct.



MR. SCHMIDT: -- for all that information. 1 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I'm saying, you know, me, just being Tammy Hadley from Springport, Michigan, I 3 4 don't have an attorney on, you know, my payroll that I can send to go out and do all these things. 5 I count on 6 things like this -- I mean, I count on things like this 7 to figure out what the laws and rules are, so that I can 8 make sure I'm staying within the parameters. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: That is why we put those in the 10 rules, is to let you guys know, with all the existing 11 legal framework between the state and the federal 12 government, those are your two options for using these 13 products for these procedures without being in violation 14 of something else. 15 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So is there any way we can 16 get around that? Is there any rule --17 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, we don't have -- we don't 18 have the ability to change FDA standards or the state's 19 medical definition for the practice of medicine. We are 20 just -- we only have jurisdiction over the body art 21 rules. 22 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Then, why are we even here? 23 To talk about the body art rules. MR. SCHMIDT:

if these are the rules and these are the laws, like, how



MS. TAMMY HADLEY:

24

25

But I'm saying, if we're --

1 is it that we're even meeting about this, if it is, 2 like, a solid stop? 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: They haven't been finalized 4 yet. 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Correct. 6 MS. CHERYL JONES: This is the draft. 7 Yep, they're still proposed. MR. SCHMIDT: 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But you're saying that the 9 FDA makes those. We don't even have the right to have 10 any say in any of them? Then why are we having a 11 meeting? 12 Well, there's --MR. SCHMIDT: 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Do you understand what I'm 14 I'm not trying to be, like --15 MR. SAUNDERS: This is one specific rule that 16 you're talking about that we put on there for 17 clarification purposes. Because I think most tattooers, 18 most permanent cosmetic artists, don't understand that 19 they'd be in violation of the instructions for use. 20 They don't quite get that part of not just their 21 industry, but, like, just the way the FDA and federal 22 government work. 23 Let's say, for example, this group said, "No, it's totally fine to use that." We would be factually 24

wrong, because we don't actually have any control over



25

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The reason it's in our rules is because we want 1 2 people to know, so that they know what the rules are. 3 We -- anything that we write on these -- in these 4 documents, wouldn't change the framework that actually 5 exists already, that we have no control over. specifically for this product. So there's others things 6 7 in these rules that we do have control over, but this is 8 just a clarification.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I understand that. But what I'm saying is, if we don't have any control over it, and I'm -- and you're telling me that the FDA is the one that makes the rule, and I have this right here telling me what I can and cannot use -- I understand what you're saying, just because the bottle says that doesn't mean that's really what it is. But, like, that could be that way with any product. Just because the labeling says that, it doesn't -- it doesn't mean what it really is; correct?

MR. SAUNDERS: Correct.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I'm saying, if I follow what this F- -- this is off the FDA website. If I follow what it says, how am I doing anything -- because that would have to supersede this meeting; correct? I'm not -- am I --

MR. SCHMIDT: I'm not sure.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Pardon? 2 MR. SCHMIDT: I'm not sure what you were 3 asking. 4 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I'm asking if I -- if we 5 meet here today, and you're telling -- you're telling us 6 that we cannot change the rule or the law about it, 7 because it's an FDA -- you know, it's -- the FDA 8 controls it, basically, and I follow what the FDA says, 9 how am I -- how am I breaking the rules? 10 And if I understand correctly, I'm breaking the 11 rules because, just because the label says it, doesn't 12 mean it's true. But I don't -- I don't know that. I mean, I wouldn't -- if a label says something and I buy 13 14 it from a reputable company, I want to believe it. 15 like any other product, I go to the store,. I read the 16 label. I buy it. I don't think about it. I don't --17 MR. SAUNDERS: If the label were to say that it 18 was okay for tattooing --19 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. 20 MR. SAUNDERS: -- it would not be a reputable 21 product. It can't say that because you would need a 22 brand new 510(k) number that doesn't exist. So --23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But the FDA is saying I can 24 use four percent --25 MR. SAUNDERS: If you're a doctor.



1	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It doesn't say a doctor.
2	This this does not say anything about me being a
3	doctor.
4	MR. SAUNDERS: Again, this is the way FDA meets
5	Michigan law right? the way Michigan law defines
6	practicing medicine. Again, we don't have any control
7	over that.
8	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So this document off the FDA
9	website is nothing? It never says any it doesn't
10	anything about me being a physician, a doctor, an
11	anybody.
12	MR. SCHMIDT: Because that's talking about
13	over-the-counter
14	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It's a
15	MR. SCHMIDT: meaning for self-diagnosis and
16	use. That anything with regards to the FDA standard
17	is going to really be your clients using that product,
18	the consumer.
19	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay.
20	MR. SCHMIDT: Over-the-counter is for
21	self-diagnosis use. Specific to the artist or the
22	technician, whatever, using it on a client, that's where
23	it falls under the practice of medicine; right? That
24	almost has nothing to do with FDA standards at that

point in time. That's talking about the practice of

25

medicine. Where we really start diving down the rabbit hole with the FDA requirements and standards is when we're talking about the products that your clients might misuse on before getting the procedure.

And we know that no product is approved, no numbing -- if it creates a numbing sensation, it is not approved in the United States for use before or during a tattoo, painful procedure, cosmetic tattoo, any of those things that you see out there with bad products that put that on their label, specifically.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So I have a question for you: It can't be like a lidocaine, and it can't be like a tetracaine or a benzocaine or anything like that, because they're considered over-the-counter, what about an all natural product that is not FDA regulated or isn't -- doesn't -- I mean, what about something like that? I mean, I don't have anything, but, I mean --

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Like Biotat?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Pardon?

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Biotat?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. What -- what about something that isn't regulated like that? Because then it's not considered an OTC, then it's not in violation.

MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, in the United States, the FDA basically regulates everything to some degree or



1 another. What was the name of the --2 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Biotat. 3 MS. MOREHOUSE: While we're looking that up, 4 there is a way around it, and that is getting a 5 prescription. So your -- especially in your case, your 6 patients or clients --7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 8 MS. MOREHOUSE: -- can get a prescription. 9 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So if they get a 10 prescription, they have to apply it? Because wouldn't 11 we still be practicing medicine if we apply it and they 12 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yes, we would be. 14 MR. SCHMIDT: There is two rules that are in 15 the proposed set. One is with regards to the client 16 application, in which case they would need to get a 17 prescription. They would follow the directions for use 18 that a doctor gave them. 19 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: We don't put it on? 20 MR. SCHMIDT: You don't put it on them at all. The other rule is with regards to if the artist were to 21 22 apply it, and that's where it falls -- there's another 23 set of rules in the state of Michigan for delegation of 24 duties by a physician to another healthcare professional. So that's going to be more along the 25



1	lines of, you're working at a med spa with a doctor on
2	staff med spas are kind of gray to begin with and
3	they would still write a prescription, but they would
4	delegate their duty as a doctor, where they're allowed
5	to apply to yourself or another healthcare professional.
6	And there's a whole other set of rules in the
7	state of Michigan for delegation of duties by a
8	physician, so you would have to be in compliance with
9	those.
10	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: (Inaudible)
11	THE REPORTER: Ms. Orrico?
12	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Are doctors actually going
13	to write presents for people to write tattoos?
14	MS. BRENNAN: Just a minute.
15	THE REPORTER: I apologize. If you're going to
16	speak, can you possibly maybe move this way, just so the
17	microphone picks you up?
18	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Yeah.
19	THE REPORTER: I just want to make sure I have
20	everything on the record. Thank you. Sorry.
21	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: No, you're fine. Do we
22	think that doctors are actually going to write
23	prescriptions for numbing agents for people to get
24	tattoos? Do they have the knowledge of, like
25	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: No, they don't.



MS. MOREHOUSE: 1 This is off the cuff, but my 2 guess would be that if it was something that was 3 necessary and --MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Areola tattoos, cancer 4 5 related. 6 MS. MOREHOUSE: -- they felt that the numbing 7 cream was going to be safe in the procedure --8 MS. BRENNAN: One at a time, please. 9 MS. MOREHOUSE: -- that they would then --10 MS. CHERYL JONES: Most doctors will do 11 anything --12 MS. MOREHOUSE: -- do it. 13 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- for money. It doesn't 14 even matter. They'll do anything for money. 15 kind of -- or what this whole thing is about. 16 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I just don't -- I don't 17 know if they would think, like, a tattoo is necessary. 18 I don't know. I just -- I feel like part we're just not 19 going to be able to use it at all. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So I would like to say something real quick. I just -- this is just a little 21 22 story of something that happened to me last year. So I 23 had -- I don't -- I do not do permanent makeup procedures on women that are actively receiving chemo. 24 I will not do it. I don't think it's safe. I can have 25



16,000 doctors' notes. I'm not going to do it. I'm either going to do it before they start or 30 to 60 days after they are deemed cancer free. That's just my personal -- how I feel it's safe.

So I had a lady that really wanted her eyebrows done, and she called and called, and begged and begged and begged, and she was in the middle of chemo, and she brought in a doctor's note. And I told her I -- and the doctor's note said that I was allowed to do it. I do not, in any way, shape or form, think I'm more educated than a physician when it comes to many things, but I think the physician has no idea what I do.

So I said to her, "I'll make you a deal. I'm going to call this physician" -- it was her neighbor, by the way -- "I'm going to call -- I'm going to ask him a couple questions, and if he can answer my questions I will do this for you." She said, "Okay." So we called Mark up. We had a nice conversation with him. He said, "Well, I thought she was just getting makeup. I didn't really understand why she had to have prescription for it, but she just said it was just a little makeup. It was just going to last a little bit longer than just, like, putting blush on." So had I -- had I have done it based on -- he doesn't care. And I don't mean he doesn't care about her as a person or me as a body art



facility owner or permanent makeup artist, but he doesn't know. He's saving people's lives. He doesn't really care about a little makeup. It's just little makeup. He just had no idea.

And I would have -- after I explained it to him, he's like, "Absolutely not. No, she cannot have this done." But I had a prescription in my hand, so I was legally covered. But, I mean, I would never have done that. I just -- I think there -- I understand -- and I -- and I'll be the first to say we, as tattoo artists and permanent makeup artists, need to be far more regulated. Our facilities are very regulated, but I fix horrific things every single day on all kinds of people.

However, I don't think that targeting -- just saying, "Okay. No topicals at all because this is the rule." I think there should be -- I don't want to say a gray area, but there should be something that we can do to keep people safe, or things like that are going to happen.

You're right, a doctor will pretty much write a prescription for anything, depending on, "Is he your neighbor?", "Do you know him?" It -- I mean, there's a -- you know, people self-prescribe things to their doctors and tell them these are their symptoms that



aren't, and do a visit over the Zoom and get a prescription for whatever, but that's not the point to me. The point to me is that they don't even -- a physician doesn't necessarily know what I do, and it's not really his job to know, just like it's not my job to know what he does.

I have to be the professional in my industry. I have to put my research in. I have to take that time off work, you know, to come to meetings like this and have my voice be heard. It's my job to know my profession, not his, and his to know mine. And he 100 percent -- I had a prescription in my hand as he's telling me, "Well, it's just makeup. I thought it was kind of silly anyway, but that's exactly what my prescription pad says." I am a hundred percent allowed to do it. He didn't even know what I was doing.

Once I it explained to him, "Oh, no; no; no."

He's like, "It's a hard stop." But he didn't know. He just didn't know. He's saving people's lives, administering chemo medication, like, you know, working 20 hours a day. He didn't know. She just, you know -- that she lost both of her breasts, and she wanted areola tattoos and eyebrow tattoos. And if that was what was going to make her happy, he was going to write it down on a prescription pad and send her over to me.



1 MS. MOREHOUSE: But he's not writing a 2 prescription for the procedure. He's writing a 3 prescription for the lidocaine, if he feels it's safe. 4 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Her point is, is that they 5 don't have a good understanding of what we even do and 6 7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 8 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: -- how invasive it is. 9 mean, half the people that --10 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: What layer of skin --11 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: -- (inaudible) don't --12 they do not understand what it is. 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 14 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So it's just kind of -- it 15 makes you a little nervous to trust the doctor has the 16 knowledge to make an informed decision on their 17 prescription, and that it's going to be done in a way 18 that's safe to use in those areas. 19 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And that the client is going 20 to give the correct information to the doctor to be able 21 to make the informed decision. Then the doctor writes 22 the prescription for my client, and my client comes in 23 and it says, "Apply the size of the nickel and let it 24 sit for 15 minutes," but she's really scared of this procedure, so she's been applying it for the last -- I 25



don't know -- nine hours, and now she's over-medicated herself that I have no knowledge of, but I got this piece of paper keeping me safe. But she's not safe. She's not safe, but I can't put it on, which I would know the right amount, and I would know why. You know, you know how many people think if you use more, it's better; more -- more numbing, it's better.

It's not better. I mean, it has a chemical reaction on the skin, like anything does that you put on. Baby lotion has a reaction with the skin. It's just -- but clients don't know that, because they're not educated to know it. So now they're armed with a prescription that they think is safe because they're a physician it with them, and they're administering high doses for extended periods of time, and we're right back to where we were before. The answer, I don't think, is with the physician. I think it's with informed, caring people that are professionals in their industry, making decisions that we know are not safe. I don't care I had a doctor's note or not. I sent her away, crying her eyes out because she couldn't get my service done, but I knew it was for her benefit.

And I know that because I'm a professional, and I know that because I've studied it. It was not good for her, but he wrote her a prescription to do it. And



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you're right, not for the -- the anesthetic itself, for me to be able to do it, which is kind of even a bigger deal. Because a lot of people would have just said, "Well, I'm going to do it." You know, I would have -by the time she was done with eyebrows and areolas, I would have walked out \$2,000 in my pocket, had I been somebody that charges, which I don't for cancer patients, ever. But it just -- the whole -- the whole point of it is that we're putting something in somebody's hands that don't have the knowledge of what we do, and how can we get past that? You know, that we had a work group for years that didn't even consist of a permanent makeup artist. We're having people make rules about things that affect us that don't even do it every day.

MS. MOREHOUSE: How do you know they're not putting it on now and then you're double -- doubling it up?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I 100 percent do not. I ask questions, a lot of questions. I have a light and a magnifier that I use to look down into the skin. I test the skin to see if there's any kind of reactiveness. If the product that they're using contains any kind of epinephrine, the skin would look white because blood flow is constricted.



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I mean, there are things that I can do to really put it educated attempt to make sure that they're not using something on their skin, and a hundred percent I do. I examine all skin before I ever touch it. what elasticity is in it. You know, I don't just start. But -- and I have a conversation with them. I also let people know, "If you have used something on the skin prior to be starting this service, you have to tell me or it's going to have an adverse effect. Don't just look at it on a piece of paper when you hear my waiting room, filling it out. I'm looking through the eye. I'm going to ask you, because I need to know." And if I think that it has, I reschedule them. "Something's going on with your skin right now. I'm not sure what it I don't know if you've consumed some alcohol, used to be product on your skin."

Obviously, I blame them for it, but I just tell them, "This doesn't look like I want it to look before I work on this. Let's schedule you out in two weeks, you know, be really hyper-aware of what you're putting it on your skin at this time, and when you come back in two weeks, take a look at it." It always looks good, because they were lying. But it's not their job to get past me. It's my job as a professional to know what to look for. Because I've thousands clients, I know what



to look for, and I'm not going to put anybody in an 1 2 unsafe situation. That's why, after eight years, I'm 3 still in business, because I actually care. 4 MS. BRENNAN: Any further comments? 5 MS. CHERYL JONES: I have some questions. May 6 I? 7 MS. BRENNAN: Absolutely. 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: I'm sorry, but what --9 (Off the record interruption) 10 MS. BRENNAN: Please spell your name. MS. CHERYL JONES: My name is Cheryl, 11 12 C-h-e-r-y-l, last name is Jones. I'm tattoo artist of 13 27 years. I'm the person who purled the letter to 14 everybody in Michigan back in 2005, prompting the body 15 art rules and regulations of 2010, and attended meetings 16 at Wayne County for about a year, and was part of 17 recommending Chris Lachance to the board for, you know 18 -- anyways, to make sure that the body art ordinance got 19 passed. 20 So I'm very, very familiar with everything, you 21 I wish I had my notes with me. Okay. So, for 22 starters, I can't point out exactly where all of these 23 parts are in, but I'm sure you have the numbers right in 24 front you. 25 My first question is, what prompted this, the



1 rule changes all of a sudden? What prompted it? 2 MS. BRENNAN: On a legal basis, there never 3 were any rules, never. 4 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure. MS. BRENNAN: This is the first time. And what 5 6 prompted it? Which ones are you talking about? 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well --8 MS. BRENNAN: We had a 2010, 2019. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure; sure. Okay. 10 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 11 MS. CHERYL JONES: I'm -- I'm talking about the 12 recent draft. I'm really interested in what -- what 13 prompted the draft for the regulation of the type of 14 tattoo machines that we currently use? Who -- whose 15 idea --16 MR. SCHMIDT: I'm going to let -- I saw bunch 17 of that online, like, last week. We are -- that rule 18 does not limit you to rotary pens only. That's 19 referring to the cartridge needing a backflow prevention 20 requirement. 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It says, "Rotary pens only." 22 MS. CHERYL JONES: It does say, "Rotary pens 23 only." MR. SCHMIDT: No, it says, "Only rotary pens 24 25 with appropriate backflow prevention can be used."



That's only talking about the requirement for rotary 1 2 pens, that does not disallow coils or other frame rotary 3 pens. That does not disallow --4 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Coil. 5 MR. SCHMIDT: -- coils or other framed rotary 6 that's used, like a traditional grip, tube and tip, 7 needle with needle bar. That does not limit that 8 whatsoever. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. With backflow 10 prevention? 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Correct. 12 MS. CHERYL JONES: And, again, what -- what 13 prompted this? I mean, I'm sure you guys aren't real --14 MR. SCHMIDT: So if you go back to the 15 original, the current requirements, it actually says in 16 there that rotary pens can only be used for cosmetic 17 tattooing procedures, that traditional -- in the current 18 rules, traditional tattooing with a rotary pen and 19 cartridge is actually not allowed. So we obviously 20 needed to get rid of that; right? That's the most 21 common tattoo machine used today. But we did need to 22 include the requirements, because most of those aren't 23 made in a way that can be broken down and sterilized, 24 reprocessed, like your other equipment.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Correct.



25

October 28, 2024

MR. SCHMIDT: So we have to have that internal membrane for backflow prevention, so that the machine then just needs to be cleaned and disinfected and covered during use. Without that requirement, you would have to reprocess and sterilize, because backflow would get into the machine. You can't then reuse that on another client, unless it's been reprocessed.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Absolutely. But it much more is a cartridge issue, and making sure that you have that membrane in the cartridge, other than like the, like -- the rules were stating that you wanted a certificate for proof that the rotary machine doesn't --

MR. SCHMIDT: So there two things. There's either manufacturing proof that backflow prevention exists and that it's been tested to prevent backflow, or we added a guide for field testing where you, as the artist, can test it out, or the health department, if they wanted to take a cartridge and just test it very quickly to make sure that it provides backflow, because there are a lot of bad products on there, whether they have a membrane, but it's not constructed in a way that accurate -- like it actually prevents backflow, or there's ones that just have springs and rubber bands on inside, that that's going to then contaminate your machine, which would then have to be fully reprocessed



4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and sterilized, which it can't be because it's electrical.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. Which -- which I fully understand in regards to the cartridges. far as, like, having a machine that -- that where -- I mean, you know, field testing is fine. That makes more sense. But when you're talking about rotary machines and about, like, backflow certificates and getting that from some of these manufacturers, like, you'll be able to get that from the very, very, very expensive, high end manufacturers, but, you know, to buy an affordable machine that, you know -- so everyone has access to be able to do this. I mean, some of these companies don't have these, you know, manufacturing backflow. don't -- they don't come with the machines. I mean, we can't. You know, a lot of these machines are made in China, you know. I mean, even the machines here are made in China. They just, like -- it's just the company owners.

I mean, it doesn't -- one of my concerns is, for some reason, in the rules and regulations you have listed the American -- the medical devices -- the American Medical Device Institute, is listed on there.

I -- unfortunately, don't have my notes, but --

MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, there's a standard in here



I don't believe that the Medical-industrial



25

Complex should have anything to do with our job whatsoever. Our job is -- is -- we don't -- this isn't like a -- a medical procedure that's taking place. We are penetrating the skin at a 2.5 to maybe 3.8 at best. Like, an allergy -- a shot, like, a basic subcutaneous injection goes way deeper into your skin than -- than a tattoo needle. I mean, we're grazing the skin.

The problem is, when you have groups like this medical apparatus, which they're doing a terrible job regulating their own industry -- the problem that you have is, once you allow the Medical-industrial Complex to infiltrate your -- your job, they don't go away.

It's -- it's a very slippery slope. Like -- like, they -- they shouldn't be able to have dominion over what we do. We're not doing a medical procedure, so I don't understand why they're even listed here at all. Because the problem is, if this group is allowed to -- to make regulations for the machines that we use, it's a very slippery slope.

Then -- then at what point are they going to say, "Well, you have to have a medical license to use these medical devices." You know, they're not medical devices. These people shouldn't have their hands on it at all. They shouldn't be involved in it. Their names shouldn't be on here. Why -- why are they? I'm really



```
1
     confused.
 2
              MR. SCHMIDT: So we have to put in the
 3
    definitions basically anything we use in the body of the
 4
     rules; right? That's why it's in the definition.
 5
     only reference to ANSI and AAMI in the actual rules in
 6
     the body is just with regards to sterilization
 7
    procedures.
8
              MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. So it's --
              MR. SCHMIDT:
9
                            It's nothing --
10
             MS. CHERYL JONES:
                                 It has nothing to do --
11
             MR. SCHMIDT: There's nothing to do with
12
    performing body art. It is just with regards to
13
     autoclave sterilization and spore testing.
14
              MS. CHERYL JONES:
                                Okav.
                            That's it.
15
              MR. SCHMIDT:
16
             MS. CHERYL JONES: All right.
17
                            That is the only reference --
              MR. SCHMIDT:
18
             MS. CHERYL JONES: My concern is that they were
19
    kind of -- were considering our tattoo machines --
20
              MR. SCHMIDT:
                            Nope; nope.
21
              MS. CHERYL JONES: -- as medical devices, the
22
23
              MR. SCHMIDT: No, it has nothing to do with the
24
    tattoo machine whatsoever.
25
             MS. CHERYL JONES: The language wasn't very --
```



1 MR. SCHMIDT: So we have to put -- like I said, 2 we have to put in the definitions, especially, like --3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah. MR. SCHMIDT: -- trade names that in the body, 4 5 we put ANSI slash AAMI. We have to define what those 6 are; right? 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure. 8 MR. SCHMIDT: That's just a legal requirement. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure. 10 In the body, the only reference MR. SCHMIDT: 11 is with regards to the sterilization, like, at the 12 facility. And that's just going to be with regards to 13 your autoclaves, sterilization procedures and spore 14 That's it. Has nothing to do with performing 15 any tattoo, body piercing, cosmetic tattoo. 16 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, I was --17 MR. SCHMIDT: Has nothing to do --18 MS. CHERYL JONES: I was speaking mostly of our 19 machines as the --20 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah, it has nothing to do with 21 MS. CHERYL JONES: With the machines? 22 23 MR. SCHMIDT: -- the machines themselves. Ιt 24 has to do with any equipment that you're going to 25 reprocess.



1	MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay.
2	MR. SCHMIDT: And they're not considered by the
3	FDA medical devices, nor
4	MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay.
5	MR. SCHMIDT: do I have any inkling
6	MS. CHERYL JONES: I didn't think that they
7	were.
8	MR. SCHMIDT: that they will, no.
9	MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. And then, also there
10	there is another rule in here, where it states that
11	if a physician is performing any of these procedures
12	that we perform procedures, licensed body body art
13	facility
14	MR. SCHMIDT: So that's with regards to being
15	exempt; correct?
16	MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, physician exemption.
17	MR. SCHMIDT: So that's rule 13103, if you're
18	looking it up.
19	MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah; uh-huh. "Do not apply
20	procedure that utilizes yeah patient's treatment
21	performed under the controlled direction, on-site
22	supervisor who's licensed in the state." Why why are
23	physicians getting an exemption? Because, I mean
24	MR. SCHMIDT: That's just an exemption from
25	needing a license. That's not an exemption from being



in compliance with everything. They just don't need 1 2 that extra license in the state of Michigan. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Why? 4 Because they already have the MR. SCHMIDT: 5 medical license, their license to practice medicine. 6 MS. MOREHOUSE: Also, it says it has to be part 7 of patient treatment. So they're not going to get a 8 horse tattoo on their arm from a physician. That's not 9 allowed. It's got to be part of patient treatment. So, like, what you're doing, that -- a physician could be 10 11 doing that. 12 MR. SAUNDERS: In addition, for certain -- for 13 certain cancer treatments and, like, radiation 14 treatments, they'll have to actually do a little tattoo, 15 so they hit the same spot over and over again. So we 16 didn't want to make them have to get the body art 17 license when they're already doctors; right? 18 MS. CHERYL JONES: I just -- the cross 19 contamination I've seen from the medical industry is 20 horrific. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: Okay. And just so you know, that 22 is not a change. There is some wording clarification in 23 there that actually --24 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure.

MR. SCHMIDT: -- makes it more strict now, but



25

that is an existing rule set. So that was not a change, 1 2 other than we actually clarified it for --3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah -- yeah, I --MR. SCHMIDT: -- both departments. 4 MS. CHERYL JONES: I know. I -- I just -- it 5 6 doesn't make sense to me. I just feel like, you know, 7 if they -- if they had a better idea, you know -- and 8 then regarding the -- okay. So back to the lidoca- --9 lidocaine with physicians and licensing and loopholes and -- the FDA -- like, tattooing is considered -- is --10 is regulated under cosmetics; correct? 11 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Tattoo inks are regulated as 13 cosmetics by the FDA, if that's what you're referring --14 MS. CHERYL JONES: And tattooing with the FDA 15 is considered what? Nothing. The FDA doesn't 16 MR. SCHMIDT: 17 regulate occupations. They regulate products. 18 MS. CHERYL JONES: They regulate products --19 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. 20 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- not occupations. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. 22 MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. So then I -- if we 23 are using our -- the product in -- by -- by the 24 standards that the FDA sets, I don't -- I don't see what 25 the problem is.



1 MR. SAUNDERS: It's because we're not 2 consumers. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Because we're not consumers? 4 Yeah. If --MR. SAUNDERS: 5 MS. CHERYL JONES: I know you've framed this a 6 million times. Like, first of all, the reality is we're 7 going to use it anyways. It doesn't matter. You guys 8 can make whatever laws you want. We're going to do it 9 anyways. Bactine and BAND-AID wash have been around 10 since time infinite. Lidocaine has a wonderful, 11 beautiful safety profile. The OTC being between two to 12 four percent is -- it's just -- it's so watered down, 13 it's -- it's relatively harmless. It's just -- the 14 thing is, it's -- it seems like this -- like, the 15 medical community shouldn't be involved in any way, 16 shape or form. 17 So, now, if we have to -- if a client -- or if 18 we have to go to a physician to get a prescription, 19 you're involving the medical field, and they really shouldn't be involved at all. I mean, not at all. 20 21 is an art. This isn't -- this isn't some kind of crazy 22 medical procedure. This is an art. Like, it's crazy, 23 because the FDA approves children to eat -- to eat red 24 number 40. I mean, there's 13,000 chemicals in our food

that they don't have in the EU right now, and the FDA



25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

approves them all. You know, the FDA recently approved a very dangerous injection, where people are dying.

I mean, what -- you know, this is -- this is ridiculous, and I don't understand why Michigan, of all places -- because I've looked it up. There isn't a single state in the Union that's doing this, except for Michigan. So why? Why is this happening? Why is this happening now, and what can we do to circumvent this? Because we're going to do it anyways, so we might as well find a way to make an agreement in the language, you know, instead of just banning it outright.

And, I mean, this is just a huge disservice to all the clients, all the -- all of the tattooers, all of the people that do permanent cosmetic. This is -- this is insane. Like, I don't understand where this came from. I don't -- like, usually things like this don't pop up for no reason. I mean, were there a slew of complaints to the health department?

Because I tried to look that up, and I didn't see any. I mean, I'm really confused. Like, why is this happening just in Michigan and in no other state, right now? I just am real curious.

MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, I don't think any of the four of us can speak on behalf of any other state and what they are or are not doing. We can just say what's



1 happening in Michigan. MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. But why? I mean, 2 3 what -- what was the -- was there -- was there a safety, 4 like -- what -- what happened that this suddenly has to 5 be done now? I mean, I've been using, you know, Bactine, which benzalkonium is a natural -- it's an 6 7 antiseptic, and it has lidocaine in it, and always has. It -- I mean, the basic -- like, Bactine and BAND-AID 8 9 wash have two point five percent lidocaine in them, and 10 have since time infinite. And I've been using these 11 products since 2008 without incident. So I mean it --12 I'm -- I'm -- even within the language of the rules, 13 it's -- it says it's okay to use an antiseptic on the skin, which, I mean, as far as I'm concerned, Bactine is 14 15 an antiseptic, and so is BAND-AID wash. I mean, that's 16 correct? We are allowed to use antiseptics on skin; 17 right? 18 MR. SCHMIDT: In certain cases you're required 19 to, but with --20 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure. 21 MR. SCHMIDT: -- Bactine, you can use their 22 wound wash, which does not contain lidocaine, and it 23 still is an antiseptic agent. You could use that. 24 MS. CHERYL JONES: Bactine's wound wash has 25 always contained lidocaine --



1	MR. SCHMIDT: Nope.
2	MS. CHERYL JONES: at two percent.
3	MR. SCHMIDT: That's Bactine's pain relieving
4	spray. Their wound wash contains no numbing agent.
5	MS. CHERYL JONES: I don't think I've ever seen
6	just plain old Bactine wound wash without lidocaine,
7	ever. So how can we work this out so that we can still
8	do our jobs? Because it is impossible, like, that
9	you're going to carve on a woman's face, on her eyes, on
10	her eyeballs, on her lips, you know, "You don't get any
11	numbing agent." I don't I mean, all these women are
12	going to be running into Ohio. I mean, so nobody in
13	Michigan can can get anything done ever now?
14	I mean, I like, this is really like,
15	understand what's happening here, is that you're going
16	to devastate the permanent cosmetic industry by not
17	allowing this. I mean, actually, you won't devastate
18	them, because they'll still use it anyways. But, I
19	mean, you know, to some degree I mean, like, there
20	has to be a way to figure this out.
21	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Or it's going to
22	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Can I say something on
23	that note? I do think that by not offering and I
24	know that it's the FDA and not you guys, but, like, not
25	offering, like, some kind of guidance on, like, safe



brands or, like, something to use is just -- like she 1 2 said, people are still going to use it, whether it's 3 client or whether it's the service provider. Like, 4 they're still going to use it, and they're just going to 5 use whatever they can get their hands on. So I think 6 that having some kind of, like -- I don't know. 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, regulations. 8 MR. SCHMIDT: The state --9 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: (Inaudible). MR. SCHMIDT: -- can't tell you how to violate 10 11 state law, because it would still be in violation of the 12 definition of practice of medicine. We can't then say, 13 "Oh, well, it's a practice of medicine" -- which I 14 believe is a felony. We can't then say, "But you can 15 use this and still be in violation"; right? 16 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I understand. 17 MR. SCHMIDT: Like --18 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I understand. 19 MS. CHERYL JONES: So, basically, the problem 20 is that we need to go to the state and have them change 21 their definition of what is considered medical practice? 22 Is that what we need to do? Because they -- they --23 like -- like, this doesn't make sense. Like, our 24 business has -- like, this isn't -- is that what we need 25 to -- we need to ask for them to change the language



1 that defines what a medical procedure is. Is this our 2 only recourse? 3 MR. SCHMIDT: I guess you could do that. MS. CHERYL JONES: Because, like, this is --4 5 this is really, like, you know, government overreach. 6 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I --7 MS. CHERYL JONES: This is ridiculous. 8 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: -- talked to someone from 9 the Society of Permanent Cosmetics, and they said that most likely we're going to basically, like, lobby 10 11 against the state. 12 THE REPORTER: Could you speak up a little, 13 please? 14 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I'm sorry. 15 THE REPORTER: It's okay. You're soft-spoken. 16 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Do you want me to repeat 17 it? 18 THE REPORTER: I think I got that, but just for 19 the future, yeah. Thank you. 20 MS. CHERYL JONES: So when is this rule -- when -- when is this not made as a draft and when is this 21 22 supposed to go into effect? This is -- this is in draft 23 form right now? 24 MS. BRENNAN: Correct. After the public 25 hearing is over, the DHHS meets with the -- with Steve



1 and Jeff. We go over all the public comments. decisions. These are DHHS rules. And then the final 2 3 rules are sent over to the legislature. It's called 4 JCAR, the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules. 5 Because of the election year and that 6 legislature has failed to meet at all for the end --7 'til the end of the year, the rules will be sent in --8 the final rules will be sent in January 2nd. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: January 2nd. 10 MS. BRENNAN: They have to sit there for 15 11 session days, which is a misnomer. It's misleading. 15 12 session days means 15 days when the full legislature 13 meets. So when they finally become the law could be 15 14 It could be three months. Who knows? 15 MS. CHERYL JONES: And so I would assume that 16 all of these people that are going to make the final 17 decision on this, they're -- they're all politicians and 18 people from the Department of Health and Human Services. 19 There won't be any tattooers or -- involved? There 20 won't be any permanent --21 MS. BRENNAN: You have a tattooer right here, 22 Jeff. 23 MR. SAUNDERS: Well, I'm not a --24 MS. MOREHOUSE: Jeff is a piercer.

Or a piercer.



MS. BRENNAN:

25

1 MR. SAUNDERS: We -- that's --2 MS. BRENNAN: I'm sorry. 3 MR. SAUNDERS: That's what the work group was 4 for. 5 MS. BRENNAN: Yes. 6 MR. SAUNDERS: There was --7 MS. CHERYL JONES: I'm sorry. 8 MR. SAUNDERS: -- a lot of tattooers on there. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: A piercer, oh, that's cute. 10 Well, piercers are like the --11 MS. BRENNAN: But we had plenty --12 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- (inaudible) of the tattoo 13 industry. MS. BRENNAN: -- of tattoo artists on the work 14 15 group. 16 MR. SAUNDERS: Okay. 17 MS. BRENNAN: And we have a health inspector 18 here, and we do have DHHS here and the whole bit. 19 depends on when I -- when this is all discussed. 20 times we've changed the rules based upon public comment; 21 many times. Many times we have had reasons, and we have 22 to state the reasons, why we aren't going to change the 23 rules. So that will all be provided in the final rule package that goes over to legislature, and all of that 24 25 will be online for everybody to look at.



MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, the thing is that tattooing has been going on for thousands of years without incident and without the health department. You know, we -- we've been just fine. So, I mean, regardless of whatever the state rules are or aren't going to be, we're still going to go our own route and do what's best for our clients. But there really should be a way to negotiate this.

Like, letting people know which products are good to use and which products aren't good to use, letting people know, like, a standard kind of protocol, would be helpful. Again, we -- we can't take liability for what people do on their own time when they come in here. I mean, it's not -- you know, it's a state law that we can't tattoo anybody under the influence. You know, sometimes people look fine when they're in your chair, and then you start tattooing them about 45 minutes, they did it all kind of squirrely and weird, and you realize, "Okay. Maybe this person took something in the bathroom." I mean, that's not -- it's not our -- I mean, like, we don't -- you know, we can't control what people do on their own time.

So, like, what do we need to do to get the FDA to stay out of this? What do we have to do?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I have a question. I don't



1 mean to interrupt --2 MS. CHERYL JONES: No. 3 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- but this is exactly along 4 the lines of that. So I came here with just a big 5 presentation about topicals. I use the BAND-AID wash, 6 too. 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah. 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I use the antiseptic wash 9 all the time. 10 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Because --12 MS. CHERYL JONES: There's 2.5 percent. 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah, it -- there is, and I 14 never even thought about it until you said that. 15 MS. CHERYL JONES: And it's always been 16 approved by the Health Department. 17 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So my question is, if we 18 can't use products we've always used to keep clients 19 safe, I -- my infection rate is zero. I don't have 20 reports of infection. I don't have -- I --21 MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. 22 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I don't -- I'm not 23 understanding, if that all these things are going to be 24 taken away from us and we're practicing safe practice 25 right now -- we all care enough to come here and -- and



speak our minds and try to advocate for, you know, what we feel is right. I don't understand, like, where is the -- where is the limit to that? Like, if we can't use lidocaine because it's an over-the-counter, what about BAND-AID? That's an over-the-counter. Green Soap? I mean, I know that they're in different, but they're still all things you can walk into a store and purchase.

And I will also just say this: I've owned a medical billing company for 30 years. I have 17 doctors right now that will prescribe this for me. But it's not right, because when I ask them, "What would you -- what would you prescribe?" "Well, I don't know. Whatever you tell me." "Wait, what? Whatever I tell you? You're listening to me anyway."

MS. CHERYL JONES: Actually, she does bring up a good point.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: They don't know.

MS. CHERYL JONES: It really is dangerous to have a doctor write a prescription for anybody getting tattooed. I mean, are -- are doctors aware that once you go up at around eight percent it causes tissue necrosis? Do they know that? I mean, like, you know, are -- is there going to be some doctor out there that's just going to prescribe somebody a eight -- eight or 10



percent, like, just because --1 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yes, there is. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- they don't know any 4 better? You know, I mean, these doctors don't -- you know, doctors think that stronger is better, you know. 5 6 They might inadvertently write a prescription for 7 somebody where the lidocaine content is so high that 8 there's a -- that there's a problem, you know. I mean, 9 some of the topical creams that I've seen --10 (Off the record interruption) 11 MS. BRENNAN: That was our break time 12 announcement. I apologize. 13 MS. CHERYL JONES: Some of the topicals that 14 I've seen for people, like -- like, post-surgical and 15 stuff are -- I mean, are -- they're -- they're --16 they're pretty high percentages. I've seen creams up to 17 eight percent. I've had clients actually say, "Hey, my 18 grandma had this. Can I use this ahead of time?" "No, 19 you can't. It's really bad. Like, that's way too 20 high." 21 How does a doctor know. How do we know that --22 I mean, like, this is the problem: If we need a prescription from a doctor for our client or for us to 23 24 use this product, then we're putting our trust in the 25 doctors that they're doing the right thing. And, first



1 of all, they shouldn't have anything to do with our industry. But, secondly, you know, look this up, the 2 3 highest rate of death in our country is because of 4 medical malpractice in doctors. I mean, they kill more 5 than -- kill more people than anybody. Go look it up. 6 We're going to trust the doctor to write us a 7 prescription. We can't trust the doctor. That doesn't 8 make any sense. 9 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Well, for a procedure they 10 don't know. I mean, I was going to have a heart 11 palpitations. I didn't get sent to a gynecologist. I 12 got sent to a cardiologist, because that's what they 13 know. Now, do they have some knowledge of it for med 14 school? Yes. But my mom was prescribed a topical --15 and this is why I'm so passionate about topicals. 16 was prescribed topical to put on her port so when they 17 accessed it, you know, it helped reduce the pain. Ιt

The skin was so broke down, they had to do a surgical procedure to remove her port from that topical.

It was way too strong for her skin. So she --

was a prescription by her oncologist.

MS. CHERYL JONES: (Inaudible) using it?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- actually started using mine, and she had no problem. But --

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: On a similar note, I was



18

19

20

21

22

23

24

looking at articles about deaths that occurred from applying numbing cream over large areas. This one says the FDA reported the deaths of two women, ages 22 and 25, who used topical anesthetics following laser hair removal treatments. The FDA noted that the creams were formulated by pharmacies and contained high concentrations of the drugs lidocaine and tetracaine.

So I do think that if doctors are going to be writing these prescriptions that -- and they don't have the knowledge that the tattoo industry has and the amount of space that it's going to be used and everything, that I just think it's going to be dangerous. And I know that you guys are basically creating a law in a manner that's compliant with the FDA, but I don't think that it's going to be in our best interest for clients.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I agree.

MS. CHERYL JONES: I think it's abundantly clear that the FDA does not have our -- our -- the best interest of its citizens in mind. That is so obvious.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I think the FDA has to make rules, big blanket rules, because there's so many down -- like, the minuscule amounts of rules, and then give the power to people like us to take that rule and say, "Okay. This is -- this is what this means." I mean,



1 | there's so much in interpretation.

MS. CHERYL JONES: They don't. They're being paid by the corporations. They don't care what we have to say.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Well, I want to believe that that's not always true and I want to believe that our voices are heard. That's why we, you know, are here and that's why you're here. I -- I think -- I don't want to ever believe that we live in a society that, you know, everything we do is just without purpose; I don't. I don't want to believe that. I want to believe that there is a greater good and what do matters.

And I'm not going to -- I don't -- I a hundred percent cannot sit here and say anything negative while physicians, because they've been my business until last December for 30 years. But I think -- and they're very educated in their own specialty, but I cannot go to my gynecologist and talk to him about my tachycardia and have him say, "Well, this is what we're going to do."

Now he's going to send me over to a cardiologist because that's what they specialize in. This is what we specialize in.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. And the -- and the language is very -- like, it's -- I mean, any physician? Doesn't matter -- doesn't matter what their specialty



is? Any physician, we can just pick any one we want? I mean, because I got three or four of them on lock. I can get prescriptions all day. You see, that -- that's the thing, that this isn't -- this isn't even really about our client's safety or about -- about protecting us under the law, or like -- this is just -- it -- it's -- it's just about money.

We have to go -- like, we can still have it, but we have to go to a physician for it. Doesn't matter what physician, just any physician. We can go to podiatrist, you know, and get -- podiatrists use lidocaine. We can get a podiatrist to write it for us. Speaking of OB, I've got a friend. I could get her to write me a script in a second.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Absolutely.

MS. CHERYL JONES: I mean, does -- does the -- does the -- the matter of study of what the physician does even matter? I mean, that's -- that's -- it's ridiculous. It's like saying any physician -- any physician, can write this for lidocaine, because they know so much more than all of you. It doesn't make any sense.

How does this make sense? Like, this is body art. This is an art that we do. This is not medical, and they need to stay out of it. And I get you're



saying the FDA framework to protect us from liability. 1 2 Protect us from liability how? Like, as in our clients 3 are going to sue us? Doubt it, doubt it. And, again, 4 safety profile lidocaine, how many people are dropping 5 for misuse of lidocaine? And then off-label use, to tell you the truth, lidocaine is an off-label use 6 7 altogether when it's used in topical anesthetic, because 8 I was reading that it was originally started for -- it 9 helps with arrhythmias, heart arrhythmias. 10 That was its original intent. It's huge. So I 11 mean, all uses are pretty much off-label use for 12 lidocaine, anyways. I quess that's about all I got. 13 But I mean, like, will we have another opportunity to 14 voice our concerns here about this? 15 Because you realize this decision, this rule, 16 will devastate the industry here in Michigan; right? It 17 will devastate everything if we won't be allowed to use 18 these products. And then how does that work with 19 Amazon? Do you call up Amazon and have them put a block 20 on Michigan, so anybody who wants to order any kind of 21 lidocaine in Michigan can't have it? Because then what 22 do people do post-surgical and people with hemorrhoids 23 and -- you know, what -- what do we do with that? 24 I mean, like, where does it stop? I mean,

we're -- I just -- I just have these questions. I need



1 answers. Like, I mean, will we have another opportunity 2 to talk about this?

MS. MOREHOUSE: Your other opportunity is to reach out to your state representatives and tell them your feelings, because they're the ones that's going to approve it.

MS. BRENNAN: Could I just comment on that?

That's going to be premature. Up until the point of that the rules are fully promulgated, there could be a hearing over at the Joint Committee on Administrative Rules.

But other than that, then once the rules are fully promulgated and there are still issues, Kathryn is correct, you would seek political assistance on that one.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I -- I feel like -- first and foremost, like, really late to the game. This has been happening for years, and I didn't know. And I would like to say it's just because I didn't really care before because it didn't affect me, but I do care and I really didn't know.

As soon as I found out, I was on the phone with my health department. I was on the phone, like, trying to figure it out, trying to do something about. It's not like I was, you know, negligent and just not doing



9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- anything until it's almost too late. I just didn't -- I honestly and truly didn't know. If there was a committee, I can guarantee you I would have been on the committee. If you would have had -- I guarantee that I would have shown up and spoke --
- 6 MS. CHERYL JONES: I don't remember receiving a letter about any of that.
 - MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- and spoke to whoever wanted to hear me, and, you know, collaborated with other permanent makeup artists to have somebody be (inaudible). But finding out at the last minute, and then having us try to do something about it -- I'm sure it wasn't intended to be sneaky so that we couldn't do anything about it, but it feels that way, that we were very much not represented.
 - It feels very much that didn't have a say, and I didn't get an email saying, you know, there's an opening on our work group that they're looking for a permanent makeup artist. I never got that. I never got anything. I just didn't know.
 - MS. CHERYL JONES: Was there an original meeting that -- that we were supposed to be at that --
 - MR. SAUNDERS: I believe it's 2019.
- MS. TAMMY HADLEY: During COVID?
- 25 MR. SAUNDERS: 2019.



MR. SCHMIDT: Just before COVID. 1 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Was there an email that went 3 out about that? MR. SAUNDERS: That's when I -- when I found 4 5 out about it, it was then and there was, like -- it was 6 the initial --7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: How did you find out, 8 though? 9 MR. SAUNDERS: -- email. 10 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So you did get an email? 11 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Yeah. 13 MS. MOREHOUSE: So the email was about the 14 public hearing. 15 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, it was --16 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I haven't had an email 17 change in probably 17 years. It's always been the same 18 email. 19 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, I --20 MS. CHERYL JONES: The last one that I got was 21 how you guys deregulated everything on ears. 22 MR. SCHMIDT: I'm sorry. What was that? 23 MS. CHERYL JONES: Everything that was 24 deregulated regarding ears. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: Ear piercing?



HEARING

1	MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah.
2	MS. MOREHOUSE: Ear piercing was never in the
3	body art law.
4	MS. CHERYL JONES: You, well, there was some
5	kind of regarding ears. If it had anything to do
6	with ears, it was not under the you know, it wasn't
7	part of the that was the last one I got. It was
8	there was something about ears. The way it was
9	ears were, like, deregulated, not considered part of a
10	body art modification, kind of like, do whatever you
11	want with ears is what I got.
12	MS. MOREHOUSE: It was never deregulated. It
13	was never part of
14	MS. CHERYL JONES: It was never part
15	MS. MOREHOUSE: the law.
16	MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah. There was something
17	about ears. That's the last last thing I received.
18	It was like, "It's got nothing to do with it. You can
19	do whatever you want."
20	MS. MOREHOUSE: In 2019 there was a hearing, a
21	public hearing, when, basically, they were trying to
22	pass the NEHA Model Code as the rules. That had a very
23	large turnout. I wasn't the one that sent those emails.
24	I don't know I'm assuming it went to the owners, like

it did this time. And we had a very large turnout, and

from there, artists, owners asked to be on the
committee, because it was decided then, that day at the
public hearing, there was going to be a work group put
together.

I know that -- I'm pretty sure that inspectors maybe recommended if we asked for members, new members, and then local health departments also volunteered to be on the group.

MS. BRENNAN: Let me follow up on what she had said. There was no way we were going to get every body art, health inspector and the whole thing. So it was cross represented. There were not one, there were two already public hearings. We're working on our 14th year of trying to get these rules promulgated. So we -- there was a cross selection of artists, health inspectors and DHHS that were on the work group.

Now, for -- again, for transparency, a work group is not needed. DHHS could have sat down and just did their rules based on whatever they wanted, and we have a public hearing, but I always recommend the work group to get to the best interest of the stakeholders and everybody else. So who was on that 2000- --

MS. CHERYL JONES: -19.

MS. BRENNAN: That was the second one. There was a -- one 2016 --



MR. SCHMIDT: 116. 1 2 MS. BRENNAN: Was it '16? 3 MR. SCHMIDT: So the original email was sent 4 out to all facilities and health departments on June 5 28th of 2016, regarding the first public hearing. 6 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. 7 MR. SCHMIDT: And then October 21st of 2-- --8 I'm sorry -- October 18th of 2019 --9 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. MR. SCHMIDT: -- regarding the previous public 10 11 hearing. 12 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. So this is the third. And 13 I believe the originals on both work groups -- I know 14 Jeff was part of both work groups -- I mean, not Jeff. 15 I'm sorry. Steve. Jeff, were you part of the --16 MR. SAUNDERS: No, I didn't live here before 17 that. 18 MS. BRENNAN: Okay. 19 MS. MOREHOUSE: I don't think there was a work 20 group for the last one, was there? There was a --21 MS. BRENNAN: There was a work group on that 22 one as well. 23 MR. SCHMIDT: There were a couple --24 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: There were a couple updates to



- 1 | the current requirements that are still highlighted.
- 2 | It's from 2018. So that was the work group that existed
- 3 from 2016 to (inaudible).
- 4 MS. BRENNAN: And that was it, the 2016 work
- 5 | group to the 2019 at work group, who's interested from
- 6 | that group. They were still interested. It was not an
- 7 | intentional, "We're going to not go to this geographical
- 8 | area, " or, "Oh, my God. What a pain in the butt she
- 9 | is." You know, we didn't do any of that. It was the
- 10 cross reference of --
- 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right.
- 12 MS. BRENNAN: -- all of the people who were on
- 13 | the previous ones. And your best interests -- and don't
- 14 | hit me, but that's how the work group came around. Was
- 15 | a work group necessary or legal, or were we supposed to
- 16 | have one? No. Did we have one? Yes. But there was no
- 17 | intentional leaving out anyone.
- 18 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I just know that I wouldn't
- 19 | want to be making rules about piercers, because I have
- 20 | no desire to do that, nor do I know the rules and
- 21 | regulations for a piercer, because I don't have to,
- 22 | because it's not my specialty.
- MR. SAUNDERS: Well, we did have tattoo artists
- 24 and permanent makeup artists on the committee; right?
- MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: There's not one permanent



1 makeup artist. 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: That stopped coming over a 3 year ago. 4 Right, but --MR. SAUNDERS: 5 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So they even (inaudible). 6 MR. SAUNDERS: -- this is five years of that. 7 MS. MOREHOUSE: Okay. I will say that even 8 though they weren't attending the meetings, every 9 meeting they got the updates. They know everything that 10 changed every time they were highlighted. So while they 11 weren't attending meetings, had they saw something they 12 disagreed with, they could have still reached out. 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But maybe they just didn't 14 reach out because they were just done with the whole 15 thing. 16 MS. MOREHOUSE: That's a possibility. 17 MR. SAUNDERS: It's possible, yeah. 18 MS. MOREHOUSE: We also can't just put out a 19 thing to every artist who wants to go, because how do we 20 decide who's on the workgroup? 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. That's what if --22 MS. BRENNAN: That's even worse. 23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- 300 people show up? 24 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 25 MS. MOREHOUSE: Right.



MS. TAMMY HADLEY: 1 I understand. 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Well, I would like the 3 record to note that if there is ever another work group, 4 or anything dealing with anything like this at all, big 5 or small, I would like to be a part of that. 6 MS. BRENNAN: We have your name, yeah. 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: So, yeah, for the record, 8 I'd just like to say that what we are doing is not a 9 medical procedure, and we want doctors completely out of 10 it; completely out of it. It really -- I mean, I don't 11 know what to tell you guys. I think that in the -- in 12 the Michigan -- in the code, something needs to be 13 written as to, like, the requirements, safety 14 requirements, for these numbing agents, rather than none at all without a physician's, you know -- maybe the --15 16 the nomenclature could be as -- in accordance with FDA 17 regulations. Because if you read the FDA regulations, 18 it, like, doesn't seem to have a problem with us using 19 it. 20 MS. MOREHOUSE: On yourself. That is not to --21 MS. CHERYL JONES: That's fine; that's fine. 22 MS. MOREHOUSE: -- occupations. 23 MS. CHERYL JONES: On ourselves. So, you know, 24 if your -- your client happens they have a tube in their 25 hand, they can put it on themselves before we tattoo;



right? That's on them. So that would be our go-around
-- right? -- to hand the tube to the client and have the
client apply it? That way we didn't do it; right? And
way we don't need a prescription --

MS. BRENNAN: Well, there --

MS. CHERYL JONES: -- because the client used it for whatever they needed it for; correct?

MS. BRENNAN: I'm sorry. There won't be any validation until after the group meets and decides if it's correct or not. I'm sorry. There are some answers you can get right on the spot. There are others that need discussion.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure.

MS. BRENNAN: And so that's on the record, and the transcript will be read and the whole thing.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, I mean, I figured if the go-around would be to get a prescription from a doctor, and this is OTC, and people can do what they want with their -- I mean, like, if somebody puts their own lotion on, I mean, you know, of course we recommend we want the skin clean of lotions and oils and whatever. But, I mean, you know, somebody puts a lotion on, that's on them. So -- so we'll just have to find another go-around, I guess. We'll just have them apply it themselves and instruct them on how to properly apply it





technically, if they come in --

1 MR. SCHMIDT: And you --2 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: -- and me applying it --3 MR. SCHMIDT: And you work on them --4 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: -- and I know, but how is it violating the FDA law by just having knowledge, but I 5 6 didn't put it on? Because I'm not participating in the 7 off-label use by applying it. 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, they --9 MR. SCHMIDT: Because you're tattooing onto 10 skin which has been affected by it; right? That's now 11 not what it's approved -- right? It's applied to be on 12 the skin, not then tattooed upon; right? 13 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, what we -- what we 14 need to have them do is strike from the language that 15 they are not allowed to put that on ahead of time, 16 because, really, I don't believe it's any of the state's 17 business what a client does in their skin ahead of time 18 before they come into the studio. Wouldn't that be a 19 reasonable assumption; right? 20 MR. SCHMIDT: Well, for the same reason as you can't tattoo on a part of the body that has, like, a 21 22 rash or a disease going on or something like that; 23 The existing and proposed wording, that's all 24 included as well; right? 25 MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. But, again, normally,



when somebody uses lidocaine ahead of time, there's basically no visible signs. I mean, okay. It might be cool to the touch, but people get nervous. Their skin gets cool anyways. It might be maybe cool to the touch. It might maybe be discolored. But sometimes looking at somebody, you can't tell at all if they've used a product or not. So --

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: We're guessing --

MS. CHERYL JONES: It would --

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- instead of applying the correct --

MS. CHERYL JONES: It would make sense to just completely strike it from the language altogether about if we suspect that this -- that it's been on the skin, or if it's been applied to the skin or -- I mean, what's the reference here? I mean, because if you just strike that piece of language out of there, then that would probably solve a lot of the problems, wouldn't it? We could just eliminate that.

I mean, because it's one thing if the skin is in bad condition, as in, there's -- there's bumps or breaks or abrasions or -- you know, that's one thing if we see visible signs, but it's another thing if we don't see any kind of visible issues with the skin. And lidocaine has no effect on the healing of the tattoo or



pigment retention or any of that. As -- you know, I mean, I've been using it, you know, since about 2008, 2010. I've never had a problem with healing, ever. In fact, I haven't found a single person that's even allergic to it, yet, which I believe there are. I know there are people. I always ask people ahead of time, "Are you allergic to lidocaine?"

So, yeah, if you could strike that part from the language, then, you know -- because there's -- there's other language in here that's a little vague as well. So, I mean, why not? Why can't we just strike that from the language? I don't -- I don't see that we'd be in violation of anything that way. Like, it really should not be our job to govern what people do with their skin when we're not tattooing it.

I mean, you know, we give them care on how to heal their tattoo, and I'll tell you what, some people, they just do whatever they want anyways, you know, and that's completely beyond our control. So could we negotiate for that? Could we take that out of the language?

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I feel safer -- I feel more safe doing it myself, buying a product that I know is reputable with my education and my knowledge, and buying something that I've used for thousands of people



1 and always had a positive result, knowing exactly how 2 much to apply, that more is not better, you know. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. Which --4 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: (Inaudible). 5 Which is exactly --MS. CHERYL JONES: 6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: The go-around would be, "You 8 use your product," and maybe you put out this much of a 9 portion and whatever --10 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And you --11 MS. CHERYL JONES: And just go --12 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I don't feel like --13 right. You're right. It's a slippery slope, because 14 that's where the comfort is, because then we have the 15 control over what's being used, how it's being used, and 16 we know. They come back with a bad result, and we can 17 say, "Well, you know, I know 'this', 'this' and 'this'," 18 but my clients lie. They lie to -- about all kinds of 19 things. 20 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, they do. 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I can't change that, but 22 we're letting them buy a product that could be used for 23 anal numbing for -- and put it on their eyelid. -- it just seems like we're creating a bigger problem. 24

MS. CHERYL JONES: A much bigger problem, yes.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I want to say this: You 2 know, I -- I feel like -- I've only been in this 3 industry for eight years. And, you know, when I was 18 4 years old, there was a (inaudible) tattoo. I went there 5 with all my girlfriends one night, got a tattoo, you 6 know, and thought that, "Oh, that must be legal." I 7 didn't know. I didn't know anything like I know now, at 8 54 years old. I had no idea. And I feel like in the 9 last eight years, we really are starting to become a 10 professional -- a professional group of people. 11 Like, we -- I feel like the whole idea of 12 tattooing and cosmetic -- cosmetic tattooing and 13 permanent makeup and how we operate, it's looked at a 14 lot higher than maybe it used to, just because of 15 knowledge itself. Not that it's better than it used to 16 be, but there's a lot more knowledge about it. There's 17 a lot more --18 MS. CHERYL JONES: There really is, but the 19 corporations realize that they can make money off of our industry finally. So that's -- that's why it's 20 21 legitimatized, because the more legitimate you are, the more these organizations, like the FDA, you know, the 22 23 state, they can come in and regulate you. 24 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. I just -- I don't 25 I feel like there's something that should be done



or that has to be done for all of us to be able to have what our wants are satisfied; the want to make sure that they're using the right product, the want to make sure that they're comfortable during the procedure, the want to make sure they're not putting a product on some part of the skin that it should not go on in desperation to just have it done, but be numb.

Because, let's face it, we're women. We're going to figure it out. I mean, we are. We're going to use all kinds of things that we shouldn't be using, and doing things we shouldn't be doing just to be able to get through it. And that's not a comfortable situation for any of us performing those procedures, if we don't know --

MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, like, isn't this legitimately, like, the whole abortion argument; right? I mean, you know, you have to make abortion legal for people so they can do that. You know, this is -- this is ridiculous. I mean, like, what -- I mean, it's -- it's, like, it's -- it's the same exact thing. You're going to decide what people are or aren't allowed to do with their bodies, you know, because these FDA -- this is -- it doesn't make any sense. None of this makes any sense.

The medical field needs to stay out of our



industry. I mean, as far as regulating autoclaves, okay. That makes sense. Regulate the autoclaves. But anything else, you know -- we know far more about this industry than any physician would. It doesn't make sense the physician should have their hands in anything we do at all; at all. They shouldn't be touching us.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I wouldn't let my physician tattoo me.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Absolutely not.

MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: You're for sure going to have women putting numbing agents on their own eyelids, and all the issues that are going to come from that.

MS. CHERYL JONES: People could potentially go blind from this.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And what if we are using products that interact with the products they used because they didn't tell us they used it. I mean, we're creating a bigger problem, I -- I personally think, because of what we don't know. At least if we're doing it ourselves, we do know. And are there going to be people that break the rules? There are. But there's going to be people like us out there standing up for the rules and maybe getting the word out there, like, you know --

MS. CHERYL JONES: No, not me, because I don't



understand how I've used this product since 2008, 2010, 1 2 you know, I've never had a problem. And now suddenly, 3 you know, well, because the FDA and there's a framework 4 and this -- you know, so, yeah, I mean, I guess we have 5 to change the -- the term -- the what a medical 6 procedure is. I mean, how do we fix this? I mean, 7 because I figure, like, you guys are supposed to help 8 us; right? 9 MR. SAUNDERS: Specifically with this rule, this is -- this isn't -- this is a clarification of 10 11 what's already existing law, and we can't change the 12 laws. So you would have to change the laws through 13 political means. 14 MS. CHERYL JONES: So you're saying that this 15 is already an existing law? 16 MR. SAUNDERS: This is an existing law. We --17 MS. CHERYL JONES: Uh-huh. 18 MR. SAUNDERS: We didn't change it, like, even 19 if we had not --20 MS. CHERYL JONES: I mean, this was never in 21 the -- in the verbiage for -- for the body art ordinance 22 before. 23 So this was, like, a MR. SAUNDERS: clarifications so that you -- people were aware of the 24 25 existing laws.



MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. But what if we just 1 2 don't put the clarifications in there, and they're not 3 part of the body art ordinance at all? 4 It would still be a law. MR. SAUNDERS: 5 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But the FDA is federal; 6 right? And there are others -- I am a part of several 7 permanent makeup groups all over the United States, and 8 nobody else has laws like this. And I know you can't be 9 concerned with what other people are doing, but if 10 they're governed by the FDA, too --11 MR. SAUNDERS: So --12 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- what's their workaround? 13 MR. SAUNDERS: So it gets complicated, but the 14 big thing is, if a packaging -- if your packaging right 15 now says, "You can apply this for numbing before a 16 tattoo," it is not approved for that use. The FDA says 17 that's not a legal use, and they don't -- that's a new 18 drug that needs a new 510(k) number. So using that 19 product could put you at some degree of risk, but also 20 if a product is being sold that is not -- does not have 21 the right labeling for what is being sold as --22 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: What about just a general, 23 topical numbing, doesn't say anything about anything 24 else?

MR. SAUNDERS: Then it's going to be an



over-the-counter for the consumer. And I get where you're frustrated; right? Totally makes sense to me, but we can't change any of that. That's not us. We're just putting it in there for clarification. So whether it's in there or not, it will still be the law in Michigan, and it's probably the law nationwide.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. But that so the FDA is a federal --

MR. SAUNDERS: Well, that -- so the FDA is going to make rules about drugs, and since this is an over-the-counter drug for consumer use, as soon as a tattoo artist or a piercer or whatever starts applying it in their occupational use, things get different; right? And that's going to be a state -- state rule, but in Michigan that falls under the practicing medicine without a license.

And that, we don't have any control over because we didn't -- we don't make the laws. Like, we're just making rules based on those laws.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So I have a question. If a client of mine walks into my building, they tell me they have a headache, and I give them an 800 milligram of Motrin, which was my prescription, I'm breaking the law, obviously.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah.



MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I know I can't administer 1 2 medication. But if I hand them a bottle of ibuprofen I 3 just bought at Rite Aid that's 200 milligrams, and they 4 take it themselves, are they breaking the law? 5 MR. SAUNDERS: You would have to talk to a I don't think so, but I don't know. 6 lawyer. 7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Because they're putting an 8 over-the-counter -- they're taking and over-counter drug 9 themselves. 10 MR. SAUNDERS: But for its -- its listed use. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But the listed use of 12 lidocaine on the back of my bottle is for -- for relief 13 of pain. 14 MR. SAUNDERS: But that's -- but not for 15 tattooing. I know that sounds like a very specific 16 thing, but it has to say for tattooing --17 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So -- okay. 18 MR. SAUNDERS: -- and it can't be legally say 19 that. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So let's say that I -- they -- they -- I'm going to go back to the whole example I 21 22 just used. So let's say they come in and they say, 23 "Man, like, I'm just really having these uterine cramps, 24 and I really need something for pain. You have an 25 ibuprofen?", and I hand them the bottle of 200 milligram



It does

1

2 not say -- now am I breaking the law --MR. SAUNDERS: 3 But --4 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- because it doesn't say 5 uterine pain? 6 MR. SAUNDERS: It doesn't say specifically 7 uterine pain, but it's going to say for general muscular 8 pain; right? 9 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But my -- the back mine says 10 for pain. 11 MR. SAUNDERS: I -- we're talking about a 12 hypothetical thing. 13 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 14 MR. SAUNDERS: If we bust it out, it's going to 15 say something that covers that; right? The other thing 16 is that, in addition -- and I know this is annoying, but 17 you handing them does actually have some liability if 18 you do that in a professional way; right? If you're 19 saying, "As a tattooer, I'm going to give you this 20 meditation, "then, yeah, liability changes; right? As 21 your friend -- right? -- "I'm going to hand you this." 22 It's a different thing; right? That -- that is still 23 over-the-counter use. I don't like these rules either, 24 but this is just basically what it is. It's the way 25 American law is set up.

ibuprofen, but the back only says for headache.



MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But is it okay just to say, "It is what it is"? I mean, when it affects so many people -- if there was a law that was being passed that said, "You can't use a machine that you used safely for 30 years, and you know it's better than anything on the market, and you're super passionate about it," and they told you to use this hollow needle over here, and this is all you could use -- I'm just making this up because I don't even know what it is.

But I'm just saying, if you knew that was wrong, in your core of core you know that's wrong. Somebody got it wrong. You just can't believe that's what they're saying. Wouldn't you fight for it, too?

MR. SAUNDERS: Sure, absolutely. But you've got to fight for it politically, not because -- I think what folks in this room would like us to say is that, "Okay. We're going to change that rule."; right? But we can't. It's not our rule. It's a state law.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But if you can adapt it, how come you can't change it?

MR. SAUNDERS: It's -- we can't change the laws; right? We can change certain -- the wording of certain rules, but this one in particular, that I understand is not popular -- right? -- we don't have any ability to change, because it's part of the state laws.



1	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So we need to talk to the
2	state or the state really has no wiggle room because of
3	the FDA?
4	MR. SAUNDERS: It's going to involve both.
5	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Okay.
6	MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah.
7	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Because you said that the
8	FDA has no intention to ever approve any of the
9	MR. SCHMIDT: Well, they won't, unless there's
10	a new drug application right? which you need
11	clinical trials and studies published in peer reviewed
12	scientific literature, that then goes to the FDA to
13	designate whether it's we know it's effective or
14	whether it's safe; right? That that's how you get a
15	new drug approved in the United States.
16	MS. CHERYL JONES: That's actually that's
17	not really how it goes.
18	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But this isn't a medical
19	service we provide. This is
20	MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah.
21	MS. TAMMY HADLEY: This is
22	MS. CHERYL JONES: The problem is when you let
23	the medical industry the Medical-industrial Complex
24	into something that is not medical, where does it
25	stop? So okay. We need a prescription to use these



anesthetics; right? Then -- then -- then it turns into,
"You have to have a medical license be able to tattoo."

I mean, like, where does it -- where does it stop?

Like, once they get their fingers into this industry,
it's just going to keep going. It's not going to get
any better. So, I mean, like, we're already in the
situation where we have to get a prescription from a
doctor. What happens next? What happens next? At some
point, we have to have medical licenses to be able to
tattoo.

MR. SAUNDERS: And this is why I would say it's well -- it's -- it's really worthwhile to be involved in whatever organizations you have in your particular industry. Because, you know, I've been doing this for a really long time in terms of I was the legislation coordinator for the Association of Professional Piercers, and we would be in meetings with, like, NEHA and, you know, California, and there wouldn't be any tattooers involved, because none of those organizations have much traction; right?

Getting involved with those gets you in the room on all of these conversations, because they're happening in a lot more rooms than this. This is sort of -- what you're at is sort of the end of the line. You really want to be earlier.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But the organizations that 2 I'm involved with are -- are all in other states, which, 3 when I reached out to every single person I know -- I 4 think there was, like, 47 people I reached out to -they're like, "Yeah, we don't -- we don't have any -- we 5 6 don't do that." 7 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, and I reached out to 8 9 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: "We don't have any rule like 10 that." 11 MS. CHERYL JONES: I --12 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So there's no meaning about 13 it, because they're -- they're not enforcing anything 14 like that. So this is the beginning for all of us. 15 This is the first time we knew. 16 MR. SAUNDERS: But this is a national thing; 17 right? One of your chief -- chief issues ends up being 18 an FDA issue; correct? 19 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 20 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. So you need some -- an organization that speaks with the FDA. 21 22 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: How do the organizations 23 that I belong to speak to the FDA on this topic? 24 Because --25 MS. CHERYL JONES: None of the organizations



1 you're involved in have enough money to speak with the 2 FDA. 3 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Well, maybe they do, but 4 they're not going to go speak to the FDA for little 5 Tammy Hadley in Michigan, because it doesn't concern all of that, because nobody's pressing these -- these rules. 6 7 MR. SAUNDERS: I can only speak to my personal 8 experience --9 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. 10 MR. SAUNDERS: -- as an artist; right? 11 MS. CHERYL JONES: I spoke with my buddy from 12 the APT. Nobody knew this was going on. I wasn't 13 informed. It's not on the APT website. Nobody knew 14 this was going on. 15 MR. SAUNDERS: And that's -- that's kind of why 16 I would -- I would suggest being involved with the APT; 17 right? Really, really be involved and --18 MS. CHERYL JONES: But -- but they don't know 19 it's going on. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: They don't --21 MS. CHERYL JONES: Like, I literally talked to 22 Derby (phonetic); he's got no idea this is going on. 23 Like, I mean, I talked to a friend of mine who is very 24 heavily embedded in the APT. MR. SAUNDERS: Right. 25



1 MS. CHERYL JONES: Nobody knows this is going 2 on. 3 MR. SAUNDERS: I don't know how that's 4 possible, because it's been so well published before 5 this. You know, that 2019 meeting was two days and --6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I didn't know. 7 MR. SAUNDERS: Just because --8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I check all my emails 9 and I pay attention. 10 MS. CHERYL JONES: Was that the one that we had 11 in the winter? 12 MR. SAUNDERS: It was October. And I believe 13 there was a follow-up one in December, was it? MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, I mean we --14 15 MR. SAUNDERS: I -- I --16 MS. CHERYL JONES: I remember taking part --17 MR. SCHMIDT: I know we extended some public 18 comment. 19 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah. 20 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- in a two-part, two-day 21 whole, long fiasco. We got snowed out and it was --22 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I have a question. Do you 23 quys --24 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- and that was never even 25 mentioned.



1	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: seek out the APP for
2	their guidance on their regulations, or do they offer to
3	you and you take it?
4	MR. SAUNDERS: So the APP doesn't involve
5	the APP is involved insofar as I'm active in the APP,
6	but I'm not reporting at anybody at the APP; right?
7	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I'm just wondering for,
8	like like, if the Society of Permanent Cosmetics
9	wanted to provide you with guidance, you would need
10	somebody who's a member to be part of a work group, but
11	work groups aren't going to exist anymore 'cause you
12	guys are done?
13	MS. MOREHOUSE: So we will have a smaller work
14	group that's going we're going to make guidance
15	documents for things some things that are taken out
16	of the rules, some things that are just, you can't have
17	a rule, but we can make the best practices kind of
18	thing. So there will be people that are making that
19	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: After
20	MS. MOREHOUSE: but it will be the work
21	group was very large.
22	MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: So I mean after like,
23	let's say you guys figure all this out, it gets
24	approved. Beyond that point, are you going to continue
25	to have any work groups to do any more changing?



1 MS. MOREHOUSE: Like a monthly work group or 2 quarterly or something like that? 3 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: I don't know. Anything at all. 4 5 I mean, it sounds like MS. CHERYL JONES: No. 6 this is final draft, and then they're going to pass it 7 into law, and then we have to --8 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: And then nobody gets 9 together for meetings or anything? 10 MS. CHERYL JONES: No. And then we have to 11 fight and have them change the definition of, I guess, 12 whatever a medical procedure is in the state of 13 Michigan, because that, evidently, is a problem. 14 that's where it has to start. 15 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I think the whole thing to 16 me -- and, I mean, I'll just make it super basic -- is 17 that I don't understand -- and I'm a pretty educated 18 person, I'd like to think -- is that I can take a 19 microblade, scratch the surface of the skin and put a 20 pigment in there that is not FDA approved -- maybe 21 components of it are regulated, but it is not FDA 22 approved because there isn't one ink that is FDA 23 approved -- and that's okay. But I cannot swipe a 24 topical over the top of it, and that -- that's not okay. 25 I can -- I can use microblades and tattoo



1 needles and everything else that's not FDA approved on 2 that skin, but this one particular thing that happens to 3 be the one particular thing that makes the client 4 comfortable, I cannot use. MR. SAUNDERS: Because it's a drug. 5 I know --6 I know it's an unpleasant thing, but it is a drug. 7 is --8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Over what percentage? Any 9 percentage? 10 MR. SAUNDERS: I don't define what the drug is. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Because this has four 12 percent. 13 MR. SAUNDERS: For consumer use. I know we 14 keep on running around in a circle. I --15 MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, what's crazy is -- is 16 tattoo ink was not even approved by the FDA up until 17 very, very, very recently; very, very recently. And I 18 believe the only company that has been approved by the 19 FDA is Eternal Tattoos, I believe. 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It's not approved. 21 It's not approved, but it's --MR. SCHMIDT: 22 MS. CHERYL JONES: Oh, it's not approved. 23 MR. SCHMIDT: -- regulated as cosmetics. 24 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah. 25 MR. SCHMIDT: How they regulate cosmetics and,



```
1
     "approve" them is --
 2
             MS. TAMMY HADLEY: There is not --
 3
              MR. SCHMIDT: -- way different than --
 4
              MS. CHERYL JONES: So our industry is --
 5
              MR. SCHMIDT: -- (inaudible).
 6
              MS. CHERYL JONES: Our industry is being
 7
     regulated by the cosmetic industry and by the medical
 8
     industry, both?
 9
              MR. SCHMIDT:
                            With regards to products, yes.
10
     And some of them, like tattoo needles, piercing needles,
11
     those are completely unregulated; right? The FDA
12
     doesn't classify --
13
              MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Absolutely.
14
              MR. SCHMIDT: -- those as --
15
              MS. TAMMY HADLEY: There is not one thing --
16
              MR. SCHMIDT: -- anything at all.
17
              MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- in our industry that is
18
     FDA approved, not one. I looked.
19
              MS. CHERYL JONES: But we still have state laws
20
     that regulate all this anyways, regardless of all the
21
     things that we use that are not FDA approved in here.
22
     There's still rules. There's still laws associated.
23
     And you acknowledge what we're using, this is all
24
     acknowledged in here, and these are the rules. And not
     all of these things are approved by the FDA.
25
```



MS. TAMMY HADLEY: None of them are. 1 2 MS. CHERYL JONES: But, yet, this is still a 3 state body art -- it doesn't make any sense. So just 4 this one thing pertaining to the FBA -- FDA is applicable, but all the other stuff is not? I mean --5 6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: That's my question. I asked 7 it another way. 8 MR. SAUNDERS: The -- so there are FDA things 9 that we use for reference, and one of them is going to be their relationship with drugs. And with tattooings, 10 11 as that's an emerging thing, I expect some changes over 12 the next 10 years, which you -- I mean, Steve is --13 MR. SCHMIDT: Yep. 14 MR. SAUNDERS: -- really keen on this stuff, 15 but the drug science --16 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, they can't wait to get 17 their fingers on it. 18 MR. SAUNDERS: -- is really established. 19 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So let me ask this: What in 20 our industry or your -- you know, what, from the time 21 they walk in to we cleanse the skin, we choose if we're 22 going to do, you know, a powder brow or microbladed 23 brow, whatever, a pigment, anything, what is req- --24 what is FDA approved? Out of any of those services,



there's nothing, not one thing.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SAUNDERS: Your skin antiseptics are 1 2 definitely approved. The packaging for the skin 3 antiseptic is approved. The packaging for the autoclave 4 package is approved. Like, so much of it is FDA studied 5 and approved. I know it doesn't speak to your point 6 and, to an extent, I really agree with your point, but 7 there's not anything this group can do about it. 8 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: I mean, even Green Soap 9 says, "Cleanse the skin." Green Soap isn't FDA 10 approved.

MR. SAUNDERS: It is for -- as a soap.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But it doesn't specifically say -- I mean, I read a whole thing. I mean, there's, like, a 19-page article about this.

MR. SAUNDERS: I -- for what it's worth, I don't think you should use Green Soap, but you don't want to get me started on skin prep. It will be boring and long -- long-winded talk.

MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And I don't -- for what I do, I don't need to use Green Soap. But it shows all over in our industry, and a lot of people think very highly of it. That's my point. My point is just that each of us do little things a little bit different.

And, you know, nothing in our industry is really FDA approved. You said the packaging. Well, forget the



1 packaging. Like, what's inside? Are -- are --2 MR. SAUNDERS: Exactly. That -- that is also 3 FDA approved, especially the things like isopropyl 4 alcohol --5 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Which is --6 MR. SAUNDERS: -- (inaudible). 7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- wiped over the skin. 8 It's not put into the skin; right? But the pigment is 9 put into the skin, and that's not FDA approved. 10 MR. SAUNDERS: That is in the process. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, I wouldn't make that 12 argument on a large scale, because te the FDA might 13 start regulating those as something other than 14 cosmetics. 15 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Well, I'm not trying to, but 16 I'm just saying, like, all these things that we do, are 17 not regulated, and then there's this one little thing 18 over that is regulated. MR. SAUNDERS: But it's the one that's a drug. 19 20 I get -- I get it. I'm on your side. 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: It's the one that makes the 22 client comfortable. 23 MR. SAUNDERS: Again, but it's not it's nothing 24 that this group could change, because we can't 25 fundamentally change the state law.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Could you give the authority 2 to enforce it? 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Actually, with enough 4 people, you can fundamentally change the state law, yes. 5 MR. SAUNDERS: Yeah, well I agree. 6 MS. CHERYL JONES: With a petition and with 7 enough people, you can change it. 8 MS. BRENNAN: I think what he's trying to get 9 it is there's nothing --10 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right now. 11 MS. BRENNAN: -- this panel can do. 12 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 13 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 14 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: No, I understand. 15 MS. BRENNAN: Yeah. 16 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But I think we're all here, 17 looking for you -- the four of you for something to 18 quide us, because we don't know. I've never been to a 19 meeting with this before. I have no idea how it works. 20 What can we do? How can we -- I don't want to say, get 21 around it, but, I mean, what can we do so we can still 22 keep providing the services that we're providing, not 23 put that into the hands of uneducated or unknowing 24 clients, still working on skin that we know what's actually on it and the amounts that are put on it? 25



1 Like, what can we do? 2 MR. SAUNDERS: My suggestion is, if you have a 3 company that you believe in their product, and believe 4 that it is safe for tattooing -- right? -- an anesthetic 5 solution, then you ask that company to get FDA approved 6 for that use. 7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Okay. 8 MR. SAUNDERS: Then they have to go make the 9 application, spend the money and prove that their 10 product is safe. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And they're going to do that 12 for one state out of all the other states? 13 MR. SAUNDERS: No; no. That would --14 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- that don't require it. 15 MR. SAUNDERS: -- be for the entire country. 16 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But nobody else requires it 17 but us. 18 MR. SAUNDERS: I bet you everybody requires it. 19 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: But nobody enforces it. 20 MR. SAUNDERS: That could be true. 21 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: That's probably the fairest 22 way to say it. I --23 MR. SAUNDERS: And that's not my understanding. 24 My understanding is plenty of places, actually --25 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: 37 states I made contact



with, and out of those 37 states, not one of them -- and 1 2 not -- I'm not talking about, like, at the state level. 3 I'm talking about reputable permanent makeup artists, or 4 people that were active in groups, or one studies --5 MR. SAUNDERS: They -- they don't know. 6 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: -- and not one of them said 7 it was regulated at all. 8 MR. SAUNDERS: But my quess is, and this is --9 this is one of the reasons that it's in these rules --10 is that it's probably illegal nationwide; right? 11 don't know, because they don't know. And one of the 12 reasons of putting it in the rules is that so you knew; 13 right? MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yeah. I mean, maybe. 14 15 MR. SAUNDERS: So if you get a company to go 16 through those hoops, then you will have a legal product. 17 They're not going to. MS. TAMMY HADLEY: 18 MR. SAUNDERS: I agree they're not going to, 19 but I don't see why we're upset with the people trying 20 to make sure that tattooing is as safe as possible, and 21 not the company that's not willing to spend the money to 22 prove the product --23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Because we're trying to make

MR. SAUNDERS: Then -- then the way to do that

sure tattooing is safe as possible, also.



24

1 2 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: And -- and we're looking out 3 for our clients, because we know them personally. We 4 know their stories. We hear them cry. We know every 5 single thing about them. 6 MR. SAUNDERS: So --7 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: We're protecting them. 8 MR. SAUNDERS: So what's objectionable about 9 studies to prove that the product safe? What's 10 objectionable there? 11 MS. CHERYL JONES: But how many studies do we 12 have that prove that low levels of product are unsafe? 13 There aren't any? 14 MR. SAUNDERS: Again, this is an unknown; 15 right? 16 MS. CHERYL JONES: So -- so we're going with an 17 unknown. The FDA can't prove it's safe. We can't prove 18 it's unsafe. So we're going to go with the unknown, and 19 the unknown is we can't use it. Like, it doesn't make 20 sense that, you know --21 MR. SAUNDERS: I think it makes a lot of safe 22

(sic) for a product to prove that it's safe for its intended use.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay.

MR. SAUNDERS: I think -- I don't think that's



23

24

unreasonable to say, "Hey, here's a drug. Let's prove 1 2 it safe. And it's going to cost some money, but at the 3 end of it, we have the evidence, and we've convinced the 4 government that it's safe." I don't see that being a 5 problem. 6 MS. CHERYL JONES: Dude, why -- how did we get 7 all these vaccines? None of them were ever fucking --8 MS. BRENNAN: Hey. 9 MS. CHERYL JONES: It's unbelievable. 10 don't -- they weren't -- they weren't -- they didn't 11 have clinical trials, like the TPP. They didn't have --12 they didn't have clinical trials for their vaccinations, 13 but they're worried about lidocaine. That's crazy. 14 Where is -- where is their clinical trials on all their 15 vaccinations and all their bullshit? 16 MR. SAUNDERS: I -- we can't --17 MS. BRENNAN: Please refrain from any 18 profanity. 19 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yes, ma'am. 20 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. 21 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sorry about that. 22 MS. BRENNAN: It's okay. 23 MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. So, basically, this 24 meeting was so that you could hear our grievances? 25 MS. BRENNAN: Correct.



MR. SAUNDERS: 1 Correct. 2 MS. CHERYL JONES: So you take these grievances 3 to the -- the next level? 4 MS. BRENNAN: Uh-huh. MS. CHERYL JONES: And then that's it? 5 6 MS. BRENNAN: Based upon the public comment 7 today, this group -- not me. I'm only here for 8 procedure -- will review all of the public comments and make a determination whether the rules should be 9 10 changed. It's as simple and complicated as that. 11 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So I have a question. 12 MS. CHERYL JONES: So, basically, no matter 13 what we say, they will side with what the FDA is telling 14 them, and the rules set aside for Michigan, the definition of medical procedure, and there really is 15 16 nothing that we can do other than --17 MS. BRENNAN: We haven't even had the meeting 18 yet. How can you say that? We are going to meet, I on 19 the procedure, these three on the substantive issues, 20 and decide whether the rule should be changed. I don't 21 know if -- because of the FDA and the whole thing -- and 22 by the way, federal law trumps any other law. 23 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 24 MS. BRENNAN: So that's a problem. And I think 25 Jeff is trying to say there is nothing we can do about



"We side with you, but," and the whole bit. 1 2 this group will meet. I cannot tell you at this 3 particular time what the final rules are going to be. MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So has there ever been a 4 5 situation where this is the law, like law, but it's 6 going to be enforceable by, like, the county health 7 department, and that -- because the county health 8 department is the person who meets with us on an 9 independent level to -- I mean, is there anything like 10 Like, because if the FDA has made the law, you 11 can't -- you can't supersede what that law is. 12 MS. CHELSEA ORRICO: Didn't they do that with 13 marijuana and the states approved. 14 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Correct. 15 MS. BRENNAN: Federal government gave 16 regulation to the states, just like abortion. 17 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: So what could be -- and I'm 18 not saying it's going to be, but, like, hypothetically, 19 what could be done to allow us to continue to practice 20 the way that we're practicing, on your part anyway? 21 Anything? 22 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, like, I mean, can't 23 you guys help us with loopholes? 24 MS. BRENNAN: No, I'm a lawyer. 25 MS. CHERYL JONES: What do you mean, you're a



1 lawyer? 2 MS. BRENNAN: I'm a good lawyer. No. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Good lawyers don't need 4 loopholes. 5 MS. BRENNAN: We don't --6 MS. CHERYL JONES: Who wrote this? 7 MS. BRENNAN: -- like to call it loopholes. 8 We'd like to, again, review and see what other 9 alternatives we could come up with. Thank you. So --10 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Right. Can we find other 11 alternatives? 12 MS. BRENNAN: That will be part of the 13 discussion that this group has. 14 MS. CHERYL JONES: Again, my -- I'm really curious what -- like, what -- never mind; never mind; 15 16 never mind. Okay. So, yeah, about the -- the health 17 department I would assume would be enforcing this rule 18 for us not being able to have any kind of antiseptic or 19 lidocaine or whatever? 20 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Yes, because they come do 21 our inspections. 22 MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. I mean, there are so 23 many people tattooing out of their houses right now that 24 the health department hasn't even touched. I don't know 25 why I'm worried about the health department.



1 MS. TAMMY HADLEY: Because you're worried about 2 following the rules. That's why. Because you're here. 3 MS. CHERYL JONES: Yeah, well, not in this 4 particular instance I'm not. 5 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. Would anyone like to 6 take a break? Yay. 15 minutes, please. Let me see. 7 11:05, please. We'll go back on the record at 11:20. 8 Off the record. 9 (Off the record) 10 MS. BRENNAN: Back on the record. It's 11:22 11 We're here on Body Art Facilities, 2023-59 HS. 12 Would anyone like to make a comment? Please don't 13 forget to sign in. Forgot to tell you that. And if at 14 any time you want to come up and make a comment, let me 15 know, and we'll go back on the record. Off the record. 16 Thank you. 17 (Off the record) 18 Back on the record, 11:30 a.m. MS. BRENNAN: 19 MS. CHERYL JONES: Many, many years, one -- one 20 of my initial arguments that really disturbed me and 21 part of what prompted me to write a letter to the health 22 department many years ago, was that in the body art 23 ordinance, that there is no minimum age requirement for piercing or tattoos. 24

And that was one thing that I thought was



actually really important, especially as a mom, now, like, a grandma. It's kind of really important, because we have age limits for, you know, tobacco use and age limits for driving cars and age limits for all kinds of things.

And one of the problems that occurs for me repeatedly in this industry is that there are tattoo shops out there that'll tattoo anybody. You know, they'll tattoo a 12 year-old kid, a 14 year-old kid, you know, 15 year-old kid. I realize parental consent is important, but there's a lot of parents out there that -- I mean, I've had parents argue with me. I, of course, will turn them down. I've had parents argue with me over getting their 14 year-old daughter tattooed, you know, and I've refused.

I'm just curious, how come -- after all these years, if this isn't an official, you know, rule set yet, or official law, how come we haven't made a minimum age requirement yet? I really feel that that's super important. If this ain't final, why -- why can't we -- why can't we add that? I mean, that's something that, quite honestly, has bothered me for years and years and years that, you know, there's people getting away with tattooing children, you know. And these kids are left with these -- with the consequences of, you know, all



- these bad decisions. And I feel like if we just had,
 you know, some kind of limit, that would be really quite
 helpful.

 I know people still get tattooed underage, and
 - I know people still get tattooed underage, and people will still go to houses, and people still do that. And I know the argument that people have used is, "Well, if they don't get it done in a professional shop, they'll just go somewhere anyways." But I mean, most tattooers, there's, like -- we all kind of -- if you're kind of -- a tattooer with any kind of morals, you have, like, a professional code of conduct where you just don't tattoo kids under a certain age. Not every tattooer is like that.
 - So, I mean, I am kind of curious, after all these years, I mean, like, why have we not made a minimum age requirement at this point?
 - MS. BRENNAN: Panel?
 - MR. SCHMIDT: So it's two things that that kind of touches on. The first part is, and I know we've gone over this, and government is weird with a lot of things, we're here to promulgate rules; right?
 - MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure.
 - MR. SCHMIDT: And that -- those rules have the full force and effect of law, but they technically aren't the law. That requirement that's existed since



1 2010, where you can do it on a minor, we just need consent, proof of all that stuff, that is already in the 2 3 law itself. So this whole process isn't to rewrite the 4 existing law. It's to write the rules. 5 MS. CHERYL JONES: Sure. 6 MR. SCHMIDT: So we can't change that today or 7 in -- as part of this process. 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: So you're -- so that is law? That's law, yes. 9 MR. SCHMIDT: 10 MS. CHERYL JONES: Okay. With parental consent 11 12 MR. SCHMIDT: Yep. 13 MS. CHERYL JONES: -- but, again, not stating a 14 minimum age requirement? 15 MR. SCHMIDT: Correct. But that would require 16 a change in the existing law that we're not here to 17 discuss, or we don't have the authority to change the 18 existing law. That would have to go through the state 19 legislature. 20 MS. CHERYL JONES: So that's never getting 21 changed? 22 MR. SCHMIDT: You would have to go through the 23 state legislature --24 MS. CHERYL JONES: I don't --25 MR. SCHMIDT: -- and petition them.



1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHERYL JONES: I don't want to do all that. 2 MR. SCHMIDT: While we're on the topic, do you

have suggestions for minimum age for any of the -whether it's piercing, tattooing, cosmetic tattooing, branding or scarification, and what's the theory behind

I'm -- just blanket, like, whatever you think.

MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, suggestions or recommendations, I would say -- I would say it would be different for piercing versus tattooing. I would say minimum age for some piercings, as in ears, nose, 15 is reasonable, because, I mean, at that age, kids have a pretty good concept of how to clean themselves, clean their bodies, kind of clean their rooms.

And what you're left with at the end of the day is, like, minimal scarring, a little tiny -- you know, if the piercing gets rejection or, you know -- I believe 16 should be a good, hard line. That's when a kid gets a driver's license. I mean, if a kid's supposed to be responsible enough to drive a car at 16, I think, you know, piercings and tattoos would be okay.

But, like, one of the issues with underage tattooing is -- is migration. You know, you tattoo these kids too early, especially boys, you know, under a certain age, their tattoo is in one place when they're, like, 15, but when they're 21 it's not in the same place



- anymore. It'll -- it'll move. But, yeah, I mean, I
 think -- I think 16 is a pretty good line for piercing
 and tattoos, where kids have a pretty decent
 understanding on, you know, how to handle their bodies
 and how to -- you know, they've already had health class
 in school, you know, those kinds of things.

 So I think 16 is pretty reasonable. Maybe 15
 - So I think 16 is pretty reasonable. Maybe 15 for piercing, 16 for tattoos, or even 16 all across the board. I mean, it doesn't kill anybody at a wait a year or two to get a piercing or a tattoo, but it just seems like --
 - MR. SCHMIDT: Well, I can tell you, I took my niece to get her ears pierced the first time at 13 years old, and if I didn't do that, she would have gone with somebody to Claire's.
 - MS. CHERYL JONES: Well, yeah, but, I mean, we're talking about ear piercing --
- MR. SCHMIDT: Right.
 - MS. CHERYL JONES: -- you know, which isn't even -- you know, we're not even talking about that.

 I'm talking about, like, belly buttons. I'm talking about, like, I don't think it's appropriate for a grown adult to be, you know, piercing belly button, you know, if somebody -- I mean, 16 is, like, even kind of weird for me. But 16 -- you know, anything, under 16. And



girls are usually -- their bodies kind of start slowing down, as far as growth goes, you know, around 15, 16, 17. And -- and that way, that their piercing doesn't migrate or, you know, get displaced.

I mean, like, you know, doing that too young —
I mean, we're not talking about, like, ears. I mean,
I'm talking about body piercings, you know, things that
could potentially be a problem. I just feel like 16 is
a reasonable age. A lot of times — well, I mean, not
the 16 year-olds make all the best decisions, but I
think a 16 year-old is going to make — is going to do
better healing their tattoo than a 13 year-old, you
know. And it gets really weird because, like, there's a
— there's — there's a — there's a group of people out
there, they're all about getting their kids tattooed at
ridiculously young ages, and they don't seem to have a
problem with it.

And there are tattooers out there that will just flat out lie to parents and be like, "No, there's a state law. It's 16. I can't do it." You know, I mean, I won't. I'll just be honest with them that I just won't do it. But, yeah, I mean, I -- I guess it's too late for any of that now, but I just feel like -- and that was something I felt very strongly about in the beginning, was that there should have been a minimum age



1 requirement for tattoos and piercings. But all right, 2 that's it. 3 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. 4 MS. CHERYL JONES: Thanks for answering my 5 question. 6 MR. SCHMIDT: Do you want the MCL number for 7 what the current law is? 8 MS. CHERYL JONES: No, I'll find it. I find --9 I mean, I know it's there. I know it says, "With 10 parental consent," and I get that, you know, but, still, 11 there's just -- I mean, legally, a parent could bring 12 their 11 year-old in. And it gets -- it gets weird. 13 Where is those child endangerment laws? Like, where's 14 the line for all that? I guess there aren't any when it 15 comes to tattooing. So -- all right. That's --16 MR. SCHMIDT: I mean, I, personally, don't 17 disagree with any of that. It's just not in the 18 purveyance of the --19 MS. CHERYL JONES: Right. And -- and I was 20 confused by that, because that was one of the -- you know, that that's what prompted everything. That's what 21 22 prompted my letter to begin with, was that I worked at a 23 studio where my son -- my son went to school with an 11 24 year-old girl who got her belly button pierced at the 25 studio that I worked at when I -- when I was not there,



1 of course, with parental consent. Not that that's okay, 2 but -- but I guess that's perfectly, you know, legal. 3 But that was, you know, one of the reasons why 4 I wrote that letter, is there should be minimum age requirements. These kids can't -- you know, her belly 5 button was infected, and they were asking me for advice. 6 7 You know, she's 11. Of course her belly button is 8 infected. That's crazy. You know, but, I mean, I quess 9 -- but, yeah, thank you. Thanks for clarifying that for 10 me. 11 MR. SCHMIDT: Thank you. 12 MS. BRENNAN: Thank you. I see you all rushing 13 up here to talk, "I want to talk." Off the record, 14 please. (Off the record) 15 16 MS. BRENNAN: It is now 2:00 p.m., but we have 17 not had any public comment since 11:00 o'clock a.m. 18 Public Hearing is over. Any further comments can be 19 sent to the MDHHS-adminrules@michigan.gov email box 20 until Friday. Public hearing has ended. 21 (Hearing concluded at 2:03 p.m.) 22 23 24



1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Rachelle Roberts, a Certified Electronic
4	Recorder and Notary Public within and for the State of
5	Michigan, do hereby certify:
6	That this transcript, consisting of 131 pages,
7	is a complete, true, and correct record, given on
8	October 28, 2024.
9	I further certify that I am not related to any
10	of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and
11	that I am not interested in the outcome of this matter,
12	financial or otherwise.
13	IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand
14	this 6th day of November, 2024.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	(1) (1) (1) A
20	Rachelle Roberts
21	
22	Rachelle Roberts, CER 9585
23	Notary Public, State of Michigan
24	County of Montcalm
25	My commission expires: April 29, 2027



	11:22	72:10	85:3,4	
\$	123:10	81:17		3
<u>т</u>	11 20	129:3	2018	
1500	11:30		85:2	2.0
1500 8:21	123:18	18 94:3	2019	3.8 56:4
0.77	12	94.3	51:8	50.4
2,000	124:9	18th	80:23,25	30
48:6	12:00	84:8	82:20	43:2
3	6:11	19-page	84:8 85:5	72:10
9:6		113:14	107:5	76:16
	13		2021	102:5
70	128:13	1:00	4:2	300
9:7	129:12	6:11	2022 50	86:23
750	13,000		2023-59 4:7	
8:20	62:24	2	4: / 123:11	116:25
	13103			116:25 117:1
_	59:17	2	2024	TT / • T
		84:7	7:18 12:9	-
	14		22:1	4
19	5:1	2.5	21	
83:23	124:9,14	56:4	127:25	4
	14th	71:12	21	7:24
1	83:13	20	21st 84:7	40
	15	45:21	84./	
.0	46:24	200	22	62:24
16:1	68:10,11,	100:3,25	75:3	45
72:25	12,13		25	70:17
112:12	123:6	2000-	75:4	47
	124:10	83:22		105:4
.00	127:10,25	2005	27	
45:11	128:7	50:14	50:13	
48:19	129:2	2007	28	5
.0:15	15-minute	4:23	4:2	
6:10	6:10		28th	50
1		2008	84:5	16:9
130:12,23	16	64:11		510(k)
131:7	84:1,2	92:2 97:1	2:00	37:22
	127:17,19	2010	131:16	98:18
.1:00	128:2,7,	50:15	2:03	
131:17	8,24,25	51:8 92:3	131:21	54
1:05	129:2,8,	97:1	2nd	94:8
123:7	10,11,20	126:1	68:8,9	
.1:20	16,000	2016	00.0,9	6
123:7	43:1	83:25		
14J•1	17	84:5		60
	_ /	J		. .



October 28, 2024 Index: \$1500..60

RE BODY AR	TTAOILITILO			Index: 800allow
43:2	11:15	add	49:9	96:11
	12:15	124:21	advice	ages
8	14:22	added	131:6	75:3
<u> </u>	18:5	18:14		129:16
	27:20	53:16	advised	
300	44:6 50:7		8:3	agree
99:22	53:8	addition	advisory	17:24
	77:15	60:12	12:14	75:17
9	96:9	101:16	advocate	113:6
	102:14	additional	72:1	115:5
0	111:13	6:14	72.1	117:18
55:20,22	abundantly	additions	Affairs	agreement
55.20,22	75:18	16:22	4:4	63:10
:00	20000		affect	agrees
4:2	access 54:12	address	7:10	11:7
	34.12	6:17	48:14	
A	accessed	addressing	79:20	ahead
	74:17	31:4,5		73:18
	accordance		affected	90:15,17
-d-m-i-n-	87:16	admin	90:10	91:1 92:6
-u-l-e-s@		6:16	affects	Aid
ichigan.	accountable	administer	21:7,8	100:3
ov.	17:22	100:1	102:2	
6:18	accurate		. ££ d . l . l .	ailment
ı.m.	53:22	administeri	affordable	23:11
4:2		ng 45:20	54:11	alcohol
123:11,18	acknowledge	45.20 47:14	age	24:19
131:17	111:23	47.14	123:23	49:15
3.MT	acknowledge	Administrat	124:3,4,	114:4
AMI	d	ive	19	all-
55:3 57:5	111:24	68:4	125:12,16	
58:5	act	79:10	126:14	encompassin
bility	19:21	adminrules	127:3,10,	g 33:12
9:14		6:17	11,24	
34:18	active		129:9,25	allergic
102:25	15:3	adopt	131:4	92:5,7
bortion	108:5	11:9	agent	allergy
95:16,17	117:4	adult	16:11	56:5
121:16	actively	128:23	64:23	
	42:24		65:4,11	allowed
brasions		Advancement		12:16
91:22	actual	55:17,19	agents	16:25
bsolute	57:5	advantage	16:13	17:4
23:8	adapt	6:13	26:7	18:4,9,24
	102:19	adverse	41:23	27:25
bsolutely		20:20	87:14	29:1,22



IN INE BODT AN	I I ACILITIES		'	ndex. anowingai
41:4 43:9	75:4	108:1,4,	79:6	area
45:15	104:1	5,6	103:8	7:21 9:13
52:19	3		111:1	28:15,21
56:17	Ann 4:17	apparatus 56:9		31:17
60:9	4・1/	50.9	approved	32:7
64:16	announcemen	applicable	8:6 9:1,3	44:18
78:17	t	112:5	13:11	85:8
90:15	73:12	application	22:8,16 24:20,21	2002
95:21	annoying	40:16	28:25	areas 7:20,22,
allowing	101:16	103:10	30:16	23 28:14
65:17		116:9	32:1	46:18
05.17	anorectal			75:2
alternative	28:2	applied	33:2,5	/5.2
21:23	30:21	17:4,24	39:5,7 55:22	areola
alternative	ANSI	89:4		8:21 42:4
s	55:1 57:5	90:11	63:1 71:16	45:22
122:9,11	58:5	91:15	90:11	areolas
122.9,11		applies		48:5
altogether	answering	17:11	98:16	40.3
78:7	130:4		103:15	argue
91:13	answers	apply	108:24 109:20,	124:12,13
Amazon	79:1	16:12,25	22,23	argument
9:7 78:19	88:10	17:1,13	110:1,16,	95:16
		28:14	18,20,21,	114:12
American	antiseptic	40:10,11,	22	125:6
54:22,23	64:7,13,	22 41:5	111:18,	
55:11,13	15,23	46:23	21,25	arguments
101:25	71:8	59:19	112:24	123:20
amount	113:3	88:3,24,	113:2,3,	arm
32:13	122:18	25 89:5	4,5,10,25	60:8
47:5	antiseptics	93:2	114:3,9	a 4
75:11	64:16	98:15	114:5,5	armed 47:12
amounta	113:1	applying	121:13	47.12
amounts 75:23	anymore	16:17	121.13	${ t arrhythmias}$
115:25	19:10	17:8	approves	78:9
113.23	108:11	20:10	31:22	art
anal	128:1	23:2,15	62:23	4:6,11
16:13		30:2	63:1	10:4,19,
93:23	anyone's	46:25	approving	20,22
anesthetic	22:17	75:2	27:15	16:1,17
28:3 30:3	apologize	89:16		17:13
48:1 78:7	22:3	90:2,7	APT	27:7
116:4	41:15	91:10	106:12,	34:20,23
	73:12	99:12	13,16,24	43:25
anesthetics		approve	Arbor	50:15,18
11:19	APP	24:14	4:17	57:12
16:24	14:25	<u> </u>		



16:13,14

64:6,8,

14,21

65:6

Bactine's

64:24

65:3

62:9



autoclave

57:13

113:3

autoclaves

58:13

96:1,2

aware

83:1,15

117:3

21:16

26:18

assistance

79:14

aspect

85:23,24

64:6

benzocaine

116:18

13:5 26:4

39:13

bet

big

39:25

57:3

66:19

67:10

75:13

82:21

91:2

101:24

119:23

71:4	22:2	36:14	13:25	brought
75:22	50:17	100:2,12,	14:3,10,	43:8
87:4	128:9	25	14,18,22	h
98:14	bodica	hought	19:25	brow
h	bodies	bought	20:4,7	112:22,23
bigger	95:22	100:3	25:5,12	buddy
48:2	127:13	bound	41:14	106:11
93:24,25	128:4	17:17	42:8	bug
96:18	129:1	box	50:4,7,10	28:3
billing	body	6:16	51:2,5,8,	30:23
72:10	4:6,11	32:17	10 55:6,8	30.23
Biotat	7:23	131:19	67:24	building
39:18,20	10:4,9,	131.19	68:10,21,	99:21
40:2	10,19,20,	boys	25 69:2,	bullshit
40.2	22 16:1,	127:23	5,11,14,	119:15
bit	17 17:13	brand	17 73:11	
4:24 16:5	27:7	37:22	79:7	bumps
43:22	34:20,23		83:9,24	91:21
69:18	43:25	branding	84:2,6,9,	bunch
113:23	50:14,18	127:5	12,18,21,	29:10
121:1	57:3,6,12	brands	24 85:4,	51:16
bites	58:4,10,	66:1	12 86:22,	
28:4	15 59:12		24 87:6	business
30:23	60:16	break	88:5,8,14	7:2 9:21
	77:23	6:10	115:8,11,	10:21
blame	82:3,10	73:11	13,15	50:3
10:1	83:10	96:21	119:8,17,	66:24
49:17	90:21	123:6	20,22,25	76:15
blanket	97:21	breaking	120:4,6,	90:17
75:22	98:3	31:18	17,24	bust
127:6	112:3	37:9,10	121:15,24	101:14
	123:11,22	99:23	122:2,5,	ht.t
blind	129:7	100:4	7,12	butt
96:14	bodyart@	101:2	123:5,10,	85:8
blistering	michigan.	h	18 125:17	button
24:17	_	breaks	130:3	128:23
	gov.	91:22	131:12,16	130:24
block	19:15	breasts		131:6,7
6:11	bono	45:22	bring	buttons
78:19	11:3	breathing	72:16	buttons 128:21
blood	boring	_	130:11	T 7 0 • 7 T
48:24	113:17	24:16	broke	buy
40.24	±±J•±/	Brennan	74:19	23:20,22
blush	bothered	4:3,4,12,	backer	37:13,16
	bothered 124:22	4:3,4,12, 15,19	broken	37:13,16 54:11
blush			broken 32:7 52:23	



IN RE BODY AR	I FACILITIES		nae	x: buyingChery
buying	21:7	66:20,25	25 19:4,	11,22
9:6,7	43:24,25	69:22	9,16,20,	52:9,12,
30:11	44:3	81:17	23 39:18,	25 53:8
92:23,25	47:19	93:21	20 40:2,	54:3
	50:3	97:5,11,	9,19	55:2,4,7,
	71:25	12,18	41:10,12,	9,18
C	76:3	99:3	18,21	57:8,10,
	79:19,20	102:17,	42:16	14,16,18,
C-H	92:16	20,21,22,	46:4,8,	21,25
25:11		25 109:11		58:3,7,9,
	caring	114:24,25	55:16	16,18,22
C-H-E-L-S-	47:17	115:4,7	65:22	59:1,4,6,
E-A	cars	126:6,16,	66:9,16,	9,16,19
14:17	124:4	17	18 67:6,	60:3,18,
C-H-E-R-Y-L			8,14,16	24 61:3,
50:12	cartridge	changed	74:25	5,14,18,
caboodle	51:19	22:2	85:25	20,22
29:19	52:19	32:20	86:5	62:3,5
29.19	53:9,10,	69:20	89:13,20,	64:2,20,
California	18	86:10	23 90:2,4	24 65:2,5
104:18	cartridges	120:10,20	96:10	66:7,19
call	54:4	126:21	103:1,5,7	67:4,7,20
43:14,15	g.,,,,,,	changing	107:22	68:9,15
78:19	carve 65:9	7:7	108:1,7,	69:7,9,12
122:7	03.9	108:25	19,22	70:1
122.7	case		109:3,8	71:2,7,
called	5:5,6,8,9	charge	121:12	10,12,15,
7:9 43:6,	40:5,16	8:20		21 72:16,
17 68:3	cases	charges	chemical	19 73:3,
cancer	64:18	48:7	47:8	13 74:22
7:3,4	04.10	Charalia	chemicals	75:18
8:13 42:4	certificate	Charlie 5:8	62:24	76:2,23
43:3 48:7	53:12	5.8		77:16
60:13	certificate	charm	chemo	80:6,21
	s	4:24	21:12	81:20,23
capital	54:8	check	42:24	82:1,4,
5 : 7		107:8	43:7	14,16
car	chair	107.0	45:20	83:23
127:19	70:17	Chelsea	Cheryl	87:7,21,
	change	14:16,19,	14:1,5,8,	23 88:6,
cardiologis	19:11,22	23 15:4,	12 24:2,	13,16
t	26:4	8,12,14,	20,24	89:7
74:12	27:14,17	19,21,25	25:8,11	90:8,13,
76:20	34:18	17:2,6,	35:3,6	25 91:9,
care	36:4 37:6	10,20,23	42:10,13	12 93:3,
5:16	60:22	18:2,6,	50:5,8,11	5,7,11,
20:23	61:1	13,17,21,	51:4,7,9,	20,25
				20,23



October 28, 2024 Index: chief..Complex IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES

94:18	China	112:21	93:18	committee
95:15	54:17,18	113:9	115:24	4:18 68:4
96:9,13,	-1		118:3	79:10
25 97:14,	choose	cleanser		80:3,4
17,20	112:21	25:1	clinical	83:2
98:1	Chris	clear	103:11	85:24
99:25	50:17	31:16	119:11,	
103:16,		75:19	12,14	common
20,22	circle		code	52:21
105:7,11,	110:14	client	33:4	community
25	circumvent	17:4,11	82:22	11:4
106:11,	63:8	20:16	87:12	62:15
18,21		22:18	125:11	
	citizens	23:18	125.11	companies
107:1,10,	75:20	26:10	Coil	7:19 9:6
14,16,20,	Claire's	28:1 32:4	52:4	29:8
24 109:5,	128:15	38:22	coils	30:12
10		40:15	52:2,5	33:9,10,
110:15,22		46:19,22	32.2,3	11 54:13
111:4,6,		53:7	collaborate	
19 112:2,	27:11	62:17	d	company
16 115:3,	35:17	66:3	80:9	9:7 30:13
6 118:11,	36:8	73:23		33:19
16,24	60:22	87:24	comfort	37:14
119:6,9,	97:10	88:2,3,6	93:14	54:18
19,21,23	99:4	90:17	comfortable	72:10
120:2,5,		99:21	26:22	110:18
12	clarificati	110:3	95:4,12	116:3,5
121:22,25	ons	114:22	110:4	117:15,21
122:3,6,	97:24	114.22	114:22	compilation
14,22	98:2	client's	111 22	27:8
	clarified	26:8 77:5	comment	21.0
125:22	61:2	clients	5:18,23	Compiled
126:5,8,			6:3,7,14,	23:3
10,13,20,	clarifying	11:3	20 14:18	complaint
24 127:1,	131:9	16:16	20:2	23:11
7 128:16,	class	20:10,14	25:19	23.11
19 130:4,	128:5	26:20	69:20	complaints
•	120.5	38:17	79:7	63:18
8,19	classify	39:3 40:6	107:18	
nief	111:12	47:11	120:6	completely
105:17	clean	49:25	123:12,14	13:9 25:2
		63:13	131:17	87:9,10
nild	88:21	70:7		91:13
130:13	127:12,13	71:18	comments	92:19
	cleaned	73:17	50:4 68:1	111:11
nildren			120.0	
nildren 62:23	53:3	75:16	120:8	Compley
nildren 62:23 124:24	53:3 cleanse	75:16 78:2	131:18	Complex 56:1,11



October 28, 2024 Index: compliance..couple IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES

103:23	Conference	consumer	conversatio	120:1,23
compliance	4:1	38:18	ns	121:14
33:19,22	confines	99:1,11	104:22	126:15
41:8 60:1	89:1	110:13	convinced	correctly
		consumers	119:3	37:10
compliant	confused	24:12		
75:14	57:1	29:5	cool	cosmetic
complicated	63:20	62:2,3	13:16	7:22 15:5
98:13	130:20		91:3,4	22:16
120:10	consent	contact	coordinator	29:16,18,
	17:24	116:25	4:11	19 31:6
components	124:10	contained	104:16	32:18,25
109:21	126:2,10	64:25		35:18
compromise	130:10	75:6	core	39:8
21:21	131:1		102:11	52:16
dompromici-		contaminate	corner	58:15
compromisin	consequence	53:24	89:12	63:14
g 25:16	S	contaminati	gornovation	65:16
25.10	124:25	on	corporation	94:12
concentrati	considerati	60:19	S	111:7
ons	on		76:3	127:4
75:7	8:7 27:2	content	94:19	cosmetics
aonaont		73:7	correct	13:7
concept 127:12	considered	continue	11:21	14:24
127.12	9:13 28:5	108:24	13:12	16:2,4,
concern	32:20	121:19	17:19	10,17
57:18	39:14,23		22:11,14,	29:6,14
106:5	59:2	control	20 23:6,7	61:11,13
aonaornod	61:10,15	35:25	31:24	67:9
concerned 7:12	66:21	36:5,7,10	32:10	108:8
7.12 64:14	82:9	38:6	33:25	110:23,25
98:9	consist	70:22	35:5	114:14
98.9	48:12	92:19	36:18,19,	114.14
concerns		93:15	23 46:7,	cost
54:20	consolidati	99:17	13,20	119:2
78:14	on	controlled	52:11,25	count
concluded	27:10	59:21	55:4	34:5,6
131:21	constricted		59:15	
T 2 T • 7 T	48:25	controls	61:11	country
condition		37:8	64:16	74:3
23:12	constructed	conversatio	67:24	116:15
91:21	53:21	n	79:14	county
	consulted	27:5	88:7,10	4:13
CODGIFICEC	15:1	43:18	91:11	50:16
conditions 32:5		49:6		
	consumed	49:6	105:18,19	121:6,7
32:5	consumed 49:15	49:6	105:18,19 115:12 119:25	couple



October 28, 2024 Index: cover..desperation

43:16	cross	daily	86:20	39:25
55:10	60:18	10:24	95:21	65:19
84:23,25	83:12,15	dangerous	120:20	98:19
cover	85:10	9:17 26:5	decided	delegate
9:14	cry	63:2	83:2	41:4
	118:4	72:19		
covered		75:13	decides	delegation
8:1	crying		88:9	40:23
20:12,13,	47:20	dash	decision	41:7
15,21,23	cuff	6:17	46:16,21	department
44:8 53:4	42:1	daughter	68:17	4:5,14
covers		124:14	78:15	7:9
101:15	cure	4	4	24:21,22
TOUTD	23:10	day	decisions	53:17
COVID	curious	5:2 6:7	47:19	63:18
80:24	12:6,16	11:2	68:2	68:18
81:1	63:22	44:13	125:1	70:3
cramps	122:15	45:21	129:10	71:16
100:23	124:16	48:15	dedication	79:23
	125:14	77:3 83:2	4:25	121:7,8
erap		127:14		122:17,
20:22	current	days	deemed	24,25
crazy	15:24	43:2	43:3	123:22
62:21,22	16:23	68:11,12,	deeper	
110:15	18:15	14 107:5	56:6	departments
119:13	25:20		1 · C · · · ·	61:4 83:
131:8	27:6	daytime	defect	84:4
	52:15,17	21:5	23:11	depending
cream	85:1	deal	define	44:22
16:13	130:7	10:9	58:5	
42:7 75:2	customer	43:13	110:10	depends
creams	7:18	48:3		69:19
16:23			defines	Derby
73:9,16	customers	dealing	38:5 67:1	106:22
75:5	8:3	87:4	definition	
	cute	death	23:3	deregulated
create	69:9	74:3	31:21	81:21,24
15:17			34:19	82:9,12
creates	CVS	deaths	57:4	designate
39:6	23:22	75:1,3	66:12,21	103:13
		December	109:11	
creating	D	76:16	120:15	desire
75:14		107:13		85:20
93:24			definitions	desperation
96:18	D.O.	decent	55:12	95:6
	22 • 1 /	128:3	57:3 58:2	
criteria	23:14	120 0	0, 0 00 2	



IN RE BODY AR	TFACILITIES		index: de	terminationeffec
determinati	disallow	47:20	draft	duties
on	52:2,3	doctors	35:6	40:24
120:9	discolored	32:3	51:12,13	41:7
devastate	91:5	41:12,22	67:21,22	duty
65:16,17	91.5	42:10	109:6	41:4
78:16,17	discuss	44:25	dress	41.4
70.10,17	126:17	60:17	28:21	dying
Device	discussed	72:10,21	20.21	63:2
54:23	69:19	73:4,5,25	dressing	
devices		73:4,5,25	9:15	77
54:22	discussion	87:9	31:18	E
56:22,23	11:13	01.9	drive	
57:21	88:12	doctors'	127:19	ear
59:3	122:13	43:1	127.19	81:25
	disease	document	driver's	82:2
devised	23:11	15:24	127:18	128:17
15:23	90:22		driving	earlier
DHHS		33:8 38:8	124:4	104:25
67:25	disinfected	documents	121.1	104.25
68:2	53:3	36:4	dropped	early
69:18	disorders	108:15	15:7	127:23
83:16,18	30:22	dog	dropping	ears
03.10,10		5:9	78:4	81:21,24
diagnosis	displaced			82:5,6,8,
23:9	129:4	doll	drug	9,11,17
difference	disservice	14:14	22:9	127:10
17:14	63:12	dominion	23:25	128:13
		56:14	25:2 28:2	129:6
direction	disturbed		30:18	125.0
59:21	123:20	door	32:20	eat
directions	diving	5:11	98:18	62:23
22:12	22:8 39:1	doors	99:11	echo
27:18		5:13	100:8	16:5
28:25	doctor		103:10,15	
29:2,24	37:25	dosage	110:5,6,	educated
30:3,5,7,	38:1,3,10	32:6	10 112:15	9:4 43:10
17,20	40:18	doses	114:19	47:12
32:21	41:1,4	47:15	119:1	49:2
40:17	44:21		drugs	76:17
	46:15,20,	double	12:2	109:17
directly	21 72:20,	48:17	13:10	education
7:10	24 73:21,	doubling	75:7	92:24
disagree	23 74:6,7	26:4,13,	99:10	
130:17	88:18	21 48:17	112:10	effect
	104:8	doubt		49:9
disagreed	doctor's	doubt	Dude	67:22
86:12	43:8,9	78:3	119:6	91:25



	TITOLLITIES			CX. CHCORVO D/
125:24	131:20	excuse	extent	9:4,25
effective	ends	6:9	113:6	21:16
103:13	105:17	exempt	extra	92:4
effects	enforce	59:15	60:2	factually
24:16	115:2	exemption	eye	35:24
		59:16,23,	49:11	failed
elasticity 49:5	<pre>enforceable 121:6</pre>	24,25	b-11 <i>-</i>	68:6
49.5	121.0	exist	eyeballs 65:10	fairest
election	enforcement	18:19		116:21
68:5	33:16,18	27:12	eyebrow	
electrical	enforces	37:22	45:23	falling
54:2	116:19	108:11	eyebrows	16:20
eliminate	enforcing		21:12	falls
91:19	105:13	existed 85:2	43:5 48:5	23:2,12
	122:17	85.2 125:25	eyelid	32:2
email			93:23	38:23
6:16 7:8	entire	existing		40:22
19:12	116:15	27:8,12	eyelids	99:15
80:17	epinephrine	28:9	96:11	familiar
81:2,9, 10,13,16,	48:24	34:10	eyes	50:20
18 84:3	equipment	61:1 90:23	47:21	FBA
131:19	52:24	90.23 97:11,15,	65:9	112:4
	58:24	16,25		
emails	established	126:4,16,	F	FDA
10:2 82:23	112:18	18		7:15,18
107:8			F-	8:6,10,25 9:3,12,13
	estimate	exists 28:10	36:21	11:7,20
embedded	16:8	28:10 36:5		12:3,10,
106:24	Eternal	53:15	face	11 13:6
emerging	110:19		65:9 95:8	22:1,4,9
112:11	EU	expect	facilities	24:11,14
end	62:25	112:11	4:6 9:8	27:9,14,
6:15		expensive	44:12	23 28:7,
16:20	evidence	54:10	84:4	11 29:1,
54:11	119:3	experience	123:11	23 31:5,
68:6,7	evidently	106:8	facility	22 32:1,
104:24	109:13		10:4,19,	19,23
119:3	exact	explained	20,22	33:4,8,
127:14	95:20	44:5 45:17	33:15	16,18
endangermen			44:1	34:18
t	examine 49:4	extended	58:12	35:9,21 36:11,21
130:13		47:15	59:13	37:7,8,23
	exclamation	107:17	fact	38:4,8,
ended	5:8,10			55.1,0,



IIII NE BOBI AIN	11 1 NOILITILO		macx. r br	7111110120
16,24	34:11	fight	41:21	123:13
39:2,15,	35:21	102:13,15	54:6	form
25 55:22	98:5 99:8	109:11	70:4,16	
59:3	120:22	e:	87:21	17:24
61:10,13,	121:15	figure	<u> </u>	20:22
14,16,24		34:7	fingers	25:21,23
62:23,25	fee	65:20	104:4	26:2,16
63:1	10:25	79:24	112:17	43:10
65:24	feel	95:9 97:7	fits	62:16
70:23	10:8 16:2	108:23	11:1	67:23
75:3,5,	21:10,13	figured	c :	formal
15,19,21	42:18	19:7	fix	33:22
78:1	43:4 61:6		44:13	
87:16,17	72:2		97:6	formality
89:14,18,		filibuster	flat	5:22
19,21	89:13	14:7	129:19	forms
90:5	92:22	filling		20:11
94:22	93:12	49:11	flow	21:18
95:22	94:2,8,		48:25	
97:3	11,25	final	folks	formulated
98:5,10,	124:19	68:2,8,16	102:16	75:6
16 99:7,9	125:1	69:23	C . 11 .	forward
	129:8,23	109:6	follow	6:21
103:3,8, 12		121:3	29:23	
	feelings	124:20	31:18	found
105:18,	79:5	finalized	36:20,22	7:8,14
21,23	feels	35:3	37:8	10:3
106:2,4	46:3		40:17	33:15
109:20,	80:14,16	finally	83:9	79:22
21,22		68:13	follow-up	81:4 92:4
110:1,16,	1010117	94:20	107:13	frame
19	66:14	find		52:2
111:11,	felt	7:10	food	
18,21,25	42:6	11:25	62:24	framed
112:4,8,	129:24	12:8,20	force	52:5 62:5
24 113:4,	127.21	25:15	125:24	framework
9,24	fever	63:10	C	27:8
114:3,9,	24:17	81:7	forced	28:9,10
12 116:5	fiasco	88:23	20:25	34:11
118:17	107:21	122:10	foremost	36:4 78:1
120:13,21		130:8	79:17	97:3
121:10	field		£	
FDA-	53:16	finding	forget	frameworks
APPROVED	54:6	80:11	113:25	27:12
13:19	55:24	fine	123:13	free
	62:19	32:22	forgot	7:3 8:14,
federal	95:25	35:24	6:15	20,22
33:4		JJ • Z I		_0,22



	T FACILITIES			Index: Fridaygu
43:3	Gamma	good	greater	84:13,14
Friday	4:16	6:20,22,	76:12	98:7
6:15	gave	23 21:17	greatly	108:11,25
131:20	40:18	46:5	9:20	117:4
131.20	121:15	47:24	9.20	aroum
Fridays	121.13	49:22	Green	grown 128:22
7:8	general	70:10	23:24,25	120.22
friend	17:21	72:17	24:24,25	growth
77:13	18:7,10	76:12	25:1 72:5	129:2
101:21	22:13	122:2,3	113:8,9,	guarantee
106:23	98:22	127:12,17	16,20	80:3,4
100.23	101:7	128:2	ani arrangag	00.3,4
front			grievances	guess
50:24	geographica	Gotcha	119:24	42:2 67:3
frustrated	1	15:19,25	120:2	78:12
99:2	85:7	18:13,21	grip	88:24
ソフ・ ム	girl	govern	52:6	97:4
fucking	130:24	92:14		109:11
119:7		-	group	117:8
e1.1	girlfriends	governed	4:25	129:22
full	94:5	98:10	14:20	130:14
14:7	girls	government	15:3,6,	131:2,8
68:12	129:1	34:12	16,17	
125:24		35:22	19:10	guessing
fully	give	67:5	35:23	91:8
53:25	4:9 6:3,	119:4	48:12	guest
54:4	7,8 14:10	121:15	56:17	5:3
79:9,13	20:22	125:20	69:3,15	
•	25:6	123.20	80:18	guidance
fundamental	32:11	grade	83:3,8,	16:19
ly	46:20	18:9,23	16,18,21	65:25
114:25	75:23	arama	84:20,21	108:2,9,
115:4	92:16	grams 32:6	85:2,5,6,	14
future	99:22	32.0	14,15	guide
18:11	101:19	grandma	87:3 88:9	53:16
23:6	115:1	73:18	94:10	115:18
27:15	-11	124:2	108:10,	113.10
67:19	giving		14,21	guys
07.19	11:4	gray	109:1	23:15
	go-around	41:2	113:7	34:10
G	88:1,17,	44:18	114:24	52:13
	24 93:7	grazing	120:7	62:7
		56:7	121:2	65:24
g-w-i-r-e-	God		122:13	75:13
l-e-s-s	85:8	great		81:21
5:6	gold	21:3	129:14	87:11
game	18:14	32:15	groups	97:7
79:17			10:4 56:8	107:23



I RE BODT ART	17(01211120		mae	x: gwirelessne
108:12,23	36:9,20	105:1,9,	128:4	4:5,14
121:23	37:1,4,	12,19,22	hands	7:9 12:14
	19,23	106:3,5,		24:21
gwireless	38:1,8,	9,20	48:10	53:17
5:5	14,19	107:6,8	56:23	63:18
gynecologis	39:11,19,	109:15	66:5	68:18
t	21 40:7,	110:8,11,	89:18	69:17
74:11	13 41:25	20,24	96:5	70:3
76:18	42:4,20	111:2,13,	115:23	71:16
	46:7,10,	15,17	handy	79:23
	13,19	112:1,6,	24:9	83:7,11,
H	48:19	19 113:8,		15 84:4
	51:21	12,19	happen	121:6,7
I-A-D-L-E-Y	52:4	114:5,7,	8:4 33:23	122:16,
6:25	65:21		44:20	
		15,21	happened	24,25
Iadley	70:25	115:1,10,	23:5	123:21
6:22,24	71:3,8,	12,14,16	42:22	128:5
11:12,15,	11,13,17,	116:7,11,	64:4	healthcare
18,21,24	22 72:18	14,16,19,	04.4	32:3
12:5,8,	73:2	21,25	happening	40:24
13,18,20,	74:9,23	117:6,14,	7:7 10:1	41:5
22,25	75:17,21	17,23	63:7,8,21	
13:3,8,	76:5	118:2,7	64:1	hear
11,13,15,	77:15	120:11,23	65:15	49:10
18,21,23	79:16	121:4,14,	79:18	80:9
20:2,6,8	80:8,24	17	104:23	118:4
22:6,11,	81:2,7,	122:10,20		119:24
14,20,23	10,16	123:1	happy	heard
23:7,17,	85:11,18	hair	45:24	11:6
24 24:1,	86:2,13,		hard	45:10
4,7,9,11	21,23	75:4	45:18	76:7
25:14	87:1,2	half	127:17	70.7
26:1,11,	89:3,10	46:9		hearing
15,25	91:8,10	h = 1 1	harmless	4:23 5:1
27:20,24	92:22	hallway	62:13	6:5 67:2
	93:4,6,	5:12	headache	79:10
28:11,19	10,12,21	hand	99:22	81:14
29:3,12,	94:1,24	44:7	101:1	82:20,21
21 30:1,	96:7,15	45:12		83:3,20
5,24	98:5,12,	87:25	heal	84:5,11
31:3,24		88:2	92:17	131:18,
32:10	22 99:7,	100:2,25	healing	20,21
33:7,17,	20 100:1,	101:21	91:25	
20,25	7,11,17,		92:3	hearings
34:2,3,	20 101:4,	handing		83:13
15,22,24	9,13	101:17	129:12	heart
35:8,13	102:1,19	handle	health	74:10
	103:18,21	******		,



78:9	hit	5:3	5:7	includes
heat	60:15	houses	illegal	89:5
9:17	85:14	122:23	117:10	including
	hold	125:5		24:15
heavily	17:21		illegally	
28:14	18:11	HS	27:1	increases
106:24	24:12	4:7	Image	9:16
helped	hole	123:11	7:1	independent
74:17	39:2	huge	impact	121:9
helpful	39:2	63:12	9:20,21,	industries
70:12	hollow	78:10	23 16:6	10:16
125:3	102:7	human	25 10.0	10.10
123.3	home	4:5 23:10	impacting	industry
helps	16:12	68:18	16:20	8:9 9:3
78:9	17:7,8,	00.10	implant	10:21
hemorrhoid	17.7,8,	hundred	18:9,23	16:1,7
16:13	20:10	45:15	·	19:11
	ZU•1U	49:3	important	35:21
hemorrhoids	honest	76:13	8:12	45:7
78:22	17:25	hyper-aware	124:1,2,	47:18
Hey	129:21	49:20	11,20	56:10
73:17	honestly	49.20	impossible	60:19
119:1,8	15:10	hypothetica	65:8	65:16
	80:2	1		69:13
hiccup		101:12	inadvertent	74:2
31:1	124:22	hypothetica	ly	75:10
high	honor	lly	73:6	78:16
47:14	7:2	121:18	inaudible	94:3,20
54:10	hoops	121.10	41:10	96:1,4
73:7,16,	117:16		46:11	103:23
20 75:6	117.10	I	66:9	104:4,14
	horrific		69:12	111:4,6,
higher	8:15	ibuprofen	74:22	7,8,17
94:14	44:13	100:2,25	80:11	112:20
highest	60:20	100:2,23	85:3 86:5	113:21,24
74:3	horse	101.1	93:4 94:4	124:7
	60:8	idea	111:5	
highlighted	00.0	7:6 10:23	111.5	infected
85:1	hospitals	43:12	T T 4 • Q	131:6,8
86:10	55:21	44:4	incident	infection
highly	hours	51:15	64:11	71:19,20
113:22	6:6 14:3	61:7	70:3	
	45:21	94:8,11	include	infiltrate
hire	45.21 47:1	106:22	52:22	56:12
10:23	± / • ⊥	115:19	24.44	infinite
	housekeepin		included	62:10
history	Housekeepin	igloo		0 Z • T U



influence	123:4	53:1	19,23	68:4
70:15			19:3	79:10
	Institute	Internation		
information 21:25	54:23	al 55:14	isopropyl 24:19	Jones 14:1,5,8,
34:1	instruct	55.14	114:3	12 24:2,
46:20	88:25	internet	114.2	20,24
	instruction	14:8	issue	25:8,9,11
informed	16:18	interpretat	53:9	35:3,6
46:16,21		ion	55:10	42:10,13
47:17	instruction	76:1	105:18	50:5,8,
106:13	S		issues	11,12
ingredients	32:12	interrupt	79:13	51:4,7,9,
31:11	35:19	25:5,13	91:24	11,22
	instrumenta	71:1	96:12	52:9,12,
initial	tion	interruptio	105:17	25 53:8
18:20	55:17,19,	n	120:19	54:3
81:6	21	50:9	127:21	55:2,4,7,
123:20	integrity	73:10		9,18
injection	21:21	introduce	itching	57:8,10,
56:6 63:2	25:17	4:8 19:6	30:22	14,16,18,
ink	23.17	4.0 19.0		21,25
9:2	intended	invasive	J	58:3,7,9,
109:22	22:9 23:8	46:8		16,18,22
110:16	25:3	involve	January	59:1,4,6,
	80:13	103:4	68:8,9	9,16,19
inkling	118:23	108:4	00.0,5	60:3,18,
59:5	intent		JCAR	24 61:3,
inks	78:10	involved	68:4	5,14,18,
13:6		56:24	Jeff	20,22
61:12	intention	62:15,20	4:15,16	62:3,5
	103:8	68:19	68:1,22,	64:2,20,
insane	intentional	104:12,	24 84:14,	24 65:2,5
63:15	85:7,17	19,21	15 120:25	66:7,19
inside	interact	105:2		67:4,7,20
53:24	96:16	106:1,16,	jewelry	68:9,15
114:1	90.10	17 108:5	19:3,7	69:7,9,12
inspections	interest	involving	job	70:1
122:21	75:16,20	62:19	21:5,22	71:2,7,
122.21	83:21	irresponsib	45:5,10	10,12,15,
inspector	interested	le	49:23,24	21 72:16,
7:9 69:17	51:12	10:13	56:1,2,9,	19 73:3,
83:11	85:5,6		12 92:14	13 74:22
inspectors		irritated	jobs	75:18
83:5,16	interests	28:15	65:8	76:2,23
	85:13		0.5 - 0	77:16
instance		ISO	Joint	7 7 4 ± 0



IN KE BODY AK	I FACILITIES		If	idex: Juneiawyer
81:20,23	24 127:1,	48:2,22,	94:15,16	129:23
82:1,4,	7 128:16,	23 57:19		law
14,16	19 130:4,	62:21		
83:23	8,19	65:25	L	8:5
87:7,21,		66:6		11:18,22
23 88:6,	June	70:11,18	label	19:18,22
13,16	84:4	78:20	29:25	26:19
89:7	jurisdictio	82:5,10	32:17	31:19
90:8,13,	n	91:24	37:11,13,	37:6 38:5
25 91:9,	34:20	106:15	16,17	66:11
12 93:3,		108:17	39:10	68:13
5,7,11,		122:18	labalad	70:14
20,25	K	124:2	labeled	75:14
94:18		125:2,9,	31:10	77:6
95:15	Kathryn	10,14,18	labeling	82:3,15
96:9,13,	4:9,10	127:13	36:17	89:2,3,
25 97:14,	79:13	128:24	98:21	18,19,21
17,20	1	129:1	Tashansa	90:5
98:1	keen		Lachance	97:11,15,
99:25	112:14	kinds	50:17	16 98:4
103:16,	keeping	44:13	lady	99:5,6,23
20,22	47:3	93:18	43:5	100:4
105:7,11,	kid	95:10	language	101:2,25
25		124:4	language	102:3,18
106:11,	124:9,10 127:17	128:6	57:25	109:7
18,21	127.17	kit	63:10 64:12	114:25
107:1,10,	kid's	29:18	66:25	115:4
14,16,20,	127:18		76:24	120:22
24 109:5,	kids	knew	90:14	121:5,10,
10	124:24	47:22	91:13,17	11 124:18
110:15,22	125:12	102:10		125:24,25
111:4,6,	127:11,23	105:15	92:9,10,	126:3,4,
19 112:2,	128:3	106:12,13	12,21	8,9,16,18
16 115:3,	129:15	117:12	large	129:20
6 118:11,	131:5	knowing	7:20,21	130:7
16,24	131.3	93:1	28:14,20	laws
119:6,9,	kill		31:17	23:3
19,21,23	74:4,5	knowledge	75:2	34:7,25
120:2,5,	128:9	41:24	82:23,25	62:8
120.2,3,	kind	46:16	108:21	97:12,25
	8:1,7,24	47:2	114:12	98:8
121:22,25	9:15,21	48:10	lagam	99:18,19
122:3,6,	16:5,11	74:13	laser	102:22,25
14,22	41:2	75:10	31:8 75:4	111:19,22
123:3,19	42:15	89:17	late	130:13
125:22	45:14	90:5	79:17	
126:5,8,	46:14	92:24	80:1	lawyer
10,13,20,	ユハ・エユ			



100:6	5:25 6:9	60:2,5,17	51:18	8:24 14:4
121:24	68:3,6,12	99:16	52:7 72:3	15:8
122:1,2	69:24	104:2	125:2	18:3,22
1	126:19,23	127:18	limits	21:10
lawyers	logitimoto	liannand		27:13
122.3	legitimate 94:21	licensed 59:12,22	124:3,4	104:15
lay	94.21	59.12,22	lines	107:21
22:13	legitimatel		41:1 71:4	113:18
layer	У	104:9	lips	long-winded
46:10	95:16	licensing	65:10	113:18
	legitimatiz	10:7,22		
leave	ed	17:17,18	list	longer
6:4,8	94:21	61:9	33:11,13	15:16
leaving		89:24	listed	43:22
85:17	letter		18:18	looked
1.44	12:12	lidoca-	24:1 29:8	55:23
left	33:22	61:8	54:22,23	
4:8 21:5	50:13	lidocaine	56:16	94:13
124:24	80:7	8:5 9:12	100:10,11	
127:14	123:21	11:17		
legal	130:22	12:17	listening	loopholes
21:15	131:4	24:13	10:19	61:9
25:8	letters	28:13	20:9	121:23
26:18	29:11,17	31:11	72:15	122:4,7
27:8,12	33:11,16	39:12	literally	lost
28:9,10		46:3 61:9	8:14	21:11
31:1	letting	62:10	106:21	45:22
34:11	28:23	64:7,9,	literature	
51:2 58:8	70:9,11	22,25		lot
85:15	93:22	65:6 72:4	103:12	8:17 9:21
94:6	level	73:7 75:7	live	16:3
95:17	117:2	77:12,20	76:9	27:4,16,
98:17	120:3	78:4,5,6,	84:16	19 48:3,
117:16	121:9	12,21	lives	20 53:20
131:2	levels	91:1,25	8:15 44:2	54:16
legally	118:12	92:7	45:19	69:8
20:15		100:12		91:18
21:7,15,	liability	119:13	lobby	94:14,16,
18 44:8	22:25	122:19	67:10	17 104:23
100:18	70:12		local	113:21
	78:1,2	lie	7:9 19:18	118:21
130:11	101:17,20	93:18	83:7	124:11
	license	129:19		125:20
legislation			lock	129:9
19:22		light		
	23:14	48:20	77:2	lotion
			77:2 long	lotion 47:10



lotions	67:21	114:11	malpractice	MCL
88:21	116:25	114:11	74:4	130:6
00.77	121:10	117:20,23	/ 4 • 4	T20.0
low	121:10	117:20,23	Man	MDHHS
118:12	125:15	120:9	100:23	4:11
lower		123:12,14	manner	MDHHS-
5:5,6,8	magnifier	129:10,11	33:6	ADMINRULES@
31:12	48:21		75:14	MICHIGAN.
1	make	makes		GOV
lunch	5:2,18	9:17 26:5		131:19
6:10	6:13,14,	35:9	r	
lying	20 8:3,23	36:12	12:2	meaning
49:23	9:5 10:6,	46:15	manufacture	22:17
	9,10,13	54:6	rs	31:25
	11:1	60:25	54:9,11	38:15
M	13:17	95:23		105:12
	19:3,7	96:2 99:2	manufacturi	means
m-d-h-h-s	20:2,11	110:3	ng	23:9
6:17	21:22	114:21	33:14	27:10
	26:22	118:21	53:14	68:12
M.D.	34:8		54:14	75:25
23:14		makeup	March	97:13
machine	41:19	7:1,4,22	7:18 12:9	97.13
5:16	43:13	8:9 10:5	22:1	med
52:21	45:24	42:23	22.1	8:18
53:2,6,	46:16,21	43:19,21	marijuana	41:1,2
12,25	48:13	44:1,3,4,	121:13	74:13
54:5,12	49:2	11 45:13	Mark	12 1
	50:18	48:13		medical
57:24	53:19	80:10,19	43:18	32:5
102:4	56:17	85:24	market	34:19
machines	60:16	86:1	33:13	54:22,23
51:14	61:6 62:8	94:13	102:6	55:17,19,
54:7,15,	63:10	98:7	••	20,24
16,17	66:23	117:3	Mary	56:3,9,
56:18	68:1,16		4:4	15,21,22
57:19	74:8	making	Materials	57:21
58:19,22,	75:21	5:22	55:13	59:3
23	77:21,23	20:13		60:5,19
	91:12	22:21	matter	62:15,19,
Macomb	94:19	26:6,12,	20:6	22 66:21
4:13	95:2,3,5,	21 31:7	42:14	67:1
nade	17,23	47:18	62:7	72:10
10:4	96:4	53:9	76:25	74:4
		85:19	77:9,17,	77:24
22:13	99:10,18	99:19	18 120:12	87:9
27:7	108:14,17	102:8	matters	95:25
52:23	109:16	108:18		
54:16,18	112:3	108:18	76:12	97:5



N RE BODY AR	11 ACILITIES		macx. Medical	ındusınaımonir
103:18,	45:9	60:2	million	misconcepti
23,24	50:15	63:4,7,21	62:6	on
104:2,9	86:8,11	64:1		6:5
109:12	104:17	65:13	mind	
111:7	109:9	78:16,20,	26:18	mislabeling
120:15		21 87:12	75:20	29:9
	meets	99:6,15	122:15,16	misleading
Medical-	18:3,23	106:5	minds	68:11
industrial	38:4	109:13	72:1	misnomer
55:25	67:25	120:14	mine	68:11
56:11	68:13		45:11	00.11
103:23	88:9	Michigansta		misuse
medication	121:8	te.gov.	74:24	39:4 78:5
45:20	member	11:25	99:21	MOAHR
100:2	108:10	microblade	101:9	4:7
		109:19	106:23	4 • /
medicine	members		minimal	Model
23:4,9,13	14:20	microbladed	127:15	82:22
31:21	83:6	112:22		modificatio
32:2	Membership	microblades	minimum	
34:19	4:18	109:25	123:23	n
38:6,23			124:18	82:10
39:1	membrane	microbladin	125:16	mom
40:11	53:2,10,	g	126:14	7:2 74:14
60:5	21	33:1	127:3,10	124:1
66:12,13	mental	microdermab	129:25	Mara dana
89:15	23:12	rasion	131:4	Monday
99:15		31:8	minor	4:2
meditation	mentioned		126:1	money
101:20	107:25	microphone		21:10
101.20	mess	41:17	minuscule	42:13,14
meet	5:21	middle	75:23	77:7
19:2 37:5		43:7	minute	94:19
68:6	metals		15:13	106:1
120:18	19:2	migrate	41:14	116:9
121:2	meter	129:4	80:11	117:21
meeting	32:7	migration		119:2
-		127:22	minutes	
35:1,11 36:23	Michigan		32:14	monograph
80:22	7:1,15	milligram	46:24	28:25
801.22	8:5	99:22	70:18	monographs
	11:16,22	100:25	123:6	32:24
86:9				·
86:9 107:5	12:4,16	mil]igrams	mighrandod	33:3
86:9 107:5 115:19		milligrams	misbranded	33:3
86:9 107:5 115:19 119:24	12:4,16	100:3	misbranded 30:19	monthly
86:9 107:5 115:19	12:4,16 23:3 27:9	100:3 milliliters		
86:9 107:5 115:19 119:24	12:4,16 23:3 27:9 34:3 38:5	100:3	30:19	monthly



68:14	national	nervous	number	occurs
morals	105:16	16:19	4:7 5:7	124:6
125:10	nationwide	46:15	9:10	October
123:10	99:6	91:3	27:19	4:2 84:7,
Morehouse	117:10	2011	37:22	8 107:12
4:10	117.10	news 31:5	62:24	0 107.12
15:11,16,	natural	31.3	98:18	off-label
20,22	39:15	nice	130:6	22:19
19:14,17,	64:6	21:5		23:19
21 40:3,8	necessarily	43:18	numbers	28:24
42:1,6,9,	7:21 10:5	nickel	50:23	31:25
12 46:1	45:4	46:23	numbing	32:9 33:6
48:16	45.4	40.23	16:11,13,	78:5,6,11
60:6	necrosis	niece	23 25:22	89:16
68:24	72:23	128:13	26:7 39:6	90:7
79:3	needed	night	41:23	
81:13	52:20	7:14 94:5	42:6 47:7	offer
82:2,12,	83:18	7.14 94.5	65:4,11	108:2
15,20		niobium	75:2	offering
84:19	88:7	18:15	87:14	65:23,25
86:7,16,	needing	nobody's	93:23	0551
18,25	51:19	106:6	96:11	Officer
87:20,22	59:25	100.0	98:15,23	4:5
108:13,20		nomenclatur	70 13/23	official
109:1	needle	е		124:17,18
	9:1 52:7	87:16	0	01
morning	56:7	non-intact		Ohio
4:3 6:22,	102:7	32:7	O-R-R-I-C-O	65:12
23	needles	34.7	14:17	oils
Motrin	9:1 110:1	nose	11 1,	88:21
99:23	111:10	127:10	OB	!
JJ - 23		noto	77:13	on-site
move	negative	note 43:8,9	objectionab	59:21
18:1	76:14	43.8,9 47:20	le	on-your-
41:16	negligent		118:8,10	honor
128:1	79:25	65:23		5:14
muscular		74:25	obvious	
101:7	negotiate	87:3	75:20	oncologist
101,	70:8	noted	occupationa	74:18
	92:20	75:5	1	online
N	NEHA		99:13	51:17
	82:22	notes		69:25
names	104:17	43:1	occupations	
56:24		50:21	61:17,20	opened
58:4	neighbor	54:24	87:22	10:21
30.4	43:14	numb	occurred	opening
Nancy	44:23	95:7	75:1	80:18
5:9		•	/ D • T	



October 28, 2024 Index: operate..passionate

operate	21,25	over-	paid	124:11,
94:13	17:2,6,	medicated	76:3	12,13
opportunity	10,20,23	47:1	pain	129:19
78:13	18:2,6,	over-the-	23:5,6	part
79:1,3	13,17,21,	counter	28:3,12,	15:9
	25 19:4,	22:5,8	14 30:22	16:4,6
option	9,16,20,	23:17,20	65:3	35:20
6:4	23 39:18,	27:17	74:17	42:18
options	20 40:2,	30:15	85:8	50:16
34:12	9,19	38:13,20	100:13,24	
	41:10,11,	39:14	101:5,7,	82:7,9,
oral	12,18,21	72:4,5	8,10	13,14
6:13	42:16	99:1,11		84:14,15
order	46:4,8,	100:8	painful	87:5
78:20	11,14	101:23	22:16	89:14
	55:16	TOT.73	30:16	90:21
ordinance	65:22	overreach	32:25	92:8 95:5
50:18	66:9,16,	67:5	39:8	
97:21	18 67:6,	owned	palpitation	98:3,6
98:3	8,14,16	72:9	s	102:25 107:16
123:23	74:25	12.9	74:11	
organizatio	85:25	owner	/4.11	108:10
n	86:5	6:25 44:1	panel	121:20
105:21	89:13,20,	owners	4:8 11:13	122:12
	23 90:2,4	10:4,19,	115:11	123:21
organizatio	96:10	20,21	125:17	125:19
ns	103:1,5,7	54:19	paper	126:7
94:22	107:22	82:24	47:3	participati
104:13,19	108:1,7,	83:1	49:10	ng
105:1,22,	19,22	03.1	49.10	89:16
25	109:3,8		parameters	90:6
original	121:12	P	26:19	
52:15			31:14	parts
78:10	OTC	p.m.	34:8	50:23
80:21	28:12,14	131:16,21	Pardon	pass
84:3	39:23	131.10,21	37:1	82:22
	62:11	package	39:19	109:6
originally	88:18	69:24	37.17	22444
78:8	outcome	113:4	parent	passed
originals	25:3	packaging	130:11	50:19
84:13		30:6,8	parental	102:3
	outright	98:14	124:10	passion
Orrico	63:11	113:2,3,	126:10	21:4
14:16,17,	over-	25 114:1	130:10	passionate
	counter		131:1	8:13 21:6
19,23			_ · · -	0 • 1 3 2 1 • 0
15:4,8,	100:8	pad		
		pad 45:15,25	parents	74:15 102:6



N RE BODY AR	I FACILITIES		index.	passwordpierd
password	49:7	37:24	13,16,18	phone
5:6 14:11	56:23	45:12,15	31:7	79:22,23
	63:2,14	48:19	35:18	
past	66:2	49:3	42:23	phonetic
48:11	68:16,18	55:20,22	44:1,11	106:22
49:24	70:9,11,	62:12	48:13	physical
patient	13,16,22	64:9 65:2	63:14	23:11
60:7,9	73:14	71:12	65:16	physician
patient's	74:5	72:22	67:9	
59:20	75:24	73:1,17	68:20	38:10
59.20	78:4,22	76:14	80:10,19	40:24
patients	85:12	110:12	85:24,25	41:8
7:4 8:13	86:23		94:13	43:11,12,
40:6 48:8	88:18	percentage	98:7	14 45:4
	91:3	31:12	108:8	47:14,17
pay	92:6,14,	110:8,9	117:3	59:11,16
5:15	17,25	percentages	117.3	60:8,10
10:25		73:16	person	62:18
107:9	94:10	73.10	17:8	76:24
payroll	95:18,21	Perfect	20:24	77:1,9,
34:4	96:13,21,	13:22	21:8,9	10,17,19,
	22 97:24	perfectly	22:13	20 96:4,
peer	98:9	131:2	43:25	5,7
103:11	102:3	131.2	50:13	mbredi di an La
pen	105:4	perform	70:19	physician's
52:18	108:18	59:12	92:4	87:15
	113:21	performed	105:3	physicians
penetrating	115:4,7	59:21	109:18	59:23
56:4	117:4,19	59.21	121:8	61:9
pens	122:23	performing		76:15
51:18,21,	124:23	57:12	personal	
22,24	125:4,5,6	58:14	43:4	pick
52:2,3,16	129:14	59:11	106:7	5:15 77:1
32.2,3,10	people's	95:13	personally	picks
people	44:2		10:14	41:17
8:17 9:22		period	96:18	
10:23	45:19	8:3	118:3	piece
15:14	percent	periods	130:16	47:3
16:9,11	7:24 8:5,	47:15	130.10	49:10
17:12	6,9,10		pertaining	91:17
25:17,24	9:12	permanent	112:4	pierced
31:11	11:17,20	6:25 7:3,		128:13
36:2	12:16	22 8:8	petition	130:24
41:13,23	16:9	10:5	115:6	
44:14,19,	21:24,25	14:24	126:25	piercer
24 46:9	22:24,23	15:5	pharmacies	10:10
	28:13,20	16:2,4,9,	75:6	68:24,25
47:6 1º				
47:6,18 48:3,13	31:16	17 29:6,		69:9



October 28, 2024 Index: piercers..problem IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES

IN RE BODY AR	TTACILITILS		IIIGEA.	piercersproblen
85:21	8:1	74:16,20	121:20	pretty
99:12	plenty	portion	premature	19:3
piercers	69:11	93:9	10:12	28:16
4:18	116:24	23.2	79:8	44:21
69:10	110.24	position	79.0	73:16
	pocket	21:20	prep	78:11
85:19	48:6	positive	113:17	83:5
104:17	podiatrist	93:1	prescribe	109:17
piercing	77:11,12	93.1	72:11,13,	127:12
4:17 10:9	//・11,12	possibility	72·11,13, 25	128:2,3,7
18:4,20	podiatrists	86:16	25	
31:8	77:11	possibly	prescribed	prevent
58:15	podium	41:16	74:14,16	23:6
81:25	5:18	41.10	prescriptio	53:15
82:2	2.10	post-		prevention
111:10	point	surgical	n 22.0	23:10
123:24	5:8,10	73:14	32:8	51:19,25
127:4,9,	6:14	78:22	40:5,8,	52:10
16 128:2,	16:15	notontiol1	10,17	53:2,14
8,10,17,	26:6	potentially	41:3	
23 129:3	38:25	33:19	43:20	prevents
23 127.3	45:2,3	96:13	44:7,22	53:22
piercings	46:4 48:9	129:8	45:2,12,	previous
127:10,20	50:22	powder	15,25	84:10
129:7	56:20	112:22	46:2,3,	85:13
130:1	64:9		17,22	
pigment	72:17	power	47:13,25	Previously
9:6 92:1	79 : 8	75:24	62:18	15:2
109:20	104:9	practice	72:20	print
112:23	108:24	23:4,9,13	73:6,23	12:23
114:8	113:5,6,	31:21	74:7,18	
114.0	22 125:16	32:2	88:4,17	print-off
pigments		34:19	99:23	7:16
9:2 13:6	political	38:23,25	103:25	printed
place	79:14	60:5	104:7	29:4
56:3	97:13	66:12,13,	nmaganintia	30:7,9
	politically	21 71:24	prescriptio	30.175
127:24,25	102:15	121:19	ns	prior
places	102.13		41:23	49:8
63:5	politicians	practices	75:9 77:3	pro
116:24	68:17	108:17	presentatio	11:3
mlair	non	practicing	n	
plain	pop 63:17	38:6	71:5	problem
65:6	03.1/	40:11		12:24
plans	popular	71:24	presents	23:2
27:15	102:24	89:15	41:13	56:8,10,
			pressing	17 61:25
plastics	port	99:15	106:6	66:19



IN INE BODT AN	TTAOILITILO		III	dex. problemsput
73:8,22	95:13	34:13	13 83:14	provider
74:24	process	39:3,9	proof	16:21
87:18	114:10	53:20	53:12,14	66:3
92:3	126:3,7	61:17,18	126:2	providers
93:24,25	120.3,7	64:11	120.2	32:3
96:18	product	70:9,10	properly	32.3
97:2	13:19	71:18	88:25	providing
103:22	22:9,19	78:18	proposed	20:14
109:13	23:12	96:16	4:7 16:23	21:17
119:5	25:2,4	111:9	27:6,14	115:22
120:24	27:17	nnofonitu	35:7	public
129:8,17	28:2	<pre>profanity 119:18</pre>	40:15	4:23 5:23
nmohloma	29:25	119.10	90:23	6:5 12:14
problems	30:15,19,	profession	70.23	17:21
91:18	21 32:17,	45:11	protect	67:24
124:6	19 33:5,6	professiona	78:1,2	68:1
procedure	36:6,16	professiona 1	protected	69:20
21:21	37:15,21	4:18	21:18	81:14
26:23	38:17	40:25		
32:19	39:5,15		protecting	82:21
39:4,8	48:23	41:5 45:7	21:3,19	83:3,13,
42:7	49:16	47:23	26:9,10	20 84:5,
46:2,25	61:23	49:24	77:5	10 107:17
56:3,15	73:24	94:10	118:7	120:6,8
59:20	91:7	101:18	protects	131:17,
62:22	92:23	104:16	21:15	18,20
67:1	93:8,22	125:7,11		published
74:9,20	95:3,5	professiona	protocol	103:11
87:9 95:4	97:1	ls	70:11	107:4
97:6	98:19,20	47:18	prove	numahaga
109:12	116:3,10	profile	116:9	purchase 72:8
120:8,15,	117:16,22	62:11	117:22	12.0
19	118:9,12,	78:4	118:9,12,	purled
	22	70.4	17,22	50:13
procedures		prompted	119:1	purpose
22:17	products	50:25		76:10
23:23	9:5 12:2	51:1,6,13	proven	70.10
27:16	18:8	52:13	28:6	purposes
30:17	22:15	123:21	provide	26:8
31:6,7	23:9	130:21,22	7:3 8:20	35:17
32:25	27:19	prompting	10:14	purveyance
34:13	28:12,14,	50:14	32:6	130:18
42:24	24 29:16	20.14	103:19	
52:17	30:13	promulgate	108:9	put
57:7	31:10,23	125:21		8:16
58:13	32:25	promulgated	provided	12:10
59:11,12	33:3,13	5:2 79:9,	69:23	17:23
		J-4 1J-J,		



18:7,10	quarterly	79:4	reasons	recorded
21:20	109:2	86:14	8:12	6:1,8
25:24			69:21,22	20:5 25:6
27:25	question	reached	117:9,12	
33:24	5:23 6:1	86:12	131:3	recourse
34:9	11:14	105:3,4,7		67:2
35:16	18:3	reaction	received	red
39:9	39:11	20:20	7:8 82:17	62:23
40:19,20	50:25	47:9,10	receiving	_
45:8	70:25		42:24	reduce
47:4,9	71:17	reactivenes	80:6	74:17
49:2 50:1	99:20	s		reference
57:2	107:22	48:22	recent	57:5,17
58:1,2,5	112:6	read	51:12	58:10
	120:11	10:2	recently	85:10
74:16 78:19	130:5	30:6,7	63:1	91:16
	questions	33:8	110:17	112:9
83:3	6:19 13:4	37:15		
86:18	14:21	87:17	recommend	referencing
87:25		88:15	24:12	11:23
90:6,15	43:16	113:13	29:15	12:7,19
93:8,23	48:20	113.13	83:20	referring
98:2,19	50:5	reading	88:20	51:19
109:19	78:25	78:8	recommendat	61:13
114:8,9	quick	real	ions	
115:23,25	42:21	42:21	127:8	refrain
outs		52:13	127.0	119:17
88:19,22	quickly		recommended	refused
	53:19	63:22	29:23	124:15
outting		reality	83:6	124.13
10:1	R	62:6		reg-
23:15			recommendin	112:23
43:23		realize	g	rogard
48:9,17	rabbit	70:19	29:5	regard 5:17
49:20	39:1	78:15	50:17	2.1/
73:24	Rachelle	94:19	record	Registers
95:5	4:20 25:7	124:10	4:3,6	33:4
96:11		reason	5:25	regulate
99:4	radiation	36:1	41:20	13:6 21:1
100:7	60:13	54:21	50:9	
117:12	rash	63:17	73:10	61:17,18
	90:22	90:20	87:3,7	94:23
			88:14	96:2
Q	rate	reasonable	123:7,8,	110:25
	71:19	90:19	9,10,15,	111:20
	74:3	127:11	17,18	regulated
ruality			± 1.10	_
quality 20:14,23	reach	128:7	131:13,15	12:3



October 28, 2024 IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES Index: regulates..rule

TILL BODT AIR	1117(OILITIEO		IIIG	cx. regulatesra
18:9 25:2	25:21,23	reports	requirement	rid
28:4	26:1 31:5	71:20	s	9:11 28:9
39:15,22	malangad		15:23,24	52:20
44:12	released 7:18	represent 10:13	18:19	ridiculous
61:11,12	/ • 10	10.13	39:2	63:4 67:7
109:21	relief	representat	52:15,22	77:19
110:23	28:12,14	ion	85:1	95:19
111:7	30:22	10:16	87:13,14	93.19
114:17,18	100:12	representat	131:5	ridiculousl
117:7	relieve	ives	requires	У
	23:5	19:19	-	129:16
regulates 39:25	25.5	79:4	116:16,18	right-hand
39:25	relieving	79.4	reschedule	5:12
regulating	23:10	represented	49:13	3.12
56:10	65:3	10:5	research	risk
96:1	remember	32:21	7:14	23:15,23
114:13	80:6	80:15	30:10,12	98:19
regulation	107:16	83:12	45:8	Rite
51:13		reprocess	45.0	100:3
121:16	remind	53:5	responsible	100.3
121.10	5:24	58:25	127:19	room
regulations	removal		restaurants	16:3
7:25 8:25	31:8 75:5	reprocessed	6:12	49:11
11:8 16:4	31 0 73 3	52:24	0.12	102:16
17:17	remove	53:7,25	Restrooms	103:2
19:12	74:20	reputable	5:11	104:22
50:15	renew	9:6 30:12	result	rooms
54:21	10:25	37:14,20	93:1,16	104:23
56:18		92:24		127:13
66:7	repeat	117:3	retention	127-13
85:21	67:16		92:1	rotary
87:17	repeatable	require	reuse	51:18,21,
89:24	30:13	116:14	53:6	22,24
108:2		126:15		52:1,2,5,
Dager 1 a barrer	repeatedly	required	review	16,18
Regulatory 4:4	124:7	23:13	120:8	53:12
4 • 4	reported	64:18	122:8	54:7
rejection	75:3		reviewed	route
127:16	DEDODMED	requirement	103:11	70:6
related	REPORTER	51:20		
42:5	25:10	52:1 53:4	revise	rubber
74·J	41:11,15,	58:8	15:20	53:23
relationshi	19 67:12,	123:23	revised	rule
p	15,18	124:19	15:24	10:10
112:10	reporting	125:16,25		16:23
	108:6	126:14	rewrite	17:3 18:7
~0102GC			776.7	T / 3 TO 1
release 7:19		130:1	126:3	23:1 27:6



69:20,23 70:5 75:22,23 79:9,11, 12 82:22 83:14,19 85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23 126:4	13,19 65:25 71:19,24 92:23 103:14 116:4,10 117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11 64:3 77:5	8,16 80:23,25 81:4,9, 11,15,19 84:16 85:23 86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	scale 114:12 scared 46:24 scarificati on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19 Schmidt
75:22,23 79:9,11, 12 82:22 83:14,19 85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	71:19,24 92:23 103:14 116:4,10 117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	81:4,9, 11,15,19 84:16 85:23 86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	<pre>scared 46:24 scarificati on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19</pre>
79:9,11, 12 82:22 83:14,19 85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	92:23 103:14 116:4,10 117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	11,15,19 84:16 85:23 86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	46:24 scarificati on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
12 82:22 83:14,19 85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	103:14 116:4,10 117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	84:16 85:23 86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	46:24 scarificati on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
83:14,19 85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	116:4,10 117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	85:23 86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	scarificati on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
85:19,20 96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	117:20,24 118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	86:4,6,17 97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	on 127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
96:21,23 99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	118:9,17, 21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	97:9,16, 18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	127:5 scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
99:10,19 101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	21,22 119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	18,23 98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	scarring 127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
101:23 102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	119:2,4 safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	98:4,11, 13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
102:23 106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	<pre>safely 102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11</pre>	13,25 99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	127:15 scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
106:6 108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	99:9 100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	scenes 33:23 schedule 49:19
108:16 111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	102:4 safer 92:22 safety 62:11	100:5,10, 14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	33:23 schedule 49:19
111:22,24 117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	<pre>safer 92:22 safety 62:11</pre>	14,18 101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	schedule 49:19
117:9,12 120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	92:22 safety 62:11	101:3,6, 11,14 102:14,21	49:19
120:9,14 121:3 123:2 125:21,23	safety 62:11	11,14 102:14,21	49:19
121:3 123:2 125:21,23	62:11	102:14,21	
123:2 125:21,23	62:11		Schmidt
123:2 125:21,23			
		103:4,6	4:13
126.4	78:4	104:11	11:14,16,
120·4	87:13	105:16,20	19,22
	07.13	106:7,10,	12:1,6,
un	sale	15,25	11,14,19,
14:4	12:3	107:3,7,	21,24
unning	Sam	12,15,19	13:1,5,9,
65:12	5:9	108:4	12,14,16,
110:14		110:5,10,	20,22,24
uahina	Saran	13 112:8,	14:7
	8:2	14,18	15:2,7,
131.12	sat	113:1,11,	10,13
		15 114:2,	16:22
s			17:3,7,
		23 115:5	19,21
ь	95:2	116:2,8,	18:5,7,
	Saunders		14,18,22
9.3			20:1
afe	19:1,5		22:4,7,
7:20,25	25:19		12,15,21,
8:4,11	26:3,12,	118:6,8,	25 23:8,
16:8	24 31:2		19,25
21:22	35:15	119:16	24:5,8,
28:5,6,17	36:19	120:1	10,23,25
31:15			27:4,21,
42:7,25	25 38:4		25 28:18,
43:4			23 29:10,
44:19			17,24
46:3,18		45:19	30:2,14,
47:3,4,			25 31:20,
	110:14 ushing 131:12 s ad 9:3 afe 7:20,25 8:4,11 16:8 21:22 28:5,6,17 31:15 42:7,25 43:4 44:19 46:3,18	110:14 ushing 131:12 sat 83:18 s satisfied 95:2 Saunders 4:16 18:1 19:1,5 25:19 8:4,11 16:8 21:22 28:5,6,17 31:15 42:7,25 43:4 44:19 46:3,18	110:14 ushing 131:12 sat 131:12 sat 113:1,11, 83:18 5 satisfied 95:2 116:2,8, 6,10,19, 23 115:5 116:2,8, 8:4,11 16:8 21:20 28:4,11 26:3,12, 16:8 21:22 35:15 31:15 28:5,6,17 31:15 37:17,20, 42:7,25 43:4 44:19 46:3,18 47:3,4 47:3,4 47:3,4 110:5,10, 13 112:8, 14,18 15:114:2, 6,10,19, 23 115:5 116:2,8, 13,15,18, 4:16 18:1 20,23 117:5,8, 17:5,8, 17:5,8, 17:17:5,8, 118:6,8, 14,21,25 119:16 120:1 saving 21:10 44:2 44:19 46:3,18 47:3,4



25 32:16	12,15,22,	sensation	sheet	63:6 92:4
33:12,18,	25 127:2	39:6	24:2,6,14	105:3
21 34:1,	128:12,18	gongo	28:11,17	118:5
9,17,23	130:6,16	sense 8:23 26:5	29:6,7	sit
35:5,7,12	131:11	54:7 61:6	shop	30:6
36:25	school	66:23	5:13 11:1	
37:2	74:14	74:8	125:7	68:10
38:12,15,	128:6	77:22,23	123.7	76:14
20 39:24	130:23	91:12	shops	70.14
40:14,20	130.23	95:23,24	10:7	sitting
51:16,24	science	96:2,5	124:8	20:9 21:
52:5,11,	112:15	99:2	shortened	situation
14 53:1,	scientific	112:3	8:2	50:2
13 54:25	103:12	112:3		55:24
55:3,5	103.12	110.20	shot	95:12
57:2,9,	scratch	service	56:5	104:7
11,15,17,	109:19	16:20	show	121:5
20,23	script	20:14,23,	86:23	121.3
58:1,4,8,	77:14	25 21:17		size
10,17,20,	, , , , , ,	47:21	shown	46:23
23 59:2,	SDS	49:8 66:3	80:5	sized
5,8,14,	24:2,5	103:19	shows	9:13
17,24	seats	services	113:20	
60:4,21,	6:2	4:5 7:4		skin
25 61:4,			sic	17:15
12,16,19,	section	8:20	118:22	24:17
21 63:23	23:1	10:14 24:15	side	25:1
64:18,21	seek		5:12	28:13,15
65:1,3	79:14	68:18	24:16	21 32:7
66:8,10,	108:1	112:24	114:20	46:10
17 67:3		115:22	120:13	47:9,10
81:1,12,	selection	session	121:1	48:21,22
22,25	83:15	68:11,12		24 49:3,
84:1,3,7,	self-	set	sign	4,7,14,
10,23,25	diagnosis	16:23	123:13	16,21
89:19,21	22:10	27:6	signs	56:4,6,7
90:1,3,9,	38:15,21	40:15,23	91:2,23	64:14,16
20 103:9	1£	40:15,23		74:19,21
107:17	self-	101:25	silly	88:21
110:21,	prescribe	120:14	45:14	90:10,12
23,25	44:24	124:17	similar	17 91:3,
111:3,5,	send	T71.T/	74:25	14,15,20
9,14,16	5:25 6:16	sets	simple	24 92:15
112:13	12:23	61:24	120:10	95:6
	34:5	shape	T70.T0	109:19
114:11 125:18,23	45:25	43:10	single	110:2



I RE BODT AR	,			index: siasnsta
113:1,2,	108:8	speaks	spot	39:1 43:2
9,17	soft-spoken	105:21	60:15	49:5
114:7,8,9	67:15	specialize	88:11	70:17
115:24	07.13	76:21,22		109:14
	sold	10.21,22	spray	114:13
slash	98:20,21	specialty	16:15	129:1
58:5	solid	76:17,25	65:4	
slept		85:22	Springport	started
7:14	35:2		7:1 34:3	7:2 15:23
-	solution	specific		74:23
slew	116:5	27:7	springs	78:8
6:12	_	28:16	53:23	113:17
63:17	solve	29:1	square	starters
slippery	91:18	35:15	32:6	50:22
55:10	somebody's	38:21		50.22
56:13,19	48:10	100:15	squirrely	starting
93:13		ifi11	70:18	4:8 49:8
93.13	Something's	specificall	ST79	94:9
slope	49:13	У		
55:11	con	16:24	55:5	starts
56:13,19	son	18:18	staff	33:18
93:13	130:23	27:22	41:2	99:12
	sort	29:19		state
slowing	104:23,24	36:6	stakeholder	5:19 7:24
129:1		39:10	s	11:22
small	sounds	97:9	83:21	
9:13 87:5	100:15	101:6	standard	12:4
9.13 07.3	109:5	113:12	7:24	19:19
smaller	spa		18:4,23	25:20
108:13	8:18 41:1	spell		27:9
	0.10 41.1	5:19	38:16	34:11
snack	space	14:15	54:25	40:23
5:13	75:11	50:10	70:11	41:7
sneaky		anond	standards	59:22
80:13	spas	spend	9:12,14	60:2
00.13	41:2	116:9	11:1	63:6,21,
snowed	speak	117:21	18:10,12,	24 66:8,
107:21	14:2	spending	16 19:3	11,20
soap	41:16	9:7		67:11
	63:24		27:23	69:22
23:24,25	67:12	spent	32:19	70:5,14
24:24,25		21:9	34:18	
25:1 72:6	72:1	spoke	38:24	79:4
113:8,9,	105:23	80:5,8	39:2	94:23
11,16,20	106:1,4,7	106:11	61:24	99:14
	113:5	100.11	standing	102:18,25
society			pranatna	102.2
	speaking	spore		103:2
society 14:24 55:13	speaking 58:18	spore 57:13	96:22	103:2



iale s rammy
alk
9:24 21:2
34:23
76:18
79:2
100:5
103:1
113:18
131:13
alked
8:17,19
31:20
67:8
106:21,23
alking
-
13:18
17:7 23:1
27:14
28:24
29:7,13
31:9
35:16
38:12,25
39:3
51:6,11
52:1 54:7
89:20,23
101:11
117:2,3
128:17,
20,21
129:6,7
. 11
alks
12:9
ammy
6:22,24
11:12,15,
18,21,24
12:5,8,
13,18,20,
22,25
13:3,8,
11,13,15,
18,21,23
20:2,6,8
40.4,0,0



22:6,	11, 10,	16 1	.23:1	tattooer	130:1
14,20	,23 85:1	11,18	geting	68:21	te
23:7,	17, 86:2	7 1 7	4:15	101:19	114:12
24 24	:1, 21,2	23	4.13	125:10,13	114.12
4,7,9	,11 87:1	1,2 tat	too	+-++ -	technically
25:14	89:3	3,10 7	7:23 9:2	tattooers	89:25
26:1,	11, 91:8	3,10 1	.0:6 13:6	35:17	125:24
15,25	92:2	22 3	32:18	63:13	technician
27:20	,24 93:4	1,6, 3	19:8		38:22
28:11			2:17	69:8	30.44
	12, 94:1		4:10	104:19	telling
1	; :1, 96:		0:12	125:9	30:18
5,24			51:14	129:18	32:16
31:3,		, ,		tattooing	33:9
32:10		- '	6:7	22:16	36:11,13
		,	7:19,24	27:22	37:5
20,25		, ,	8:15	28:5,7	45:13
	3, 9,13		50:8,14	29:18,20	89:2,5
			51:12	30:16	120:13
35:8,		- /	59:12,14	21.0	
36:9,		- /	0:15	32:25	temporary
37:1,		, - ,	75:10	33:1	30:22
19,23		,	35:23		term
		-,-,	37:25	52:17,18	97:5
38:1,		_	00:21	61.10 14	
14,19			1:25	70:2,17	terms
	1,19, 109		02:17	90:9	104:15
		-,,,	04:4,5	92:15	terrible
13 41			06:8	94:12	55:23
42:4,		-,,	08:16	100:15,16	56:9
		= '	9:12		
13,19		- / - /		117:20,24	test
48:19		,	.04:2,10		9:8 48:21
51:21			.09:25	122:23	53:17,18
52:4		- / - /	.10:16	124:24	tested
65:21			.11:10	127:4,9,	53:15
70:25		, - ,	24:7,8,9	22 130:15	
71:3,		, -	.25:12	tattooings	testimony
	,17, 116	• •	.27:22,24	112:10	4:21
22 72		- , - ,	.28:10	L-LL	testing
73:2	21,2	25 1	.29:12	tattoos	53:16
74:9,		6,14, tat	tooed	41:13,24	54:6
75:17	,21 17,2	1 2	2:21	42:4	55:13
76:5		:2,7 ₉	00:12	45:23	57:13
77:15	120	• 1 1 2 2	.24:15	110:19	58:14
79:16	121	• 1 1 1	.25:4	123:24	
80:8,	24 17		.29:15		tetracaine
81:2,	7, 122	:10,20		128:3,8	39:13



IN INE DOD I AIN	TI ACILITIES			ulatilueaullelits
75:7	32:8	til	title	74:15
that'll	33:23	68:7	4:9	totally
124:8	34:5,6	time	tobacco	35:24
	36:6 39:9	4:24 8:3	124:3	99:2
theory	43:11	14:1		JJ - <u>L</u>
127:5	44:13,19,	20:18	today	touch
thing	24 48:14	26:14	4:6,8,20,	49:4
5:14 9:24	49:1	27:13	21 5:2,21	91:3,4
10:11,18	53:13	32:13	9:25 11:5	touched
26:16	55:10	38:25	37:5	122:24
31:4	63:16		52:21	
42:15	71:23	42:8 45:8	120:7	touches
62:14	72:7	47:15	126:6	125:19
	93:19	48:5	L - d -	touching
70:1	95:10,11	49:21	today's	96:6
73:25	99:13	51:5	5:17	90 · 0
77:4	108:15,16	62:10	told	TPP
82:17	111:21,25	64:10	43:8	119:11
83:11	112:8	70:13,22	102:7	traction
86:15,19	113:23	71:9		104:20
88:15	114:3,16	73:11,18	tomorrow	104.20
91:20,22,	124:5	82:25	25:21	trade
23 95:20	125:18,20	86:10	top	58:4
98:14	128:6	90:15,17	109:24	traditional
100:16	129:7	91:1 92:6		52:6,17,
101:12,	129.7	104:15	topic	18
15,22	thinking	105:15	105:23	10
105:16	89:11	112:20	127:2	transcript
108:18	thinks	121:3	topical	88:15
109:15	19:11	123:14	11:19	transcripti
110:2,3,6	19.11	128:13	16:24	onist
111:15	this'	t i m a m	28:3 30:3	
112:4,11,	93:17	times	31:5 73:9	4:20 5:20
25 113:13	thought	20:18	74:14,16,	transparenc
114:17	thought 43:19	62:6	20 75:4	Y
118:5		69:20,21	78:7	83:17
120:21	45:13	129:9	98:23	troated
123:25	71:14	tiny	109:24	treated
	94:6	127:15		28:15
things	123:25	4.3.4	topicals	treatment
7:11 8:4,	thousands	tip	7:13,15,	23:10
15 16:13,	49:25	52:6	16,19	59:20
18 19:1,	70:2	tissue	8:8,24	60:7,9
11 25:20	92:25	72:22	9:11 11:8	
26:13			20:9	treatments
27:16	throat	titanium	44:16	60:13,14
29:15	24:16	18:3	71:5	75:5
30:17,25			73:13	



October 28, 2024 IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES Index: trials..warning

trials	51:13	uneducated		45:1
103:11		115:23	v	voice
119:11,		Union		11:6
12,14	U	63:6	vaccination	45:10
		03.0		
trouble	uh-huh	United	S	78:14
24:16	19:9,16,	39:7,24	119:12,15	voices
true	20 59:19	98:7	vaccines	76:7
37:12	97:17	103:15	119:7	7
76:6	120:4			volunteered
116:20		unknowing	vague	83:7
	unbeautiful	115:23	92:10	vomiting
trumps	21:13	unknown	validation	24:17
120:22	unbelievabl	118:14,	88:9	
trust	e	17,18,19		
17:25	119:9		verbiage	W
30:11		unpleasant	97:21	
46:15	underage	110:6	version	wait
73:24	125:4	unreasonabl	19:6	55:12
	127:21	e	19.0	72:14
74:6,7	understand	119:1	versus	112:16
trusted	22:13,23	119.1	127:9	128:9
20:24		unregulated	Video	120.9
	26:8 31:3	111:11	4:1	waiting
trusting	35:13,18	e- e e	4 • 1	49:10
9:9 21:9	36:9,13	unsafe	violate	
truth	37:10	50:2	66:10	walk
78:6	43:20	118:12,18	89:18	72:7
	44:9	updates		112:21
tube	46:12	84:25	violating	walked
52:6	54:4	86:9	89:19	48:6
87:24	55:11		90:5	
88:2 89:9	56:16	upper	violation	walks
+1177n	63:4,15	5:9	27:23	99:21
turn	65:15	upset	32:19,23	wanted
124:13	66:16,18	117:19	34:13	6:14 8:2
turnout	72:2 87:1	117.19		
82:23,25	97:1	user	35:19	9:24 11:5
L	102:24	5:4	39:23	13:16
turns	102:24		66:11,15	43:5
104:1		uterine	92:13	45:22
two-day	115:14	100:23	violations	53:11,18
107:20	understandi	101:5,7	33:15	80:9
	ng	utilizes		83:19
two-part	46:5	59:20	visible	108:9
107:20	71:23		91:2,23,	warning
type	116:23,24		24	_
12:2 28:3	128:4		wiai+	12:11
12.2 20.3	120.4		visit	29:10,17



THE BOBT AIN				TIGOX. WGGIIZOD
33:15,22	Wi-fi	15:3,5,	worth	
wash	5:4	16,17	26:14	Y
62:9	wiggle	17:4	113:15	_
64:9,15,	103:2	19:10	worthwhile	Yay
22,24		35:22	104:12	123:6
65:4,6	Windex	45:9		220 0
71:5,8	24:8	48:12	wound	year
	winter	49:19	64:22,24	42:22
Washington	107:11	65:7	65:4,6	50:16
6:11		69:3,14	wrap	68:5,7
watch	wiped	78:18	9:15	83:13
5:20	114:7	80:18	28:15,21	86:3
	wires	83:3,16,	31:17	128:9
watered	5:20	17,20	51.17	year-old
62:12		84:13,14,	Wraps	124:9,10,
Wayne	wiry	19,21	8:2	14
50:16	5:20	85:2,4,5,	write	129:11,12
	woman's	14,15	5:4 9:18	130:12,24
website	65:9	87:3 90:3	36:3	130.14,45
32:22		108:10,	41:3,13,	year-olds
33:24	women	11,13,20,	22 44:21	129:10
36:21	8:14	25 109:1	45:24	110020
38:9	42:24		72:20	years 5:1 7:5
106:13	65:11	workaround	73:6 74:6	
week	75:3 95:8	98:12	77:12,14,	48:12
6:16	96:11	worked	20 123:21	
51:17	wonderful	4:22		50:2,13 70:2
J1•17	14:13	14:23	126:4	
weeks	62:10	15:25	writes	72:10
7:13		130:22,25	46:21	76:16
49:19,22	wondering		writing	79:18
weird	14:25	workgroup	_	81:17
19:1	108:7	86:20	46:1,2	86:6
70:18	word	working	75:9	94:3,4,8,
125:20	5:5 6:17	11:3 41:1	written	9 102:5
128:24	7:17	45:20	14:13	112:12
	96:23	83:13	87:13	123:19,22
129:13		115:24	89:12,14	124:17,
130:12	wording			22,23
what-	60:22	works	wrong	125:15
21:12	90:23	115:19	9:21 10:8	128:13
r.th a t a a a	102:22	worried	35:25	young
whatsoever	work	119:13	102:11,12	129:5,16
52:8 56:2	4:25 8:18	122:25	wrote	120-5,10
57:24		123:1	47:25	
white	10:4,20,	177.1	122:6	Z
	23 11:3	worse	131:4	
48:24	14:20,25		T O T • T	



HEARING

October 28, 2024 Index: Zoom..Zoom IN RE BODY ART FACILITIES

5:7	
Zoom	
4:1 45:1	

