

**Michigan Office of Administrative Hearings and Rules
Administrative Rules Division (ARD)**

MOAHR-Rules@michigan.gov

REQUEST FOR RULEMAKING (RFR)

1. Department:

Labor and Economic Opportunity

2. Bureau:

MIOSHA

3. Promulgation type:

MCL 24.244 (2)

4. Title of proposed rule set:

Construction Safety Standard Part 26, Steel Erection

5. Rule numbers or rule set range of numbers:

R 408.42601 to R 408.42656

6. Estimated time frame:

3 months

Name of person filling out RFR:

Tom Shaver

E-mail of person filling out RFR:

shavert1@michigan.gov

Phone number of person filling out RFR:

517-284-7734

Address of person filling out RFR:

STEVEN MASON BLDG

7. Describe the general purpose of these rules, including any problems the changes are intended to address.

CS Part 26, Steel Erection standard, sets forth requirements to protect employees from the hazards associated with steel erection activities involved in the construction, alteration, or repair of single and multistory buildings, bridges, and other structures where steel erection occurs.

These rules are being amended to adopt by reference Subpart R – Steel Erection, 1926.761, “Training,” (a) – (b).

8. Please cite the specific promulgation authority for the rules (i.e. department director, commission, board, etc.).

The director of the department has the specific promulgation authority for the rules.

A. Please list all applicable statutory references (MCLs, Executive Orders, etc.).

MCL 408.1014, 408.1019, and 408.1021, Executive Reorganization Order Nos. 1996-2, 2003-1, 2008-4, 2011-4, and 2019-3, MCL 445.2001, 445.2011, 445.2025, 445.2030, and 125.1998.

B. Are the rules mandated by any applicable constitutional or statutory provision? If so, please explain.

The Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 requires that the Michigan Occupational Safety and Health Administration promulgate standards that are “at least as effective as” those promulgated under Section 6 of the Act.

9. Please describe the extent to which the rules conflict with or duplicate similar rules, compliance requirements, or other standards adopted at the state, regional, or federal level.

These rules are being promulgated under MCL 24.244 to be as effective as OSHA. Therefore, the state standards adopted by these rules are duplicative with the federal OSHA standards.

10. Is the subject matter of the rules currently contained in any guideline, handbook, manual, instructional bulletin, form with instructions, or operational memoranda?

MIOSHA is not aware of any guideline, handbook, manual, instructional bulletin, form with instructions, or operational memoranda that contains the subject matter of the rules.

11. Are the rules listed on the department's annual regulatory plan as rules to be processed for the current year?

Yes, the rules are listed on MIOSHA's annual regulatory plan as rules to be processed this year.

12. Will the proposed rules be promulgated under Section 44 of the Administrative Procedures Act, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.244, or under the full rulemaking process?

MCL 24.244 (2)

A. Explain why the rules are being promulgated under 24.244.

These rules are being amended in order to be at least as effective as federal OSHA standards.

Based on the information provided in this RFR, MOAHR concludes that there are sufficient policy and legal bases for approving the RFR. The RFR satisfies the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act of 1969, 1969 PA 306, MCL 24.201 to 24.328, and Executive Order No. 2019-6.